

## **Eurogroup for Animals, Wolf Protection Research – Poland February, 2020**

*Methodology: Savanta ComRes interviewed 1,049 adults in Poland aged 18+ online between 11th and 17th February 2020. Data were weighted to be nationally representative of adults aged 18+ by age, gender and region. Savanta ComRes is a member of the British Polling Council and abides by its rules. Full tables at [www.comresglobal.com](http://www.comresglobal.com)*

All press releases or other publications must be checked with Savanta ComRes before use. Savanta ComRes requires 48-hours to check a press release unless otherwise agreed.

For information about commissioning research please contact [info@comresglobal.com](mailto:info@comresglobal.com) or call +44 (0)20 7871 8660

To register for Pollwatch, featuring commentary and insight from the Savanta ComRes team, please email: [pollwatch@comresglobal.com](mailto:pollwatch@comresglobal.com)

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q2 Summary: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Strongly agree	Tend to agree	Tend to disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know	NET: Agree	NET: Disagree
Unweighted Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wolves belong to our natural environment just like foxes, deer or hares	1047 100%	693 66%	310 30%	21 2%	16 2%	8 1%	1002 96%	37 4%
Wolves have a right to exist in the wild	1047 100%	707 67%	282 27%	30 3%	14 1%	13 1%	989 94%	45 4%
Wolves should be accepted to live in the country	1047 100%	581 55%	376 36%	43 4%	13 1%	33 3%	957 91%	56 5%
The return of wolves is beneficial for the natural environment and biodiversity of the country	1047 100%	472 45%	412 39%	58 6%	25 2%	79 8%	884 84%	83 8%
Wolves should be strictly protected	1047 100%	507 48%	373 36%	92 9%	22 2%	53 5%	880 84%	114 11%
Wolves should be allowed to roam freely without human intervention	1047 100%	387 37%	414 40%	139 13%	50 5%	56 5%	801 77%	189 18%
Wolves pose a risk to people	1047 100%	144 14%	305 29%	388 37%	153 15%	57 5%	450 43%	541 52%
I would know how to behave if I were to encounter a wolf	1047 100%	117 11%	308 29%	308 29%	149 14%	164 16%	426 41%	458 44%

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q2 Nets summary (Agree): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Poland regions											
Total	Dolno I skie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	ódzkie	Ma opolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	I skie
1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131
1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1002	75	56	56	27	65	85	136	23	55	29	61	122
96%	95%	98%	95%	97%	95%	94%	94%	83%	96%	89%	99%	97%
989	74	53	57	27	65	84	135	25	52	30	58	123
94%	94%	93%	96%	97%	95%	92%	94%	91%	90%	91%	94%	98%
957	71	50	55	27	65	79	132	22	52	29	56	119
91%	90%	88%	93%	97%	95%	87%	92%	79%	90%	89%	91%	95%
884	68	47	52	23	59	72	127	22	44	27	53	110
84%	85%	82%	88%	82%	86%	79%	88%	79%	76%	83%	86%	88%
880	66	51	53	21	57	71	120	24	43	30	52	114
84%	83%	90%	90%	75%	83%	78%	84%	87%	74%	92%	84%	91%
801	62	43	48	22	44	66	121	18	43	24	43	106
77%	78%	76%	81%	79%	64%	73%	84%	66%	74%	74%	69%	84%
450	31	27	17	12	31	40	63	14	30	14	27	53
43%	39%	47%	29%	45%	45%	44%	44%	50%	52%	44%	44%	43%
426	34	24	36	9	29	28	63	7	22	15	26	45
41%	42%	42%	60%	34%	43%	31%	44%	25%	38%	46%	42%	36%

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q2 Nets summary (Agree): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions				Gender		Age					
		wi tokrzyskie	Warmi sko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	d	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f
Unweighted Total	1049	36	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225
Total	1047	35	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Wolves belong to our natural environment just like foxes, deer or hares	1002 96%	33 94%	39 100%	94 100% a	46 98%	478 96%	524 96%	91 91%	177 92%	194 96%	152 97% ab	177 98% ab	211 98% ab
Wolves have a right to exist in the wild	989 94%	32 92%	37 93%	94 100% abd	43 92%	480 96%	509 93%	87 87%	174 90%	192 95% a	149 95% a	178 98% ab	209 97% ab
Wolves should be accepted to live in the country	957 91%	30 86%	36 90%	91 97% a	44 94%	468 94% b	489 89%	80 81%	166 86%	183 91% a	147 93% ab	173 96% ab	208 97% abc
The return of wolves is beneficial for the natural environment and biodiversity of the country	884 84%	28 81%	30 77%	82 87%	40 86%	432 86%	452 83%	65 65%	158 82% a	178 88% a	135 86% a	157 87% a	191 89% ab
Wolves should be strictly protected	880 84%	27 78%	32 80%	79 85%	41 87%	408 82%	472 86% a	85 86%	166 86%	185 91% def	128 82%	142 79%	174 81%
Wolves should be allowed to roam freely without human intervention	801 77%	25 73%	32 80%	70 74%	34 73%	398 80% b	403 74%	77 77%	140 72%	153 75%	118 75%	141 78%	173 81% b
Wolves pose a risk to people	450 43%	21 62% cd	17 43%	36 38%	15 32%	199 40%	251 46% a	53 53% cef	110 57% cdef	74 37%	63 40%	71 39%	78 36%
I would know how to behave if I were to encounter a wolf	426 41%	11 31%	16 40%	43 46%	17 36%	266 53% b	160 29%	44 45%	78 40%	76 37%	63 40%	69 38%	95 44%

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q2 Nets summary (Agree): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Age			Diet						
		18-34	35-54	55+	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total	1049	288	353	408	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total	1047	293	359	395	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Wolves belong to our natural environment just like foxes, deer or hares	1002 96%	268 91%	347 96% a	388 98% a	819 96%	166 96%	105 95%	10 84%	16 100%	19 100%	16 100%
Wolves have a right to exist in the wild	989 94%	261 89%	342 95% a	386 98% ab	808 95%	163 94%	104 94%	10 84%	16 100%	19 100%	13 87%
Wolves should be accepted to live in the country	957 91%	247 84%	330 92% a	381 96% ab	779 91%	160 92%	100 91%	10 84%	16 100%	18 94%	15 94%
The return of wolves is beneficial for the natural environment and biodiversity of the country	884 84%	223 76%	313 87% a	348 88% a	714 84%	154 89%	99 89%	10 76%	16 100%	19 100%	10 66%
Wolves should be strictly protected	880 84%	251 86%	313 87% c	316 80%	713 84%	154 89%	97 88%	10 76%	15 94%	19 100%	14 87%
Wolves should be allowed to roam freely without human intervention	801 77%	217 74%	271 75%	314 79%	652 77%	135 78%	83 75%	8 68%	14 87%	16 85%	13 87%
Wolves pose a risk to people	450 43%	163 56% bc	137 38%	149 38%	370 43%	72 42%	48 44%	7 53%	6 37%	5 26%	7 42%
I would know how to behave if I were to encounter a wolf	426 41%	123 42%	139 39%	164 42%	333 39%	84 48% a	50 46%	6 47%	7 44%	11 59%	9 61%

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q2 Nets summary (Disagree): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Poland regions											
Total	Dolno I skie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	ódzkie	Ma opolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	I skie
1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131
1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
83	6	3	3	4	4	9	10	1	6	5	5	7
8%	7%	5%	5%	14%	6%	10%	7%	4%	10%	14%	8%	5%
37	4	1	2	1	2	5	7	2	2	4	1	4
4%	5%	2%	4%	3%	3%	5%	5%	8%	4%	11%	1%	3%
56	4	6	4	-	2	8	6	3	5	4	5	4
5%	5%	11%	7%	-	3%	9%	4%	12%	8%	11%	8%	3%
45	1	2	2	1	2	7	8	-	5	3	4	3
4%	1%	3%	4%	3%	3%	8%	5%	-	8%	9%	6%	2%
541	44	28	40	14	35	43	75	13	25	16	32	64
52%	55%	49%	68%	48%	51%	47%	52%	45%	44%	50%	52%	51%
114	8	4	2	3	8	15	19	1	9	3	7	8
11%	10%	7%	4%	10%	11%	16%	13%	4%	16%	8%	11%	6%
458	33	22	15	13	31	45	61	15	28	15	26	58
44%	41%	39%	26%	48%	45%	50%	43%	54%	48%	46%	42%	47%
189	14	12	6	6	17	17	18	6	14	8	13	13
18%	18%	20%	11%	21%	25%	19%	13%	21%	24%	24%	22%	10%

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q2 Nets summary (Disagree): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions				Gender		Age					
		wi tokrzyskie	Warmi sko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	d	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f
Unweighted Total	1049	36	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225
Total	1047	35	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
The return of wolves is beneficial for the natural environment and biodiversity of the country	83 8%	4 11%	6 16%	6 6%	5 11%	38 8%	45 8%	21 21% bcdef	22 11% cef	11 5%	10 7%	9 5%	11 5%
Wolves belong to our natural environment just like foxes, deer or hares	37 4%	1 3%	- -	- -	1 2%	15 3%	21 4%	8 8% def	14 7% cdef	6 3%	3 2%	2 1%	4 2%
Wolves should be accepted to live in the country	56 5%	2 5%	1 3%	1 1%	2 4%	21 4%	35 6%	13 13% def	19 10% def	14 7% ef	4 3%	4 2%	2 1%
Wolves have a right to exist in the wild	45 4%	2 5% c	3 7% c	- -	3 6% c	17 3%	28 5%	10 10% def	16 8% def	9 4%	4 3%	2 1%	4 2%
Wolves pose a risk to people	541 52%	13 38%	20 51%	49 52%	30 64% a	285 57% b	255 47%	41 42%	72 37%	112 55% ab	85 54% b	102 56% ab	128 60% ab
Wolves should be strictly protected	114 11%	6 19%	6 15%	10 10%	6 13%	71 14% b	43 8%	8 8%	21 11% c	11 5%	16 10%	26 14% c	32 15% c
I would know how to behave if I were to encounter a wolf	458 44%	20 58%	17 44%	37 40%	20 43%	166 33%	292 53% a	45 45%	100 52% f	94 47% f	67 43%	77 43%	74 34%
Wolves should be allowed to roam freely without human intervention	189 18%	8 22%	7 18%	21 22%	10 22%	81 16%	109 20%	17 17%	43 22% f	39 19%	30 19%	32 18%	29 13%

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q2 Nets summary (Disagree): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Age			Diet						
					Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products					
Significance Level: 95%	Total	18-34	35-54	55+			Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
		a	b	c	a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total	1049	288	353	408	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total	1047	293	359	395	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
The return of wolves is beneficial for the natural environment and biodiversity of the country	83 8%	42 14% bc	21 6%	20 5%	66 8%	14 8%	7 7%	2 16%	- -	- -	4 28%
Wolves belong to our natural environment just like foxes, deer or hares	37 4%	22 7% bc	9 3%	6 1%	27 3%	6 4%	4 4%	2 16%	- -	- -	- -
Wolves should be accepted to live in the country	56 5%	32 11% bc	18 5% c	6 2%	42 5%	12 7%	9 8%	2 16%	- -	1 6%	- -
Wolves have a right to exist in the wild	45 4%	26 9% bc	13 4% c	6 1%	33 4%	9 5%	5 4%	2 16%	- -	- -	2 13%
Wolves pose a risk to people	541 52%	114 39%	197 55% a	230 58% a	431 51%	96 55%	60 54%	6 47%	8 50%	13 69%	9 58%
Wolves should be strictly protected	114 11%	29 10%	27 7%	58 15% b	94 11%	13 8%	9 9%	3 24%	1 6%	- -	- -
I would know how to behave if I were to encounter a wolf	458 44%	145 50% c	162 45%	151 38%	384 45%	64 37%	41 38%	7 53%	6 38%	7 37%	3 21%
Wolves should be allowed to roam freely without human intervention	189 18%	60 20%	69 19%	61 16%	154 18%	29 17%	19 18%	4 32%	1 6%	3 15%	2 13%



## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q2\_1. The return of wolves is beneficial for the natural environment and biodiversity of the country: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Poland regions												
Total	Dolno I skie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	ódzkie	Ma opolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	I skie	
1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	
1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
472	42	27	29	13	33	34	77	10	22	16	25	56	
45%	52%	47%	49%	45%	48%	38%	53%	38%	37%	49%	40%	44%	
412	26	20	23	10	26	38	50	11	22	11	29	55	
39%	33%	36%	39%	37%	38%	41%	35%	41%	38%	34%	46%	44%	
58	4	3	2	4	2	6	7	-	5	4	3	5	
6%	5%	5%	3%	14%	3%	6%	5%	-	8%	12%	5%	4%	
25	2	-	1	-	2	3	3	1	1	1	2	2	
2%	2%	-	2%	-	3%	4%	2%	4%	2%	3%	3%	1%	
79	6	7	4	1	5	10	7	5	8	1	4	8	
8%	8%	12%	7%	3%	7%	11%	5%	17%	14%	3%	6%	7%	
884	68	47	52	23	59	72	127	22	44	27	53	110	
84%	85%	82%	88%	82%	86%	79%	88%	79%	76%	83%	86%	88%	
83	6	3	3	4	4	9	10	1	6	5	5	7	
8%	7%	5%	5%	14%	6%	10%	7%	4%	10%	14%	8%	5%	
968	73	50	55	27	64	81	137	23	50	32	58	117	
3.38	3.47	3.47	3.45	3.32	3.42	3.27	3.46	3.36	3.30	3.33	3.31	3.40	
.715	.715	.609	.673	.728	.720	.775	.702	.740	.742	.806	.722	.650	
.023	.082	.085	.090	.138	.094	.091	.059	.161	.113	.140	.093	.059	
*	.01	.01	.01	.02	.01	.01	*	.03	.01	.02	.01	*	

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q2\_1. The return of wolves is beneficial for the natural environment and biodiversity of the country: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Poland regions				Gender		Age						
		Total	wi tokrzyskie	Warmi sko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	c	d	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f
Unweighted Total		1049	36	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225
Total		1047	35	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	472	12	17	43	18	241	232	35	83	97	67	88	102
		45%	36%	42%	46%	39%	48%	42%	36%	43%	48% a	42%	49% a	48% a
Tend to agree	(3.0)	412	16	14	39	22	191	221	29	75	81	69	69	89
		39%	45%	35%	41%	46%	38%	40%	30%	39%	40%	44% a	38%	41% a
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	58	3	4	5	3	24	35	16	14	7	6	7	8
		6%	8%	10%	5%	7%	5%	6%	16% bcdef	7%	4%	4%	4%	4%
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	25	1	2	1	2	14	11	4	8	3	5	2	3
		2%	3%	6%	1%	4%	3%	2%	4%	4%	2%	3%	1%	1%
Don't know		79	3	3	6	2	30	49	14	13	14	11	15	12
		8%	8%	7%	6%	4%	6%	9%	14% bcf	7%	7%	7%	8%	6%
NET: Agree		884	28	30	82	40	432	452	65	158	178	135	157	191
		84%	81%	77%	87%	86%	86%	83%	65%	82% a	88% a	86% a	87% a	89% ab
NET: Disagree		83	4	6	6	5	38	45	21	22	11	10	9	11
		8%	11%	16%	6%	11%	8%	8%	21% bcdef	11% cef	5%	7%	5%	5%
Base for stats		968	32	37	88	45	470	498	86	180	189	146	166	202
Mean Score		3.38	3.24	3.22	3.41	3.25	3.40	3.35	3.12	3.30	3.44	3.36	3.46	3.44
											ab	a	ab	a
Standard Deviation		.715	.745	.888	.658	.772	.724	.706	.897	.797	.653	.707	.638	.645
Standard Error		.023	.130	.146	.069	.113	.034	.031	.100	.059	.046	.062	.049	.044
Error variance		*	.02	.02	*	.01	*	*	.01	*	*	*	*	*

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q2\_1. The return of wolves is beneficial for the natural environment and biodiversity of the country: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Age			Diet							
		Total	18-34	35-54	55+	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexitarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	c	a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total		1049	288	353	408	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total		1047	293	359	395	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	472	119	164	190	371	95	60	7	10	11	7
		45%	40%	46%	48%	44%	54%	54%	55%	61%	57%	45%
					a		a	a				
Tend to agree	(3.0)	412	105	149	158	343	59	39	3	6	8	3
		39%	36%	42%	40%	40%	34%	35%	21%	39%	43%	21%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	58	30	13	15	49	7	2	1	-	-	4
		6%	10%	4%	4%	6%	4%	2%	9%	-	-	28%
			bc									
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	25	13	8	5	17	6	6	1	-	-	-
		2%	4%	2%	1%	2%	4%	5%	7%	-	-	-
			c					a				
Don't know		79	27	25	27	72	6	4	1	-	-	1
		8%	9%	7%	7%	8%	3%	4%	7%	-	-	6%
						b						
NET: Agree		884	223	313	348	714	154	99	10	16	19	10
		84%	76%	87%	88%	84%	89%	89%	76%	100%	100%	66%
				a	a							
NET: Disagree		83	42	21	20	66	14	7	2	-	-	4
		8%	14%	6%	5%	8%	8%	7%	16%	-	-	28%
			bc									
Base for stats		968	266	334	368	780	167	106	12	16	19	15
Mean Score		3.38	3.24	3.41	3.45	3.37	3.44	3.44	3.34	3.61	3.57	3.19
				a	a							
Standard Deviation		.715	.833	.677	.641	.698	.754	.775	.986	.503	.508	.893
Standard Error		.023	.051	.037	.033	.025	.058	.075	.285	.126	.117	.239
Error variance		*	*	*	*	*	*	.01	.08	.02	.01	.06

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q2\_2. Wolves belong to our natural environment just like foxes, deer or hares: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

			Poland regions											
		Total	Dolno I skie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	ódzkie	Ma opolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	I skie
Unweighted Total		1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131
Total		1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	693 66%	54 68%	37 64%	38 65%	16 59%	44 64%	57 63%	111 77%	16 58%	42 72%	21 66%	35 57%	85 67%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	310 30%	21 27%	19 34%	17 29%	11 38%	21 31%	28 31%	25 18%	7 25%	14 24%	7 23%	26 42%	37 30%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	21 2%	1 1%	1 2%	1 2%	1 3%	1 2%	1 1%	5 3%	2 8%	1 2%	3 9%	1 1%	2 1%
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	16 2%	3 4%	- -	1 2%	- -	1 2%	3 4%	2 1%	- -	1 2%	1 3%	- -	2 2%
Don't know		8 1%	- -	- -	1 2%	- -	1 2%	1 1%	1 1%	2 9%	- -	- -	- -	- -
NET: Agree		1002 96%	75 95%	56 98%	56 95%	27 97%	65 95%	85 94%	136 94%	23 83%	55 96%	29 89%	61 99%	122 97%
NET: Disagree		37 4%	4 5%	1 2%	2 4%	1 3%	2 3%	5 5%	7 5%	2 8%	2 4%	4 11%	1 1%	4 3%
Base for stats		1039	79	57	58	28	68	90	143	25	58	33	62	126
Mean Score		3.62	3.60	3.63	3.61	3.56	3.60	3.55	3.71	3.55	3.66	3.52	3.55	3.63
Standard Deviation		.607	.702	.521	.632	.570	.619	.714	.605	.665	.624	.777	.531	.601
Standard Error		.019	.078	.068	.082	.106	.078	.079	.050	.139	.088	.133	.066	.053
Error variance		*	.01	*	.01	.01	.01	.01	*	.02	.01	.02	*	*

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q2\_2. Wolves belong to our natural environment just like foxes, deer or hares: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Poland regions				Gender		Age							
		Total	wi tokrzyskie	Warmi sko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	c	d	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	
Unweighted Total		1049	36	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	
Total		1047	35	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Strongly agree		(4.0)	693	24	25	60	28	348	345	58	110	134	106	133	152
		66%	69%	63%	64%	59%	69%	63%	59%	57%	66%	67%	74%	71%	
							b				b		ab	ab	
Tend to agree		(3.0)	310	9	14	34	18	130	179	32	67	60	47	44	59
		30%	25%	37%	36%	39%	26%	33%	33%	35%	30%	30%	25%	27%	
							a			e					
Tend to disagree		(2.0)	21	-	-	-	1	7	14	5	8	3	-	1	4
		2%	-	-	-	2%	1%	3%	5%	4%	2%	-	1%	2%	
									de	de					
Strongly disagree		(1.0)	16	1	-	-	9	7	2	7	3	3	1	-	
		2%	3%	-	-	-	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%	2%	1%	-	
									f	f		f			
Don't know			8	1	-	-	7	1	1	2	2	1	1	-	
		1%	3%	-	-	-	1%	*	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	
							b								
NET: Agree		1002	33	39	94	46	478	524	91	177	194	152	177	211	
		96%	94%	100%	100%	98%	96%	96%	91%	92%	96%	97%	98%	98%	
					a							ab	ab	ab	
NET: Disagree		37	1	-	-	1	15	21	8	14	6	3	2	4	
		4%	3%	-	-	2%	3%	4%	8%	7%	3%	2%	1%	2%	
									def	cdef					
Base for stats		1039	34	39	94	47	493	546	99	191	200	156	179	214	
Mean Score		3.62	3.66	3.63	3.64	3.57	3.66	3.58	3.49	3.47	3.63	3.64	3.72	3.69	
							b				b	b	ab	ab	
Standard Deviation		.607	.637	.488	.483	.543	.601	.611	.710	.732	.590	.601	.498	.498	
Standard Error		.019	.108	.077	.049	.078	.028	.026	.074	.053	.041	.051	.037	.033	
Error variance		*	.01	.01	*	.01	*	*	.01	*	*	*	*	*	

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q2\_2. Wolves belong to our natural environment just like foxes, deer or hares: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Age				Diet						
		Total	18-34	35-54	55+	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	c	a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total		1049	288	353	408	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total		1047	293	359	395	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	693 66%	168 57%	240 67% a	285 72% a	562 66%	121 70%	80 73%	6 48%	11 70%	16 85%	7 46%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	310 30%	100 34% c	107 30%	103 26%	257 30%	45 26%	25 23%	5 36%	5 30%	3 15%	8 54%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	21 2%	13 4% bc	3 1%	5 1%	16 2%	4 2%	2 2%	2 16%	- -	- -	- -
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	16 2%	9 3% c	6 2% c	1 * *	11 1%	2 1%	2 2%	- -	- -	- -	- -
Don't know		8 1%	3 1%	3 1%	1 * *	7 1%	1 1%	1 1%	- -	- -	- -	- -
NET: Agree		1002 96%	268 91%	347 96% a	388 98% a	819 96%	166 96%	105 95%	10 84%	16 100%	19 100%	16 100%
NET: Disagree		37 4%	22 7% bc	9 3%	6 1%	27 3%	6 4%	4 4%	2 16%	- -	- -	- -
Base for stats		1039	290	356	394	845	172	109	12	16	19	16
Mean Score		3.62	3.47	3.63 a	3.71 a	3.62	3.65	3.67	3.32	3.70	3.85	3.46
Standard Deviation		.607	.723	.594	.497	.591	.593	.617	.765	.475	.367	.515
Standard Error		.019	.043	.032	.025	.020	.045	.059	.212	.119	.084	.133
Error variance		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	.05	.01	.01	.02

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q2\_3. Wolves should be accepted to live in the country: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Poland regions												
Total	Dolno I skie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	ódzkie	Ma opolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	I skie	
1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	
1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
581	44	31	41	15	38	42	92	9	36	16	31	68	
55%	56%	54%	70%	55%	55%	46%	64%	33%	62%	49%	49%	54%	
376	27	19	14	12	28	37	40	12	16	13	26	51	
36%	34%	34%	23%	42%	40%	41%	28%	45%	28%	40%	41%	41%	
43	3	5	2	-	-	6	5	3	5	3	4	3	
4%	4%	9%	4%	-	-	6%	3%	12%	8%	8%	6%	2%	
13	1	1	2	-	2	2	1	-	-	1	1	1	
1%	1%	2%	3%	-	3%	2%	1%	-	-	3%	1%	1%	
33	4	1	-	1	1	4	6	2	1	-	1	3	
3%	5%	2%	-	3%	2%	5%	4%	9%	2%	-	1%	2%	
957	71	50	55	27	65	79	132	22	52	29	56	119	
91%	90%	88%	93%	97%	95%	87%	92%	79%	90%	89%	91%	95%	
56	4	6	4	-	2	8	6	3	5	4	5	4	
5%	5%	11%	7%	-	3%	9%	4%	12%	8%	11%	8%	3%	
1014	75	56	59	27	68	87	138	25	57	33	61	123	
3.50	3.53	3.42	3.59	3.57	3.49	3.37	3.61	3.23	3.55	3.35	3.41	3.51	
.643	.638	.742	.724	.505	.672	.720	.596	.684	.646	.761	.685	.590	
.020	.072	.098	.094	.095	.085	.081	.050	.143	.092	.131	.086	.052	
*	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	*	.02	.01	.02	.01	*	

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q2\_3. Wolves should be accepted to live in the country: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Poland regions				Gender		Age						
		Total	wi tokrzyskie	Warmi sko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	c	d	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f
Unweighted Total		1049	36	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225
Total		1047	35	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	581	23	18	54	24	301	279	46	96	116	92	108	123
		55%	66%	46%	57%	52%	60% b	51%	46%	50%	58%	58%	60% ab	57%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	376	7	18	37	20	167	210	35	71	67	55	64	85
		36%	20%	45% a	40% a	42% a	33%	38%	35%	37%	33%	35%	36%	40%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	43	1	1	1	2	16	27	10	14	11	3	4	1
		4%	3%	3%	1%	4%	3%	5%	10% def	7% def	5% f	2%	2% *	*
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	13	1	-	-	-	4	9	3	5	3	1	-	1
		1%	3%	- -	- -	- -	1% 2%	2%	3% ef	3% e	1% 1%	1% 1%	- -	* *
Don't know		33	3	3	2	1	11	22	6	8	5	6	4	4
		3%	8%	7%	2%	2%	2% 2%	4%	6% f	4%	2%	4%	2%	2%
NET: Agree		957	30	36	91	44	468	489	80	166	183	147	173	208
		91%	86%	90%	97% a	94%	94% b	89%	81%	86%	91% a	93% ab	96% ab	97% abc
NET: Disagree		56	2	1	1	2	21	35	13	19	14	4	4	2
		5%	5%	3%	1% 1%	4%	4% 6%	6%	13% def	10% def	7% ef	3% 3%	2% 2%	1% 1%
Base for stats		1014	32	37	92	46	489	524	93	185	197	151	177	210
Mean Score		3.50	3.64	3.46	3.57	3.48	3.56 b	3.45	3.31	3.39	3.50 a	3.57 ab	3.59 ab	3.57 ab
Standard Deviation		.643	.688	.565	.517	.587	.606	.671	.803	.742	.671 a	.580 ab	.539 ab	.530 ab
Standard Error		.020	.120	.093	.053	.085	.028	.029	.086	.054	.047 *	.050 *	.040 *	.036 *
Error variance		*	.01	.01	*	.01	*	*	.01	*	*	*	*	*



## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q2\_3. Wolves should be accepted to live in the country: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Age				Diet						
		Total	18-34	35-54	55+	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	c	a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total		1049	288	353	408	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total		1047	293	359	395	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	581	141	208	231	459	113	77	5	11	12	8
		55%	48%	58%	59%	54%	65%	70%	39%	68%	64%	53%
			a	a	a		a	a				
Tend to agree	(3.0)	376	105	122	149	320	47	24	6	5	6	6
		36%	36%	34%	38%	38%	27%	21%	45%	32%	30%	42%
						bc						
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	43	24	14	5	34	9	8	1	-	-	-
		4%	8%	4%	1%	4%	5%	7%	9%	-	-	-
			bc	c								
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	13	8	4	1	8	3	1	1	-	1	-
		1%	3%	1%	*	1%	2%	1%	7%	-	6%	-
			c									
Don't know		33	14	11	8	30	2	1	-	-	-	1
		3%	5%	3%	2%	4%	1%	1%	-	-	-	6%
			c									
NET: Agree		957	247	330	381	779	160	100	10	16	18	15
		91%	84%	92%	96%	91%	92%	91%	84%	100%	94%	94%
				a	ab							
NET: Disagree		56	32	18	6	42	12	9	2	-	1	-
		5%	11%	5%	2%	5%	7%	8%	16%	-	6%	-
			bc	c								
Base for stats		1014	279	349	386	821	171	109	12	16	19	15
Mean Score		3.50	3.36	3.53	3.58	3.50	3.57	3.62	3.15	3.68	3.53	3.56
				a	a							
Standard Deviation		.643	.763	.633	.533	.627	.674	.660	.899	.480	.789	.514
Standard Error		.020	.046	.034	.027	.022	.052	.063	.249	.120	.181	.137
Error variance		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	.06	.01	.03	.02

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q2\_4. Wolves have a right to exist in the wild: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Poland regions												
Total	Dolno I skie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	ódzkie	Ma opolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	I skie	
1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	
1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
707	56	37	40	19	45	51	112	21	39	20	39	85	
67%	71%	65%	67%	69%	66%	56%	78%	75%	68%	63%	63%	67%	
282	18	16	17	8	20	33	23	4	13	9	19	38	
27%	23%	28%	29%	28%	30%	37%	16%	16%	22%	28%	31%	30%	
30	-	1	1	1	1	5	6	-	3	3	2	-	
3%	-	2%	2%	3%	2%	5%	4%	-	6%	9%	3%	-	
14	1	1	1	-	1	2	2	-	1	-	2	3	
1%	1%	2%	2%	-	2%	2%	1%	-	2%	-	3%	2%	
13	4	2	-	-	1	-	1	2	1	-	-	-	
1%	5%	3%	-	-	1%	-	1%	9%	2%	-	-	-	
989	74	53	57	27	65	84	135	25	52	30	58	123	
94%	94%	93%	96%	97%	95%	92%	94%	91%	90%	91%	94%	98%	
45	1	2	2	1	2	7	8	-	5	3	4	3	
4%	1%	3%	4%	3%	3%	8%	5%	-	8%	9%	6%	2%	
1034	75	55	59	28	68	91	143	25	57	33	62	126	
3.63	3.71	3.63	3.62	3.65	3.61	3.46	3.72	3.82	3.59	3.54	3.54	3.63	
.613	.547	.613	.627	.552	.617	.711	.600	.390	.702	.658	.705	.613	
.019	.062	.082	.081	.102	.078	.078	.049	.081	.100	.113	.088	.054	
*	*	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	*	.01	.01	.01	.01	*	

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q2\_4. Wolves have a right to exist in the wild: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Poland regions				Gender		Age						
		Total	wi tokrzyskie	Warmi sko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	c	d	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f
Unweighted Total		1049	36	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225
Total		1047	35	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	707	27	23	65	28	356	350	56	117	144	102	134	153
		67%	77%	58%	70%	59%	71% b	64%	56%	61%	71% ab	65%	74% ab	72% ab
Tend to agree	(3.0)	282	5	14	29	15	123	159	30	57	48	47	44	56
		27%	15%	35% a	30%	33%	25%	29%	31%	30%	24%	30%	24%	26%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	30	2	3	-	3	11	19	8	12	6	2	1	2
		3%	5% c	7% c	- -	6% c	2%	3%	8% def	6% def	3%	1%	1%	1%
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	14	-	-	-	-	6	9	2	4	3	2	1	2
		1%	-	-	-	-	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	*	1%
Don't know		13	1	-	-	1	4	10	3	3	1	3	1	2
		1%	3%	-	-	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%
NET: Agree		989	32	37	94	43	480	509	87	174	192	149	178	209
		94%	92%	93%	100% abd	92%	96%	93%	87%	90%	95% a	95% a	98% ab	97% ab
NET: Disagree		45	2	3	-	3	17	28	10	16	9	4	2	4
		4%	5% c	7% c	- -	6% c	3%	5%	10% def	8% def	4%	3%	1%	2%
Base for stats		1034	34	39	94	46	497	537	96	190	201	154	180	212
Mean Score		3.63	3.74	3.50	3.70	3.54	3.67 b	3.58	3.46	3.51	3.66 ab	3.62	3.73 ab	3.70 ab
Standard Deviation		.613	.558	.637	.463	.617	.577	.642	.743	.715	.609	.592	.492	.528
Standard Error		.019	.094	.101	.047	.089	.027	.027	.078	.052	.042	.051	.036	.035
Error variance		*	.01	.01	*	.01	*	*	.01	*	*	*	*	*

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q2\_4. Wolves have a right to exist in the wild: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Age			Diet							
		Total	18-34	35-54	55+	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	c	a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total		1049	288	353	408	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total		1047	293	359	395	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	707 67%	173 59%	246 69% a	287 73% a	571 67%	124 72%	80 72%	9 70%	11 68%	14 75%	10 67%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	282 27%	87 30%	95 27%	100 25%	237 28%	39 23%	24 22%	2 14%	5 32%	5 25%	3 20%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	30 3%	20 7% bc	8 2%	3 1%	25 3%	5 3%	1 1%	2 16%	- -	- -	2 13%
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	14 1%	6 2%	5 1%	3 1%	8 1%	4 2%	4 4% a	- -	- -	- -	- -
Don't know		13 1%	6 2%	5 1%	3 1%	11 1%	1 1%	1 1%	- -	- -	- -	- -
NET: Agree		989 94%	261 89%	342 95% a	386 98% ab	808 95%	163 94%	104 94%	10 84%	16 100%	19 100%	13 87%
NET: Disagree		45 4%	26 9% bc	13 4% c	6 1%	33 4%	9 5%	5 4%	2 16%	- -	- -	2 13%
Base for stats		1034	287	355	392	840	172	109	12	16	19	16
Mean Score		3.63	3.49	3.64 a	3.71 a	3.63	3.65	3.65	3.54	3.68	3.75	3.54
Standard Deviation		.613	.723	.601	.511	.591	.654	.684	.787	.480	.443	.741
Standard Error		.019	.043	.032	.025	.020	.050	.066	.218	.120	.102	.191
Error variance		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	.05	.01	.01	.04

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q2\_5. Wolves pose a risk to people: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

			Poland regions											
		Total	Dolno I skie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	ódzkie	Ma opolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	I skie
Unweighted Total		1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131
Total		1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	144 14%	10 12%	11 20%	3 5%	3 10%	9 13%	16 17%	24 17%	5 17%	17 30%	3 9%	12 19%	7 6%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	305 29%	21 27%	16 27%	14 24%	10 35%	22 32%	25 27%	39 27%	9 34%	13 22%	12 35%	15 25%	46 37%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	388 37%	29 36%	21 37%	28 47%	9 31%	25 36%	29 31%	51 35%	9 33%	17 30%	13 39%	23 37%	51 40%
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	153 15%	15 19%	7 12%	13 21%	5 17%	10 14%	14 16%	24 17%	3 12%	8 14%	4 12%	9 15%	13 11%
Don't know		57 5%	5 6%	2 3%	2 3%	2 7%	3 5%	8 9%	6 4%	1 4%	2 4%	2 5%	3 4%	8 7%
NET: Agree		450 43%	31 39%	27 47%	17 29%	12 45%	31 45%	40 44%	63 44%	14 50%	30 52%	14 44%	27 44%	53 43%
NET: Disagree		541 52%	44 55%	28 49%	40 68%	14 48%	35 51%	43 47%	75 52%	13 45%	25 44%	16 50%	32 52%	64 51%
Base for stats		990	74	55	57	26	65	83	138	26	55	31	59	117
Mean Score		2.45	2.35	2.57	2.12	2.40	2.45	2.50	2.45	2.57	2.71	2.44	2.50	2.40
Standard Deviation		.921	.946	.963	.811	.927	.908	.992	.976	.941	1.069	.839	.993	.776
Standard Error		.029	.108	.129	.106	.178	.116	.115	.082	.192	.154	.148	.127	.070
Error variance		*	.01	.02	.01	.03	.01	.01	.01	.04	.02	.02	.02	*

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q2\_5. Wolves pose a risk to people: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Poland regions				Gender		Age							
		Total	wi tokrzyskie	Warmi sko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	c	d	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	
Unweighted Total		1049	36	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	
Total		1047	35	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Strongly agree		(4.0)	144	9	4	8	5	65	79	22	36	24	19	25	18
			14%	25%	11%	8%	11%	13%	15%	22%	19%	12%	12%	14%	8%
			c							cf	f				
Tend to agree		(3.0)	305	13	13	28	10	134	171	31	74	50	44	46	60
			29%	37%	32%	30%	21%	27%	31%	31%	38%	25%	28%	26%	28%
											cdef				
Tend to disagree		(2.0)	388	7	16	38	23	190	198	33	52	78	62	77	85
			37%	22%	41%	41%	50%	38%	36%	33%	27%	38%	40%	43%	40%
					a	a						b	b	b	b
Strongly disagree		(1.0)	153	6	4	10	7	95	58	9	20	34	23	24	42
			15%	16%	10%	11%	14%	19%	11%	9%	10%	17%	14%	14%	20%
								b							ab
Don't know			57	-	2	10	2	16	41	5	11	16	9	8	8
			5%	-	6%	10%	4%	3%	7%	5%	5%	8%	6%	4%	4%
					a				a						
NET: Agree			450	21	17	36	15	199	251	53	110	74	63	71	78
			43%	62%	43%	38%	32%	40%	46%	53%	57%	37%	40%	39%	36%
				cd				a	a	cef	cdef				
NET: Disagree			541	13	20	49	30	285	255	41	72	112	85	102	128
			52%	38%	51%	52%	64%	57%	47%	42%	37%	55%	54%	56%	60%
						a		b				ab	b	ab	ab
Base for stats			990	35	37	85	45	484	506	94	183	186	148	173	206
Mean Score			2.45	2.70	2.47	2.39	2.29	2.35	2.54	2.70	2.69	2.34	2.40	2.41	2.26
								a	a	cdef	cdef				
Standard Deviation			.921	1.032	.840	.824	.862	.943	.890	.930	.913	.927	.903	.903	.884
Standard Error			.029	.172	.136	.088	.126	.044	.039	.099	.067	.066	.079	.068	.060
Error variance			*	.03	.02	.01	.02	*	*	.01	*	*	.01	*	*

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q2\_5. Wolves pose a risk to people: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Age			Diet							
		Total	18-34	35-54	55+	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexitarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	c	a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total		1049	288	353	408	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total		1047	293	359	395	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	144 14%	58 20% bc	44 12%	43 11%	119 14%	22 13%	14 12%	3 24%	1 7%	1 6%	3 20%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	305 29%	105 36% bc	94 26%	106 27%	250 29%	50 29%	35 31%	4 29%	5 30%	4 20%	3 22%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	388 37%	85 29%	140 39% a	163 41% a	310 36%	69 40%	49 45%	5 41%	3 18%	6 33%	5 32%
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	153 15%	29 10%	57 16% a	67 17% a	122 14%	28 16%	10 10%	1 7%	5 32%	7 36%	4 27%
Don't know		57 5%	16 5%	25 7%	16 4%	51 6%	5 3%	2 2%	- -	2 13%	1 5%	- -
NET: Agree		450 43%	163 56% bc	137 38%	149 38%	370 43%	72 42%	48 44%	7 53%	6 37%	5 26%	7 42%
NET: Disagree		541 52%	114 39%	197 55% a	230 58% a	431 51%	96 55%	60 54%	6 47%	8 50%	13 69%	9 58%
Base for stats		990	277	334	379	801	168	108	12	14	18	16
Mean Score		2.45	2.70 bc	2.37	2.33	2.46	2.40	2.48	2.69	2.14	1.95	2.35
Standard Deviation		.921	.917	.916	.895	.923	.912	.837	.946	1.049	.936	1.116
Standard Error		.029	.056	.051	.045	.033	.070	.081	.262	.280	.221	.288
Error variance		*	*	*	*	*	*	.01	.07	.08	.05	.08

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q2\_6. Wolves should be strictly protected: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

			Poland regions											
		Total	Dolno I skie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	ódzkie	Ma opolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	I skie
Unweighted Total		1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131
Total		1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	507	40	26	30	11	37	35	81	10	27	19	30	62
		48%	51%	46%	51%	38%	53%	39%	56%	37%	47%	58%	48%	49%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	373	25	25	23	10	20	36	40	14	15	11	23	53
		36%	32%	43%	39%	37%	30%	39%	28%	50%	26%	34%	36%	42%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	92	4	4	2	2	7	15	10	-	8	2	7	5
		9%	5%	7%	4%	7%	10%	16%	7%	-	14%	6%	11%	4%
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	22	4	-	-	1	1	-	9	1	1	1	-	3
		2%	5%	-	-	3%	1%	-	6%	4%	2%	3%	-	2%
Don't know		53	6	2	4	4	4	6	5	2	6	-	3	4
		5%	8%	3%	7%	15%	6%	6%	3%	9%	10%	-	5%	3%
NET: Agree		880	66	51	53	21	57	71	120	24	43	30	52	114
		84%	83%	90%	90%	75%	83%	78%	84%	87%	74%	92%	84%	91%
NET: Disagree		114	8	4	2	3	8	15	19	1	9	3	7	8
		11%	10%	7%	4%	10%	11%	16%	13%	4%	16%	8%	11%	6%
Base for stats		994	73	55	55	24	65	85	139	25	52	33	59	122
Mean Score		3.37	3.39	3.41	3.51	3.28	3.43	3.24	3.38	3.32	3.33	3.47	3.39	3.42
Standard Deviation		.743	.818	.626	.580	.798	.742	.729	.880	.710	.827	.738	.692	.683
Standard Error		.024	.094	.084	.077	.160	.096	.083	.074	.148	.123	.127	.089	.061
Error variance		*	.01	.01	.01	.03	.01	.01	.01	.02	.02	.02	.01	*



## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q2\_6. Wolves should be strictly protected: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

			Poland regions				Gender		Age					
		Total	wi tokrzyskie	Warmi sko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	c	d	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f
Unweighted Total		1049	36	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225
Total		1047	35	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	507 48%	16 47%	18 45%	44 47%	22 46%	231 46%	276 51%	48 48%	93 48%	119 59% bdef	69 44%	78 43%	101 47%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	373 36%	11 31%	14 35%	36 38%	19 41%	178 36%	196 36%	37 38%	73 38%	66 33%	60 38%	64 35%	74 34%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	92 9%	6 19%	6 15%	10 10%	5 11%	60 12% b	32 6%	7 7%	17 9% c	7 3%	12 8%	22 12% c	28 13% c
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	22 2%	- -	- -	- -	1 2%	11 2%	11 2%	1 1%	5 3%	4 2%	5 3%	4 2%	4 2%
Don't know		53 5%	1 3%	2 5%	5 5%	- -	21 4%	32 6%	6 6%	6 3%	7 3%	13 8% b	13 7%	8 4%
NET: Agree		880 84%	27 78%	32 80%	79 85%	41 87%	408 82%	472 86% a	85 86%	166 86%	185 91% def	128 82%	142 79%	174 81%
NET: Disagree		114 11%	6 19%	6 15%	10 10%	6 13%	71 14% b	43 8%	8 8%	21 11% c	11 5%	16 10%	26 14% c	32 15% c
Base for stats		994	34	38	89	47	479	515	93	187	196	144	168	206
Mean Score		3.37	3.29	3.31	3.38	3.32	3.31	3.43 a	3.42	3.36	3.53 bdef	3.33	3.29	3.32
Standard Deviation		.743	.781	.740	.678	.748	.778	.704 a	.681	.752	.659 bdef	.759	.782	.776
Standard Error		.024	.132	.120	.071	.107	.036	.030	.073	.055	.046	.067	.060	.053
Error variance		*	.02	.01	*	.01	*	*	.01	*	*	*	*	*

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q2\_6. Wolves should be strictly protected: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Age				Diet						
		Total	18-34	35-54	55+	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	c	a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total		1049	288	353	408	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total		1047	293	359	395	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	507 48%	141 48%	187 52%	179 45%	401 47%	98 56% a	63 57% a	4 34%	11 68%	13 70%	6 41%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	373 36%	110 38%	126 35%	137 35%	311 37%	56 32%	34 31%	5 43%	4 25%	6 30%	7 46%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	92 9%	23 8%	19 5%	50 13% ab	78 9%	9 5%	6 6%	2 16%	1 6%	- -	- -
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	22 2%	6 2%	8 2%	8 2%	16 2%	4 2%	3 3%	1 7%	- -	- -	- -
Don't know		53 5%	12 4%	19 5%	21 5%	45 5%	6 3%	4 4%	- -	- -	- -	2 13%
NET: Agree		880 84%	251 86%	313 87% c	316 80%	713 84%	154 89%	97 88%	10 76%	15 94%	19 100%	14 87%
NET: Disagree		114 11%	29 10%	27 7%	58 15% b	94 11%	13 8%	9 9%	3 24%	1 6%	- -	- -
Base for stats		994	280	340	374	807	168	106	12	16	19	14
Mean Score		3.37	3.38	3.45 c	3.30	3.36	3.48	3.47	3.03	3.62	3.70	3.47
Standard Deviation		.743	.728	.709	.778	.737	.715	.744	.928	.621	.472	.519
Standard Error		.024	.044	.039	.040	.026	.055	.072	.257	.155	.108	.144
Error variance		*	*	*	*	*	*	.01	.07	.02	.01	.02

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q2\_7. I would know how to behave if I were to encounter a wolf: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Poland regions												
Total	Dolno I skie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	ódzkie	Ma opolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	I skie	
1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	
1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
117	13	6	6	6	11	7	12	1	10	2	8	12	
11%	17%	11%	11%	21%	16%	7%	8%	4%	18%	6%	13%	9%	
308	20	17	29	4	19	21	51	6	12	13	18	34	
29%	26%	31%	49%	13%	27%	23%	36%	21%	20%	40%	29%	27%	
308	19	13	12	10	16	39	41	9	21	7	21	35	
29%	23%	22%	20%	37%	23%	43%	28%	33%	36%	23%	34%	28%	
149	14	10	4	3	14	7	21	6	7	7	5	23	
14%	18%	17%	6%	10%	21%	7%	14%	20%	12%	23%	8%	18%	
164	13	11	8	5	9	18	19	6	8	3	10	22	
16%	16%	19%	13%	18%	13%	19%	13%	21%	14%	8%	16%	17%	
426	34	24	36	9	29	28	63	7	22	15	26	45	
41%	42%	42%	60%	34%	43%	31%	44%	25%	38%	46%	42%	36%	
458	33	22	15	13	31	45	61	15	28	15	26	58	
44%	41%	39%	26%	48%	45%	50%	43%	54%	48%	46%	42%	47%	
883	66	46	51	23	60	73	125	22	50	30	52	104	
2.45	2.49	2.44	2.75	2.54	2.43	2.38	2.44	2.11	2.52	2.32	2.57	2.33	
.923	1.048	.986	.776	1.024	1.049	.779	.881	.870	.982	.934	.865	.946	
.031	.127	.144	.108	.209	.140	.096	.078	.195	.150	.168	.119	.091	
*	.02	.02	.01	.04	.02	.01	.01	.04	.02	.03	.01	.01	

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q2\_7. I would know how to behave if I were to encounter a wolf: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Poland regions				Gender		Age						
		Total	wi tokrzyskie	Warmi sko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	c	d	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f
Unweighted Total		1049	36	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225
Total		1047	35	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.0)		117 11%	1 3%	6 15%	13 14%	3 6%	76 15% b	41 8%	13 13%	22 11%	24 12%	15 9%	18 10%	26 12%
Tend to agree (3.0)		308 29%	10 28%	10 25%	30 32%	14 30%	190 38% b	118 22%	32 32%	57 29%	52 26%	48 31%	51 28%	68 32%
Tend to disagree (2.0)		308 29%	12 33%	14 35%	27 29%	14 29%	127 25%	182 33% a	35 35%	62 32%	60 30%	52 33%	48 27%	52 24%
Strongly disagree (1.0)		149 14%	8 24%	4 9%	10 11%	6 14%	39 8%	110 20% a	10 10%	38 20% adf	34 17% f	15 10%	29 16%	22 10%
Don't know		164 16%	4 11%	6 15%	14 15%	10 21%	68 14%	96 17%	10 10%	15 8%	32 16% b	27 17% b	34 19% b	46 21% ab
NET: Agree		426 41%	11 31%	16 40%	43 46%	17 36%	266 53% b	160 29%	44 45%	78 40%	76 37%	63 40%	69 38%	95 44%
NET: Disagree		458 44%	20 58%	17 44%	37 40%	20 43%	166 33%	292 53% a	45 45%	100 52% f	94 47% f	67 43%	77 43%	74 34%
Base for stats		883	31	33	80	37	432	451	90	178	170	130	147	169
Mean Score		2.45	2.11	2.55 a	2.57 a	2.36	2.70 b	2.20	2.52	2.35	2.38	2.48	2.39	2.59 bc
Standard Deviation		.923	.859	.924	.919	.865	.864	.912	.880	.950	.959	.849	.946	.904
Standard Error		.031	.152	.159	.101	.140	.043	.042	.095	.071	.072	.079	.078	.068
Error variance		*	.02	.03	.01	.02	*	*	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	*

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q2\_7. I would know how to behave if I were to encounter a wolf: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Age			Diet							
		Total	18-34	35-54	55+	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	c	a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total		1049	288	353	408	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total		1047	293	359	395	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	117	34	38	44	95	20	9	2	3	2	4
		11%	12%	11%	11%	11%	12%	8%	16%	19%	11%	28%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	308	88	100	120	238	64	42	4	4	9	5
		29%	30%	28%	30%	28%	37% a	38% a	32%	25%	48%	32%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	308	97	112	100	254	47	31	5	4	4	2
		29%	33% c	31%	25%	30%	27%	28%	38%	25%	22%	14%
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	149	48	50	51	130	18	10	2	2	3	1
		14%	17%	14%	13%	15%	10%	9%	14%	13%	14%	6%
Don't know		164	25	59	80	134	25	19	-	3	1	3
		16%	9%	16% a	20% a	16%	15%	17%	-	19%	5%	19%
NET: Agree		426	123	139	164	333	84	50	6	7	11	9
		41%	42%	39%	42%	39%	48% a	46%	47%	44%	59%	61%
NET: Disagree		458	145	162	151	384	64	41	7	6	7	3
		44%	50% c	45%	38%	45%	37%	38%	53%	38%	37%	21%
Base for stats		883	268	300	315	717	148	92	12	13	18	13
Mean Score		2.45	2.41	2.43	2.50	2.42	2.58 a	2.53	2.49	2.61	2.58	3.02
Standard Deviation		.923	.929	.913	.927	.933	.871	.813	.962	1.055	.909	.956
Standard Error		.031	.057	.053	.052	.035	.072	.085	.267	.293	.214	.276
Error variance		*	*	*	*	*	.01	.01	.07	.09	.05	.08

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q2\_8. Wolves should be allowed to roam freely without human intervention: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Poland regions												
Total	Dolno I skie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	ódzkie	Ma opolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	I skie	
1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	
1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
387	36	18	23	12	21	27	62	5	19	13	20	47	
37%	46%	31%	40%	42%	31%	30%	43%	17%	32%	39%	32%	38%	
414	26	26	25	10	23	39	58	14	24	11	23	59	
40%	33%	45%	42%	37%	33%	43%	40%	50%	42%	35%	37%	47%	
139	10	9	5	6	13	14	11	2	6	6	9	10	
13%	13%	15%	9%	21%	19%	15%	7%	8%	10%	18%	14%	8%	
50	4	3	1	-	4	3	8	3	8	2	5	3	
5%	5%	5%	2%	-	6%	4%	5%	12%	14%	6%	8%	2%	
56	3	2	5	-	8	7	5	4	1	1	6	7	
5%	4%	4%	8%	-	12%	8%	3%	13%	2%	3%	9%	5%	
801	62	43	48	22	44	66	121	18	43	24	43	106	
77%	78%	76%	81%	79%	64%	73%	84%	66%	74%	74%	69%	84%	
189	14	12	6	6	17	17	18	6	14	8	13	13	
18%	18%	20%	11%	21%	25%	19%	13%	21%	24%	24%	22%	10%	
991	76	55	54	28	61	83	139	24	57	32	56	119	
3.15	3.24	3.06	3.30	3.21	3.00	3.08	3.26	2.81	2.94	3.10	3.03	3.27	
.844	.872	.835	.729	.778	.920	.809	.826	.923	1.008	.914	.927	.714	
.027	.098	.112	.098	.144	.122	.093	.069	.197	.144	.159	.122	.064	
*	.01	.01	.01	.02	.01	.01	*	.04	.02	.03	.01	*	

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q2\_8. Wolves should be allowed to roam freely without human intervention: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Poland regions				Gender		Age						
		Total	wi tokrzyskie	Warmi sko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	c	d	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f
Unweighted Total		1049	36	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225
Total		1047	35	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	387	16	14	38	17	198	190	36	62	81	54	69	85
		37%	47%	35%	40%	36%	40%	35%	37%	32%	40%	34%	38%	40%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	414	9	18	32	17	201	213	40	78	71	65	71	88
		40%	26%	45%	34%	37%	40%	39%	40%	40%	35%	41%	39%	41%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	139	5	7	18	10	68	71	16	28	27	21	26	22
		13%	14%	18%	19%	20%	14%	13%	16%	14%	13%	13%	15%	10%
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	50	3	-	3	1	13	37	1	15	12	9	6	6
		5%	8%	-	3%	2%	3%	7% a	1%	8% af	6%	6%	3%	3%
Don't know		56	2	1	4	2	21	36	6	10	11	9	8	12
		5%	6%	2%	4%	4%	4%	7%	6%	5%	5%	6%	4%	6%
NET: Agree		801	25	32	70	34	398	403	77	140	153	118	141	173
		77%	73%	80%	74%	73%	80% b	74%	77%	72%	75%	75%	78%	81% b
NET: Disagree		189	8	7	21	10	81	109	17	43	39	30	32	29
		18%	22%	18%	22%	22%	16%	20%	17%	22% f	19%	19%	18%	13%
Base for stats		991	33	39	90	45	479	511	93	183	191	148	173	202
Mean Score		3.15	3.18	3.18	3.16	3.12	3.22 b	3.09	3.20	3.02	3.16	3.10	3.18	3.25 b
Standard Deviation		.844	.987	.722	.848	.819	.784	.893	.756	.910	.893	.861	.815	.776
Standard Error		.027	.169	.116	.088	.119	.037	.039	.081	.067	.063	.075	.062	.053
Error variance		*	.03	.01	.01	.01	*	*	.01	*	*	.01	*	*

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q2\_8. Wolves should be allowed to roam freely without human intervention: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Age			Diet								
					Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products							
		Total	18-34	35-54	55+			Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan	
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	c	a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g	
Unweighted Total		1049	288	353	408	855	173	110	13	16	19	15	
Total		1047	293	359	395	852	173	110	12	16	19	16	
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Strongly agree		(4.0)	387	98	135	154	314	68	40	6	7	8	
			37%	34%	38%	39%	37%	39%	36%	46%	44%	36%	54%
Tend to agree		(3.0)	414	118	136	159	338	67	42	3	7	9	5
			40%	40%	38%	40%	40%	38%	39%	22%	43%	49%	32%
Tend to disagree		(2.0)	139	43	47	49	113	23	15	4	1	2	1
			13%	15%	13%	12%	13%	13%	14%	32%	6%	10%	6%
Strongly disagree		(1.0)	50	16	21	12	40	6	4	-	-	1	1
			5%	6%	6%	3%	5%	4%	4%	-	-	6%	8%
Don't know			56	17	20	20	46	9	8	-	1	-	-
			5%	6%	6%	5%	5%	5%	8%	-	7%	-	-
NET: Agree			801	217	271	314	652	135	83	8	14	16	13
			77%	74%	75%	79%	77%	78%	75%	68%	87%	85%	87%
NET: Disagree			189	60	69	61	154	29	19	4	1	3	2
			18%	20%	19%	16%	18%	17%	18%	32%	6%	15%	13%
Base for stats			991	276	339	375	806	164	102	12	15	19	16
Mean Score			3.15	3.08	3.13	3.22	3.15	3.20	3.16	3.14	3.40	3.15	3.34
					a								
Standard Deviation			.844	.864	.879	.794	.843	.823	.829	.911	.637	.834	.919
Standard Error			.027	.052	.048	.040	.030	.064	.082	.253	.164	.191	.237
Error variance			*	*	*	*	*	*	.01	.06	.03	.04	.06



## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q3 Summary: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Strongly agree	Tend to agree	Tend to disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know	NET: Agree	NET: Disagree
Unweighted Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Farmers and people living in rural areas should use non-lethal methods to keep wolves away	1047 100%	632 60%	327 31%	40 4%	16 2%	32 3%	959 92%	56 5%
The Government and European Union should fund and equip farmers with the tools to protect farm animals from wolf attacks	1047 100%	576 55%	381 36%	37 4%	19 2%	34 3%	957 91%	56 5%
Humans should find a way to coexist with wolves	1047 100%	523 50%	403 38%	61 6%	21 2%	38 4%	926 88%	83 8%
Only authorities should have the authority to permit the killing of wolves to protect farm animals when necessary	1047 100%	641 61%	279 27%	56 5%	30 3%	41 4%	920 88%	86 8%
Farmers and people living in rural areas should coexist with wolves and other wild animals without harming them	1047 100%	503 48%	406 39%	74 7%	16 2%	48 5%	909 87%	90 9%
Farmers and people living in rural areas should accept the presence of wolves and other wild animals	1047 100%	462 44%	431 41%	83 8%	19 2%	52 5%	893 85%	102 10%

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q3 Nets summary (Agree): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Poland regions											
Total	Dolno I skie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	ódzkie	Ma opolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	I skie
1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131
1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
959	68	55	55	25	64	81	135	24	52	28	58	120
92%	85%	97%	93%	90%	93%	89%	94%	88%	90%	86%	94%	95%
957	69	54	59	24	64	79	134	25	51	32	60	114
91%	87%	95%	100%	86%	94%	86%	93%	91%	88%	97%	97%	91%
926	69	51	56	26	63	77	125	23	51	27	56	116
88%	86%	90%	95%	93%	92%	84%	87%	83%	88%	83%	91%	92%
920	65	50	47	24	64	74	131	26	50	25	59	114
88%	82%	88%	80%	85%	94%	82%	91%	96%	86%	77%	95%	91%
909	64	51	54	25	63	79	127	24	45	28	51	116
87%	81%	90%	91%	89%	92%	86%	88%	88%	78%	85%	83%	92%
893	66	50	55	21	58	74	127	21	44	26	56	115
85%	83%	88%	93%	75%	84%	82%	88%	75%	76%	80%	90%	92%

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q3 Nets summary (Agree): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions				Gender		Age					
		wi tokrzyskie	Warmi sko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	d	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f
Unweighted Total	1049	36	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225
Total	1047	35	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Farmers and people living in rural areas should use non-lethal methods to keep wolves away	959 92%	31 88%	38 95%	84 90%	43 92%	460 92%	499 91%	86 86%	174 90%	185 91%	144 92%	163 90%	209 97% abcde
The Government and European Union should fund and equip farmers with the tools to protect farm animals from wolf attacks	957 91%	31 88%	35 87%	84 90%	43 92%	458 92%	498 91%	83 83%	174 90%	179 89%	146 93% a	170 94% ac	205 96% abc
Humans should find a way to coexist with wolves	926 88%	30 86%	35 88%	85 91% d	37 79%	450 90%	476 87%	79 79%	164 85%	175 87%	140 89% a	167 92% ab	200 94% abc
Only authorities should have the authority to permit the killing of wolves to protect farm animals when necessary	920 88%	29 83%	36 92%	87 92%	39 84%	439 88%	481 88%	80 80%	164 85%	179 88%	137 87%	162 90% a	199 93% ab
Farmers and people living in rural areas should coexist with wolves and other wild animals without harming them	909 87%	33 94%	32 82%	80 85%	38 82%	444 89%	465 85%	78 78%	164 85%	180 89% a	137 87%	153 85%	197 92% abe
Farmers and people living in rural areas should accept the presence of wolves and other wild animals	893 85%	30 85%	33 84%	80 85%	38 82%	441 88% b	452 83%	79 79%	158 82%	170 84%	137 87%	159 88%	191 89% ab

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q3 Nets summary (Agree): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Age			Diet						
					Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products					
	Total	18-34	35-54	55+			Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total	1049	288	353	408	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total	1047	293	359	395	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Farmers and people living in rural areas should use non-lethal methods to keep wolves away	959 92%	260 89%	328 91%	371 94% a	774 91%	166 96% a	106 96%	9 75%	16 100%	19 100%	16 100%
The Government and European Union should fund and equip farmers with the tools to protect farm animals from wolf attacks	957 91%	257 88%	325 90%	375 95% ab	780 92%	159 92%	103 94%	12 93%	16 100%	18 94%	10 66%
Humans should find a way to coexist with wolves	926 88%	243 83%	316 88%	367 93% ab	756 89%	153 88%	97 88%	10 77%	15 94%	18 95%	13 87%
Only authorities should have the authority to permit the killing of wolves to protect farm animals when necessary	920 88%	244 83%	315 88%	361 91% a	748 88%	153 88%	102 93%	9 70%	15 93%	17 89%	10 67%
Farmers and people living in rural areas should coexist with wolves and other wild animals without harming them	909 87%	242 83%	317 88%	350 89% a	736 86%	155 89%	97 88%	10 84%	15 95%	19 100%	14 87%
Farmers and people living in rural areas should accept the presence of wolves and other wild animals	893 85%	237 81%	306 85%	349 89% a	727 85%	149 86%	94 85%	10 84%	13 81%	19 100%	13 81%

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q3 Nets summary (Disagree): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Poland regions											
Total	Dolno I skie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	ódzkie	Ma opolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	I skie
1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131
1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
90	10	4	3	1	3	7	14	3	8	5	9	3
9%	12%	7%	5%	3%	5%	8%	10%	12%	14%	15%	14%	2%
102	9	6	4	4	4	9	15	5	10	6	5	6
10%	11%	10%	7%	14%	6%	10%	11%	17%	18%	17%	8%	5%
56	9	2	2	3	2	7	7	2	2	3	3	3
5%	11%	3%	4%	10%	3%	7%	5%	8%	4%	8%	5%	2%
56	6	2	-	4	2	7	8	2	4	1	-	6
5%	7%	4%	-	14%	3%	7%	6%	9%	6%	3%	-	5%
86	11	5	8	3	2	9	11	-	5	6	2	7
8%	13%	9%	14%	11%	3%	10%	8%	-	8%	20%	3%	6%
83	7	4	2	1	2	8	13	3	5	6	5	8
8%	9%	7%	4%	3%	3%	9%	9%	12%	8%	17%	8%	7%

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q3 Nets summary (Disagree): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions				Gender		Age					
		wi tokrzyskie	Warmi sko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	d	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f
Unweighted Total	1049	36	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225
Total	1047	35	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Farmers and people living in rural areas should coexist with wolves and other wild animals without harming them	90 9%	2 6%	2 5%	9 9%	8 16%	37 7%	54 10%	16 16% cdf	21 11%	14 7%	10 6%	16 9%	13 6%
Farmers and people living in rural areas should accept the presence of wolves and other wild animals	102 10%	3 9%	4 10%	7 7%	7 14%	37 7%	65 12% a	16 16% def	25 13%	19 9%	11 7%	14 8%	17 8%
Farmers and people living in rural areas should use non-lethal methods to keep wolves away	56 5%	3 9%	1 2%	3 3%	4 8%	24 5%	32 6%	12 12% cf	14 7% f	10 5% f	8 5% f	10 6% f	3 1%
The Government and European Union should fund and equip farmers with the tools to protect farm animals from wolf attacks	56 5%	3 9%	2 5%	6 6%	4 8%	29 6%	27 5%	11 11% ef	13 7% e	15 8% ef	7 4%	3 2%	7 3%
Only authorities should have the authority to permit the killing of wolves to protect farm animals when necessary	86 8%	4 12%	2 5%	4 4%	7 14% c	44 9%	42 8%	16 17% cdef	22 11% ef	16 8%	12 8%	10 5%	10 4%
Humans should find a way to coexist with wolves	83 8%	3 9%	1 2%	6 6%	9 19% bc	34 7%	48 9%	19 20% cdef	24 12% def	17 8% f	8 5%	7 4%	7 3%

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q3 Nets summary (Disagree): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Age			Diet						
					Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products					
	Total	18-34	35-54	55+			Flexitarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total	1049	288	353	408	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total	1047	293	359	395	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Farmers and people living in rural areas should coexist with wolves and other wild animals without harming them	90 9%	37 13% bc	24 7%	29 7%	72 8%	14 8%	9 9%	2 16%	1 5%	- -	2 13%
Farmers and people living in rural areas should accept the presence of wolves and other wild animals	102 10%	41 14% bc	30 8%	31 8%	85 10%	13 7%	7 7%	2 16%	1 5%	- -	3 19%
Farmers and people living in rural areas should use non-lethal methods to keep wolves away	56 5%	26 9% c	18 5%	13 3%	50 6%	5 3%	2 2%	3 25%	- -	- -	- -
The Government and European Union should fund and equip farmers with the tools to protect farm animals from wolf attacks	56 5%	24 8% c	22 6% c	10 2%	40 5%	11 7%	5 4%	1 7%	- -	1 6%	4 28%
Only authorities should have the authority to permit the killing of wolves to protect farm animals when necessary	86 8%	38 13% bc	28 8%	19 5%	68 8%	15 9%	7 6%	4 30%	- -	2 11%	2 13%
Humans should find a way to coexist with wolves	83 8%	43 15% bc	25 7% c	14 4%	65 8%	16 9%	11 10%	3 23%	- -	1 5%	1 8%

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q3\_1. Farmers and people living in rural areas should coexist with wolves and other wild animals without harming them: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Poland regions												
Total	Dolno I skie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	ódzkie	Ma opolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	I skie	
1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	
1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
503	40	23	29	12	39	36	84	13	22	13	25	65	
48%	50%	41%	49%	41%	57%	40%	59%	45%	38%	39%	41%	52%	
406	24	28	25	13	24	43	42	12	23	15	26	51	
39%	30%	49%	42%	48%	35%	47%	29%	42%	40%	46%	42%	40%	
74	8	4	2	1	2	5	10	2	8	4	8	1	
7%	9%	7%	4%	3%	3%	5%	7%	8%	14%	12%	13%	1%	
16	2	-	1	-	1	2	5	1	-	1	1	2	
2%	3%	-	2%	-	1%	2%	3%	4%	-	3%	1%	1%	
48	6	2	2	2	2	6	3	-	5	-	2	7	
5%	7%	3%	3%	8%	3%	6%	2%	-	8%	-	3%	6%	
909	64	51	54	25	63	79	127	24	45	28	51	116	
87%	81%	90%	91%	89%	92%	86%	88%	88%	78%	85%	83%	92%	
90	10	4	3	1	3	7	14	3	8	5	9	3	
9%	12%	7%	5%	3%	5%	8%	10%	12%	14%	15%	14%	2%	
999	73	55	57	26	66	85	141	28	53	33	60	119	
3.40	3.39	3.35	3.43	3.41	3.53	3.31	3.46	3.29	3.26	3.22	3.26	3.51	
.696	.783	.613	.667	.572	.639	.699	.774	.806	.711	.768	.744	.597	
.022	.090	.082	.088	.110	.081	.080	.064	.161	.105	.132	.094	.054	
*	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	*	.03	.01	.02	.01	*	



## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q3\_1. Farmers and people living in rural areas should coexist with wolves and other wild animals without harming them: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Poland regions				Gender		Age						
		Total	wi tokrzyskie	Warmi sko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	c	d	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f
Unweighted Total		1049	36	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225
Total		1047	35	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	503	18	17	47	20	243	260	39	80	118	71	85	109
		48%	52%	43%	50%	43%	49%	48%	39%	42%	59%	45%	47%	51%
											abde			
Tend to agree	(3.0)	406	15	16	32	18	201	205	39	84	61	66	68	88
		39%	42%	40%	35%	38%	40%	38%	39%	44%	30%	42%	37%	41%
										c		c		c
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	74	2	2	9	8	31	43	14	19	11	7	14	9
		7%	6%	5%	9%	16%	6%	8%	14%	10%	6%	4%	8%	4%
									cdf	f				
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	16	-	-	-	-	6	10	2	2	3	3	2	4
		2%	-	-	-	-	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%
Don't know		48	-	5	6	1	19	28	5	8	8	10	12	5
		5%	-	13%	6%	2%	4%	5%	5%	4%	4%	6%	6%	2%
				ad								f	f	
NET: Agree		909	33	32	80	38	444	465	78	164	180	137	153	197
		87%	94%	82%	85%	82%	89%	85%	78%	85%	89%	87%	85%	92%
											a			abe
NET: Disagree		90	2	2	9	8	37	54	16	21	14	10	16	13
		9%	6%	5%	9%	16%	7%	10%	16%	11%	7%	6%	9%	6%
									cdf					
Base for stats		999	35	34	88	46	481	519	94	185	194	147	169	210
Mean Score		3.40	3.46	3.44	3.43	3.27	3.42	3.38	3.22	3.31	3.52	3.39	3.40	3.44
											ab			a
Standard Deviation		.696	.617	.605	.670	.738	.667	.723	.782	.696	.678	.685	.693	.663
Standard Error		.022	.103	.102	.070	.107	.031	.031	.083	.051	.047	.060	.053	.045
Error variance		*	.01	.01	*	.01	*	*	.01	*	*	*	*	*

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q3\_1. Farmers and people living in rural areas should coexist with wolves and other wild animals without harming them: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Age			Diet							
		Total	18-34	35-54	55+	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	c	a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total		1049	288	353	408	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total		1047	293	359	395	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	503	119	190	194	394	102	63	8	10	13	8
		48%	41%	53%	49%	46%	59%	57%	62%	64%	69%	53%
				a	a		a	a				
Tend to agree	(3.0)	406	123	127	156	343	53	34	3	5	6	5
		39%	42%	35%	39%	40%	30%	31%	22%	30%	31%	34%
					b							
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	74	33	18	23	60	11	7	2	-	-	2
		7%	11%	5%	6%	7%	6%	6%	16%	-	-	13%
			bc									
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	16	4	6	6	12	3	2	-	1	-	-
		2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	-	5%	-	-
Don't know		48	13	18	16	43	4	4	-	-	-	-
		5%	5%	5%	4%	5%	2%	4%	-	-	-	-
NET: Agree		909	242	317	350	736	155	97	10	15	19	14
		87%	83%	88%	89%	86%	89%	88%	84%	95%	100%	87%
					a							
NET: Disagree		90	37	24	29	72	14	9	2	1	-	2
		9%	13%	7%	7%	8%	8%	9%	16%	5%	-	13%
			bc									
Base for stats		999	279	341	379	808	169	106	12	16	19	16
Mean Score		3.40	3.28	3.47	3.42	3.38	3.50	3.48	3.46	3.54	3.69	3.40
				a	a		a					
Standard Deviation		.696	.726	.683	.676	.688	.706	.721	.787	.781	.474	.731
Standard Error		.022	.044	.037	.034	.024	.054	.070	.218	.195	.109	.189
Error variance		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	.05	.04	.01	.04

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q3\_2. Farmers and people living in rural areas should accept the presence of wolves and other wild animals: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Poland regions												
Total	Dolno I skie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	ódzkie	Ma opolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	I skie	
1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	
1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
462	29	28	26	10	33	35	79	16	18	13	27	54	
44%	36%	49%	44%	35%	48%	39%	55%	58%	32%	39%	44%	43%	
431	37	22	29	11	25	39	48	5	26	13	29	61	
41%	47%	39%	49%	40%	36%	43%	33%	17%	45%	41%	46%	48%	
83	6	6	4	2	3	7	11	3	9	5	4	5	
8%	7%	10%	7%	7%	5%	7%	8%	12%	16%	14%	6%	4%	
19	3	-	-	2	1	2	4	1	1	1	1	1	
2%	3%	-	-	7%	1%	3%	3%	5%	2%	3%	1%	1%	
52	5	1	-	3	7	8	2	2	3	1	2	5	
5%	6%	2%	-	11%	10%	8%	1%	8%	6%	3%	3%	4%	
893	66	50	55	21	58	74	127	21	44	26	56	115	
85%	83%	88%	93%	75%	84%	82%	88%	75%	76%	80%	90%	92%	
102	9	6	4	4	4	9	15	5	10	6	5	6	
10%	11%	10%	7%	14%	6%	10%	11%	17%	18%	17%	8%	5%	
995	75	56	59	25	62	83	142	25	54	32	60	121	
3.34	3.24	3.40	3.37	3.15	3.45	3.29	3.42	3.40	3.12	3.20	3.36	3.40	
.713	.750	.673	.619	.895	.674	.736	.759	.914	.766	.803	.672	.604	
.023	.085	.089	.080	.175	.089	.085	.063	.191	.112	.140	.085	.054	
*	.01	.01	.01	.03	.01	.01	*	.04	.01	.02	.01	*	

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q3\_2. Farmers and people living in rural areas should accept the presence of wolves and other wild animals: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Poland regions				Gender		Age							
		Total	wi tokrzyskie	Warmi sko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	c	d	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	
Unweighted Total		1049	36	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	
Total		1047	35	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Strongly agree		(4.0)	462	14	16	41	22	242	220	38	76	101	71	77	99
			44%	41%	41%	43%	47%	48% b	40%	38%	39%	50% b	45%	43%	46%
Tend to agree		(3.0)	431	15	17	39	16	200	231	41	82	69	65	81	92
			41%	44%	44%	41%	34%	40%	42%	41%	43%	34%	42%	45% c	43%
Tend to disagree		(2.0)	83	3	4	6	6	29	54	12	22	15	8	13	13
			8%	9%	10%	6%	12%	6%	10% a	12%	11% d	8%	5%	7%	6%
Strongly disagree		(1.0)	19	-	-	1	1	8	11	5	3	4	4	1	4
			2%	-	-	1%	2%	2%	2%	5% e	2%	2%	2%	*	2%
Don't know			52	2	2	8	2	22	30	5	10	14	9	8	7
			5%	6%	5%	8%	4%	4%	5%	5%	5%	7%	6%	4%	3%
NET: Agree			893	30	33	80	38	441	452	79	158	170	137	159	191
			85%	85%	84%	85%	82%	88% b	83%	79%	82%	84%	87%	88%	89% ab
NET: Disagree			102	3	4	7	7	37	65	16	25	19	11	14	17
			10%	9%	10%	7%	14%	7%	12% a	16% def	13%	9%	7%	8%	8%
Base for stats			995	33	37	86	45	478	517	95	183	188	148	173	208
Mean Score			3.34	3.35	3.32	3.38	3.32	3.41 b	3.28	3.18	3.26	3.42 ab	3.38	3.36	3.38 a
Standard Deviation			.713	.652	.667	.666	.787	.681	.736	.830	.731	.721	.698	.646	.685
Standard Error			.023	.112	.108	.071	.115	.032	.032	.088	.054	.051	.061	.049	.046
Error variance			*	.01	.01	*	.01	*	*	.01	*	*	*	*	*

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q3\_2. Farmers and people living in rural areas should accept the presence of wolves and other wild animals: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Age			Diet							
		Total	18-34	35-54	55+	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	c	a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total		1049	288	353	408	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total		1047	293	359	395	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	462 44%	114 39%	172 48%	176 45%	366 43%	89 51%	55 50%	5 39%	8 51%	12 64%	8 54%
			a				a					
Tend to agree	(3.0)	431 41%	123 42%	134 37%	173 44%	361 42%	60 35%	39 35%	6 45%	5 31%	7 36%	4 27%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	83 8%	34 11%	23 6%	26 7%	70 8%	10 6%	6 6%	2 16%	- -	- -	2 11%
			bc									
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	19 2%	8 3%	7 2%	5 1%	15 2%	3 2%	1 1%	- -	1 5%	- -	1 7%
Don't know		52 5%	15 5%	23 6%	14 4%	40 5%	12 7%	9 9%	- -	2 13%	- -	- -
NET: Agree		893 85%	237 81%	306 85%	349 89%	727 85%	149 86%	94 85%	10 84%	13 81%	19 100%	13 81%
				a								
NET: Disagree		102 10%	41 14%	30 8%	31 8%	85 10%	13 7%	7 7%	2 16%	1 5%	- -	3 19%
			bc									
Base for stats		995	278	336	380	812	162	101	12	14	19	16
Mean Score		3.34	3.23	3.40	3.37	3.33	3.45	3.46	3.23	3.46	3.64	3.28
			a		a		a					
Standard Deviation		.713	.766	.710	.667	.710	.699	.664	.735	.817	.492	.963
Standard Error		.023	.046	.039	.034	.025	.055	.066	.204	.218	.113	.249
Error variance		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	.04	.05	.01	.06

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q3\_3. Farmers and people living in rural areas should use non-lethal methods to keep wolves away: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Poland regions												
Total	Dolno I skie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	ódzkie	Ma opolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	I skie	
1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	
1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
632	46	32	36	14	46	47	94	20	35	17	37	80	
60%	57%	57%	61%	51%	67%	52%	66%	71%	60%	54%	60%	63%	
327	22	23	19	11	18	34	41	5	17	11	21	40	
31%	28%	40%	32%	39%	27%	37%	28%	16%	30%	32%	34%	32%	
40	8	1	1	3	1	5	3	2	2	1	2	1	
4%	10%	2%	2%	10%	2%	5%	2%	8%	4%	3%	3%	1%	
16	1	1	1	-	1	2	4	-	-	2	1	2	
2%	1%	2%	2%	-	1%	2%	3%	-	-	5%	2%	2%	
32	3	-	2	-	2	3	2	1	4	2	1	3	
3%	4%	-	4%	-	3%	4%	1%	4%	6%	6%	1%	2%	
959	68	55	55	25	64	81	135	24	52	28	58	120	
92%	85%	97%	93%	90%	93%	89%	94%	88%	90%	86%	94%	95%	
56	9	2	2	3	2	7	7	2	2	3	3	3	
5%	11%	3%	4%	10%	3%	7%	5%	8%	4%	8%	5%	2%	
1015	76	57	57	28	66	88	142	26	54	31	61	122	
3.55	3.46	3.52	3.57	3.41	3.64	3.44	3.58	3.66	3.59	3.42	3.54	3.61	
.650	.740	.632	.635	.682	.601	.710	.683	.640	.576	.821	.652	.591	
.020	.083	.083	.083	.127	.076	.080	.057	.131	.084	.145	.082	.052	
*	.01	.01	.01	.02	.01	.01	*	.02	.01	.02	.01	*	

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q3\_3. Farmers and people living in rural areas should use non-lethal methods to keep wolves away: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Poland regions				Gender		Age						
		Total	wi tokrzyskie	Warmi sko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	c	d	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f
Unweighted Total		1049	36	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225
Total		1047	35	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	632 60%	22 63%	22 56%	57 60%	28 59%	299 60%	333 61%	56 56%	110 57%	117 58%	92 58%	107 59%	151 70% abcde
Tend to agree	(3.0)	327 31%	9 25%	15 39%	28 30%	15 33%	161 32%	166 30%	29 29%	64 33%	68 34%	52 33%	56 31%	58 27%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	40 4%	3 9%	1 2%	3 3%	3 6%	17 3%	22 4%	7 7%	11 6%	6 3%	4 2%	10 6%	2 1%
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	16 2%	- -	- -	- -	1 2%	6 1%	10 2%	4 5% ef	3 1%	4 2%	4 3% e	- -	1 *
Don't know		32 3%	1 3%	1 3%	7 7%	- -	16 3%	15 3%	2 2%	6 3%	8 4%	5 3%	8 4%	3 1%
NET: Agree		959 92%	31 88%	38 95%	84 90%	43 92%	460 92%	499 91%	86 86%	174 90%	185 91%	144 92%	163 90%	209 97% abcde
NET: Disagree		56 5%	3 9%	1 2%	3 3%	4 8%	24 5%	32 6%	12 12% cf	14 7% f	10 5% f	8 5% f	10 6% f	3 1%
Base for stats		1015	34	38	87	47	484	532	97	188	195	152	173	211
Mean Score		3.55	3.56	3.55	3.62	3.49	3.55	3.55	3.41	3.50	3.53	3.53	3.56	3.70 abcde
Standard Deviation		.650	.669	.552	.554	.711	.632	.667	.823	.675	.656	.686	.604	.507
Standard Error		.020	.113	.088	.058	.102	.029	.028	.086	.049	.046	.059	.046	.034
Error variance		*	.01	.01	*	.01	*	*	.01	*	*	*	*	*

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q3\_3. Farmers and people living in rural areas should use non-lethal methods to keep wolves away: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Age			Diet							
		Total	18-34	35-54	55+	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	c	a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total		1049	288	353	408	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total		1047	293	359	395	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	632 60%	166 57%	209 58%	258 65% ab	502 59%	118 68% a	77 69% a	8 60%	11 68%	13 69%	9 60%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	327 31%	94 32%	120 33%	114 29%	272 32%	48 28%	29 26%	2 15%	5 32%	6 31%	6 40%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	40 4%	18 6% bc	10 3%	12 3%	36 4%	3 2%	1 1%	2 16%	- -	- -	- -
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	16 2%	7 2% c	8 2% c	1 *	14 2%	2 1%	1 1%	1 9%	- -	- -	- -
Don't know		32 3%	8 3%	13 4%	11 3%	28 3%	2 1%	2 2%	- -	- -	- -	- -
NET: Agree		959 92%	260 89%	328 91%	371 94% a	774 91%	166 96% a	106 96%	9 75%	16 100%	19 100%	16 100%
NET: Disagree		56 5%	26 9% c	18 5%	13 3%	50 6%	5 3%	2 2%	3 25%	- -	- -	- -
Base for stats		1015	285	346	384	824	171	108	12	16	19	16
Mean Score		3.55	3.47	3.53	3.64 ab	3.53	3.64 a	3.68 a	3.26	3.68	3.69	3.60
Standard Deviation		.650	.729	.668	.556	.662	.589	.552	1.068	.481	.473	.506
Standard Error		.020	.043	.036	.028	.023	.045	.053	.296	.120	.109	.131
Error variance		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	.09	.01	.01	.02



## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q3\_4. The Government and European Union should fund and equip farmers with the tools to protect farm animals from wolf attacks: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Poland regions												
Total	Dolno I skie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	ódzkie	Ma opolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	I skie	
1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	
1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
576	41	34	36	15	42	43	85	15	32	21	37	65	
55%	52%	59%	61%	55%	61%	47%	59%	53%	56%	65%	59%	52%	
381	27	20	23	9	23	36	49	10	18	10	24	49	
36%	34%	35%	39%	31%	33%	39%	34%	38%	32%	32%	38%	39%	
37	4	2	-	3	-	4	6	1	2	-	-	3	
4%	5%	4%	-	11%	-	4%	4%	5%	4%	-	-	2%	
19	2	-	-	1	2	3	2	1	1	1	-	3	
2%	2%	-	-	3%	3%	4%	1%	4%	2%	3%	-	2%	
34	5	1	-	-	2	6	2	-	3	-	2	6	
3%	6%	2%	-	-	3%	6%	1%	-	6%	-	3%	4%	
957	69	54	59	24	64	79	134	25	51	32	60	114	
91%	87%	95%	100%	86%	94%	86%	93%	91%	88%	97%	97%	91%	
56	6	2	-	4	2	7	8	2	4	1	-	6	
5%	7%	4%	-	14%	3%	7%	6%	9%	6%	3%	-	5%	
1013	74	56	59	28	67	85	142	28	54	33	60	120	
3.50	3.46	3.56	3.61	3.37	3.56	3.39	3.53	3.40	3.51	3.60	3.61	3.47	
.659	.713	.576	.492	.818	.664	.743	.646	.783	.689	.643	.492	.663	
.021	.081	.076	.063	.152	.084	.085	.054	.157	.100	.110	.062	.059	
*	.01	.01	*	.02	.01	.01	*	.02	.01	.01	*	*	

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q3\_4. The Government and European Union should fund and equip farmers with the tools to protect farm animals from wolf attacks: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Poland regions				Gender		Age						
		Total	wi tokrzyskie	Warmi sko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	c	d	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f
Unweighted Total		1049	36	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225
Total		1047	35	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	576	23	18	43	25	289	287	49	91	101	87	111	137
		55%	66%	46%	46%	53%	58%	52%	49%	47%	50%	56%	61%	64%
			c										abc	abc
Tend to agree	(3.0)	381	8	16	41	18	169	212	35	83	78	58	60	68
		36%	22%	42%	43%	38%	34%	39%	35%	43%	38%	37%	33%	32%
					a					ef				
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	37	3	2	4	3	24	13	10	11	4	6	3	4
		4%	9%	5%	4%	6%	5%	2%	10%	6%	2%	4%	2%	2%
							b		cef	cef				
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	19	-	-	2	1	5	13	1	2	11	1	-	3
		2%	-	-	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	6%	1%	-	1%
											bdef			
Don't know		34	1	3	4	-	12	22	5	6	8	4	8	3
		3%	3%	8%	4%	-	2%	4%	5%	3%	4%	3%	4%	1%
									f					
NET: Agree		957	31	35	84	43	458	498	83	174	179	146	170	205
		91%	88%	87%	90%	92%	92%	91%	83%	90%	89%	93%	94%	96%
												a	ac	abc
NET: Disagree		56	3	2	6	4	29	27	11	13	15	7	3	7
		5%	9%	5%	6%	8%	6%	5%	11%	7%	8%	4%	2%	3%
									ef	e	ef			
Base for stats		1013	34	36	90	47	488	525	94	187	194	153	173	211
Mean Score		3.50	3.59	3.44	3.40	3.43	3.52	3.47	3.38	3.41	3.38	3.52	3.62	3.60
													abc	abc
Standard Deviation		.659	.659	.602	.675	.704	.642	.674	.727	.649	.795	.613	.520	.601
Standard Error		.021	.111	.099	.070	.101	.030	.029	.077	.047	.056	.053	.039	.040
Error variance		*	.01	.01	*	.01	*	*	.01	*	*	*	*	*

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q3\_4. The Government and European Union should fund and equip farmers with the tools to protect farm animals from wolf attacks: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Age			Diet							
		Total	18-34	35-54	55+	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexitarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	c	a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total		1049	288	353	408	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total		1047	293	359	395	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	576 55%	140 48%	189 52%	247 63% ab	462 54%	105 60%	72 65% a	8 68%	10 63%	10 52%	4 27%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	381 36%	117 40% c	136 38%	127 32%	318 37%	54 31%	31 28%	3 25%	6 37%	8 42%	6 38%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	37 4%	21 7% bc	10 3%	7 2%	28 3%	6 4%	1 1%	- -	- -	1 6%	4 28%
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	19 2%	3 1%	13 3% ac	3 1%	12 1%	5 3%	4 4%	1 7%	- -	- -	- -
Don't know		34 3%	12 4%	12 3%	10 3%	31 4%	3 2%	2 2%	- -	- -	- -	1 6%
NET: Agree		957 91%	257 88%	325 90%	375 95% ab	780 92%	159 92%	103 94%	12 93%	16 100%	18 94%	10 66%
NET: Disagree		56 5%	24 8% c	22 6% c	10 2%	40 5%	11 7%	5 4%	1 7%	- -	1 6%	4 28%
Base for stats		1013	281	347	384	820	170	108	12	16	19	15
Mean Score		3.50	3.40	3.44	3.61 ab	3.50	3.52	3.58	3.54	3.63	3.46	2.99
Standard Deviation		.659	.675	.722	.565	.640	.708	.699	.855	.499	.625	.797
Standard Error		.021	.041	.039	.028	.022	.054	.067	.237	.125	.143	.213
Error variance		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	.06	.02	.02	.05

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q3\_5. Only authorities should have the authority to permit the killing of wolves to protect farm animals when necessary: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Poland regions												
Total	Dolno I skie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	ódzkie	Ma opolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	I skie	
1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	
1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
641	50	33	31	18	48	52	96	16	33	18	35	80	
61%	63%	58%	53%	65%	70%	57%	67%	58%	58%	54%	57%	64%	
279	15	17	16	6	16	22	35	10	16	8	24	34	
27%	19%	30%	27%	21%	23%	24%	24%	38%	28%	23%	38%	27%	
56	9	2	3	2	-	6	7	-	4	6	2	4	
5%	11%	3%	5%	7%	-	6%	5%	-	6%	17%	3%	3%	
30	2	3	5	1	2	3	4	-	1	1	-	3	
3%	2%	6%	8%	3%	3%	4%	3%	-	2%	3%	-	2%	
41	4	2	4	1	2	8	2	1	4	1	1	5	
4%	5%	3%	7%	4%	3%	9%	1%	4%	6%	3%	1%	4%	
920	65	50	47	24	64	74	131	26	50	25	59	114	
88%	82%	88%	80%	85%	94%	82%	91%	96%	86%	77%	95%	91%	
86	11	5	8	3	2	9	11	-	5	6	2	7	
8%	13%	9%	14%	11%	3%	10%	8%	-	8%	20%	3%	6%	
1006	75	55	55	27	66	83	142	26	54	32	61	121	
3.52	3.50	3.45	3.33	3.53	3.66	3.48	3.57	3.61	3.51	3.32	3.54	3.58	
.734	.799	.823	.943	.799	.646	.794	.721	.498	.715	.873	.568	.683	
.023	.090	.110	.126	.151	.082	.092	.060	.102	.104	.152	.072	.061	
*	.01	.01	.02	.02	.01	.01	*	.01	.01	.02	.01	*	

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q3\_5. Only authorities should have the authority to permit the killing of wolves to protect farm animals when necessary: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Poland regions				Gender		Age						
		Total	wi tokrzyskie	Warmi sko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	c	d	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f
Unweighted Total		1049	36	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225
Total		1047	35	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	641 61%	22 63%	24 60%	58 62%	26 56%	314 63%	328 60%	53 53%	100 52%	130 64% b	95 61%	113 63% b	150 70% ab
Tend to agree	(3.0)	279 27%	7 19%	13 32%	28 30%	13 28%	125 25%	154 28%	27 27%	64 33% cf	49 24%	41 26%	49 27%	49 23%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	56 5%	4 12% c	2 5%	2 2%	5 10% c	28 6%	28 5%	8 8% f	18 9% f	10 5%	8 5%	8 4%	5 2%
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	30 3%	- -	- -	2 2%	2 4%	16 3%	14 3%	9 9% bcef	4 2%	6 3%	5 3%	2 1%	5 2%
Don't know		41 4%	2 5%	1 3%	3 3%	1 2%	17 3%	23 4%	3 3%	7 4%	8 4%	8 5%	9 5%	6 3%
NET: Agree		920 88%	29 83%	36 92%	87 92%	39 84%	439 88%	481 88%	80 80%	164 85%	179 88%	137 87%	162 90% a	199 93% ab
NET: Disagree		86 8%	4 12%	2 5%	4 4%	7 14% c	44 9%	42 8%	16 17% cdef	22 11% ef	16 8%	12 8%	10 5%	10 4%
Base for stats		1006	33	38	91	46	483	524	96	186	194	149	172	209
Mean Score		3.52	3.54	3.57	3.57	3.38	3.53	3.52	3.29	3.40	3.56 ab	3.53 a	3.59 ab	3.65 ab
Standard Deviation		.734	.718	.603	.664	.843	.751	.719	.957	.752	.727	.736	.637	.644
Standard Error		.023	.123	.096	.069	.122	.035	.031	.100	.055	.051	.064	.048	.043
Error variance		*	.02	.01	*	.01	*	*	.01	*	*	*	*	*

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q3\_5. Only authorities should have the authority to permit the killing of wolves to protect farm animals when necessary: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Age			Diet							
		Total	18-34	35-54	55+	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	c	a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total		1049	288	353	408	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total		1047	293	359	395	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
100%		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	641 61%	153 52%	225 63%	263 67%	513 60%	119 68%	81 74%	4 32%	13 81%	13 68%	7 48%
				a	a		a	a				
Tend to agree	(3.0)	279 27%	91 31%	90 25%	98 25%	235 28%	35 20%	21 19%	5 38%	2 12%	4 21%	3 20%
						b						
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	56 5%	25 9%	18 5%	13 3%	44 5%	10 6%	4 4%	3 21%	- -	1 5%	2 13%
			c									
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	30 3%	13 4%	10 3%	7 2%	24 3%	5 3%	3 3%	1 9%	- -	1 6%	- -
			c									
Don't know		41 4%	11 4%	16 4%	14 4%	35 4%	5 3%	1 1%	- -	1 7%	- -	3 19%
NET: Agree		920 88%	244 83%	315 88%	361 91%	748 88%	153 88%	102 93%	9 70%	15 93%	17 89%	10 67%
					a							
NET: Disagree		86 8%	38 13%	28 8%	19 5%	68 8%	15 9%	7 6%	4 30%	- -	2 11%	2 13%
			bc									
Base for stats		1006	282	344	380	816	168	109	12	15	19	13
Mean Score		3.52	3.36	3.54	3.62	3.52	3.59	3.66	2.93	3.87	3.51	3.43
				a	a							
Standard Deviation		.734	.827	.730	.640	.730	.736	.681	.976	.352	.858	.787
Standard Error		.023	.050	.040	.032	.026	.057	.065	.271	.091	.197	.227
Error variance		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	.07	.01	.04	.05

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q3\_6. Humans should find a way to coexist with wolves: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

			Poland regions											
		Total	Dolno I skie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	ódzkie	Ma opolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	I skie
Unweighted Total		1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131
Total		1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	523	43	26	33	12	35	36	78	15	32	18	29	64
		50%	54%	46%	55%	45%	51%	40%	54%	54%	56%	56%	47%	51%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	403	26	25	23	14	28	40	47	8	19	9	27	52
		38%	32%	44%	39%	49%	41%	44%	33%	29%	32%	26%	43%	41%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	61	5	1	2	-	1	6	10	2	3	4	5	8
		6%	6%	2%	4%	-	1%	6%	7%	8%	6%	12%	8%	6%
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	21	2	3	-	1	1	2	3	1	1	2	-	1
		2%	2%	5%	-	3%	1%	3%	2%	4%	2%	5%	-	1%
Don't know		38	4	2	1	1	3	6	6	1	2	-	1	1
		4%	5%	3%	2%	3%	5%	7%	4%	5%	4%	-	1%	1%
NET: Agree		926	69	51	56	26	63	77	125	23	51	27	56	116
		88%	86%	90%	95%	93%	92%	84%	87%	83%	88%	83%	91%	92%
NET: Disagree		83	7	4	2	1	2	8	13	3	5	6	5	8
		8%	9%	7%	4%	3%	3%	9%	9%	12%	8%	17%	8%	7%
Base for stats		1009	75	55	58	27	65	85	138	26	55	33	61	124
Mean Score		3.42	3.45	3.35	3.53	3.39	3.49	3.31	3.45	3.39	3.48	3.33	3.40	3.44
Standard Deviation		.701	.735	.774	.572	.680	.614	.720	.727	.840	.715	.902	.640	.644
Standard Error		.022	.083	.103	.074	.129	.079	.083	.061	.171	.103	.155	.081	.056
Error variance		*	.01	.01	.01	.02	.01	.01	*	.03	.01	.02	.01	*

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q3\_6. Humans should find a way to coexist with wolves: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Poland regions				Gender		Age						
		Total	wi tokrzyskie	Warmi sko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	c	d	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f
Unweighted Total		1049	36	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225
Total		1047	35	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	523	18	17	45	20	272	252	36	80	105	77	95	130
		50%	52%	43%	48%	43%	54% b	46%	36%	41%	52% ab	49% a	53% ab	61% abd
Tend to agree	(3.0)	403	12	17	41	17	179	224	44	84	71	63	71	70
		38%	33%	44%	43%	36%	36%	41%	44%	44% f	35%	40%	39%	33%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	61	2	1	5	7	28	34	14	16	12	6	7	6
		6%	6%	2%	5%	15% bc	6%	6%	14% cdef	8% f	6%	4%	4%	3%
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	21	1	-	1	2	7	15	5	8	5	2	-	1
		2%	3%	-	1%	4%	1%	3%	5% ef	4% ef	2% e	1%	-	*
Don't know		38	2	4	3	1	15	23	1	5	10	9	7	6
		4%	5%	10%	3%	2%	3%	4%	1%	3%	5%	6%	4%	3%
NET: Agree		926	30	35	85	37	450	476	79	164	175	140	167	200
		88%	86%	88%	91% d	79%	90%	87%	79%	85%	87%	89% a	92% ab	94% abc
NET: Disagree		83	3	1	6	9	34	48	19	24	17	8	7	7
		8%	9%	2%	6%	19% bc	7%	9%	20% cdef	12% def	8% f	5%	4%	3%
Base for stats		1009	33	36	91	46	485	524	99	188	192	148	174	208
Mean Score		3.42	3.43	3.46	3.42	3.20	3.48 b	3.36	3.11	3.26	3.43 ab	3.45 ab	3.51 ab	3.59 abcd
Standard Deviation		.701	.750	.557	.649	.856	.669	.726	.846	.784	.724	.647	.578	.578
Standard Error		.022	.129	.093	.067	.124	.031	.031	.088	.057	.051	.056	.044	.039
Error variance		*	.02	.01	*	.02	*	*	.01	*	*	*	*	*



## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q3\_6. Humans should find a way to coexist with wolves: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Age			Diet							
		Total	18-34	35-54	55+	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	c	a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total		1049	288	353	408	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total		1047	293	359	395	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	523	116	182	226	420	93	59	5	10	11	8
		50%	39%	51%	57%	49%	54%	54%	39%	62%	58%	53%
			a	a	a							
Tend to agree	(3.0)	403	128	134	141	336	60	38	5	5	7	5
		38%	44%	37%	36%	39%	34%	34%	38%	31%	36%	34%
			c									
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	61	30	18	13	51	10	6	2	-	1	1
		6%	10%	5%	3%	6%	6%	5%	16%	-	5%	8%
			bc									
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	21	13	7	1	14	6	5	1	-	-	-
		2%	5%	2%	*	2%	3%	5%	7%	-	-	-
			c	c				a				
Don't know		38	6	19	13	30	4	2	-	1	-	1
		4%	2%	5%	3%	4%	2%	2%	-	6%	-	6%
NET: Agree		926	243	316	367	756	153	97	10	15	18	13
		88%	83%	88%	93%	89%	88%	88%	77%	94%	95%	87%
					ab							
NET: Disagree		83	43	25	14	65	16	11	3	-	1	1
		8%	15%	7%	4%	8%	9%	10%	23%	-	5%	8%
			bc	c								
Base for stats		1009	286	341	381	821	169	108	12	15	19	15
Mean Score		3.42	3.21	3.44	3.55	3.42	3.42	3.40	3.08	3.67	3.53	3.48
				a	ab							
Standard Deviation		.701	.808	.691	.578	.686	.762	.801	.946	.488	.613	.663
Standard Error		.022	.048	.038	.029	.024	.059	.077	.262	.126	.141	.177
Error variance		*	*	*	*	*	*	.01	.07	.02	.02	.03

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q4 Summary: To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Always acceptable	Sometimes acceptable	Rarely acceptable	Never acceptable	Don't know	NET: At least sometimes acceptable
Unweighted Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Killing wolves that have attacked farm animals	1047	67	336	370	223	52	402
	100%	6%	32%	35%	21%	5%	38%
Killing wolves to control their population size	1047	76	316	332	268	54	392
	100%	7%	30%	32%	26%	5%	37%
Killing wolves to decrease the pressure on populations of other animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	1047	50	266	352	278	100	316
	100%	5%	25%	34%	27%	10%	30%
Killing wolves for their fur	1047	16	31	100	865	35	47
	100%	2%	3%	10%	83%	3%	5%
Killing wolves for leisure	1047	13	26	50	927	30	40
	100%	1%	2%	5%	89%	3%	4%

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q4 Nets summary (At least sometimes acceptable): To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable?

BASE: All respondents

	Poland regions											
Total	Dolno I skie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	ódzkie	Ma opolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	I skie
1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131
1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
402	27	27	18	14	22	34	56	10	25	8	27	41
38%	34%	47%	31%	49%	33%	37%	39%	37%	43%	24%	43%	33%
392	30	20	18	13	21	30	62	13	25	9	20	50
37%	38%	34%	30%	48%	31%	33%	43%	46%	43%	27%	32%	40%
316	24	15	18	11	14	28	47	7	16	10	23	33
30%	30%	27%	31%	38%	20%	30%	33%	26%	28%	30%	37%	26%
47	3	3	2	3	3	7	8	-	1	-	4	6
5%	4%	6%	4%	11%	5%	8%	5%	-	2%	-	6%	5%
40	4	2	3	4	2	4	4	-	2	1	4	1
4%	5%	4%	5%	14%	3%	4%	3%	-	4%	3%	7%	1%

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q4 Nets summary (At least sometimes acceptable): To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions				Gender		Age					
		wi tokrzyskie	Warmi sko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	d	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f
Unweighted Total	1049	36	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225
Total	1047	35	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Killing wolves that have attacked farm animals	402	19	16	40	18	220	183	39	76	60	70	75	83
	38%	56%	41%	43%	37%	44%	33%	39%	39%	29%	44%	41%	39%
						b			c		c	c	c
Killing wolves to control their population size	392	10	16	36	21	221	172	38	71	56	62	72	94
	37%	29%	39%	38%	46%	44%	31%	38%	37%	28%	39%	40%	44%
						b					c	c	c
Killing wolves to decrease the pressure on populations of other animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	316	8	15	33	16	193	123	38	56	45	52	55	70
	30%	22%	38%	35%	34%	39%	23%	38%	29%	22%	33%	30%	33%
						b		c			c		c
Killing wolves for their fur	47	2	3	1	1	29	18	11	9	7	7	7	6
	5%	6%	7%	1%	2%	6%	3%	11%	5%	4%	4%	4%	3%
						b		bcdef					
Killing wolves for leisure	40	2	2	2	2	27	12	8	11	7	7	4	3
	4%	6%	5%	2%	4%	5%	2%	8%	6%	3%	4%	2%	1%
						b		ef	f				

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q4 Nets summary (At least sometimes acceptable): To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable?

BASE: All respondents

		Age			Diet						
					Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products					
Significance Level: 95%	Total	18-34	35-54	55+			Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
		a	b	c	a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total	1049	288	353	408	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total	1047	293	359	395	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Killing wolves that have attacked farm animals	402	115	129	157	332	60	41	6	3	5	6
	38%	39%	36%	40%	39%	35%	37%	46%	19%	26%	36%
Killing wolves to control their population size	392	109	117	166	330	53	35	5	7	4	3
	37%	37%	33%	42% b	39%	31%	31%	36%	43%	21%	22%
Killing wolves to decrease the pressure on populations of other animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	316	94	97	125	258	51	30	6	7	3	5
	30%	32%	27%	32%	30%	29%	27%	47%	43%	17%	30%
Killing wolves for their fur	47	20	14	13	37	11	2	1	1	1	6
	5%	7% c	4%	3%	4%	6%	2%	9%	7%	5%	36%
Killing wolves for leisure	40	19	14	7	29	10	1	2	1	1	4
	4%	6% c	4%	2%	3%	6% c	1%	18%	7%	5%	28%

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q4 Nets summary (Rarely/never acceptable): To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable?

BASE: All respondents

	Poland regions											
Total	Dolno I skie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	ódzkie	Ma opolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	I skie
1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131
1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
978	74	54	53	23	63	85	138	26	54	31	58	118
93%	94%	94%	90%	82%	92%	94%	96%	96%	94%	94%	93%	94%
601	45	33	35	13	43	54	76	14	31	22	40	73
57%	57%	57%	59%	48%	63%	59%	53%	50%	53%	68%	65%	58%
593	48	25	35	12	40	50	86	16	29	24	33	79
57%	61%	45%	59%	44%	58%	55%	59%	58%	50%	73%	54%	63%
965	72	52	52	24	62	79	133	26	55	31	56	118
92%	91%	91%	88%	86%	91%	87%	93%	96%	96%	95%	91%	94%
631	50	36	35	16	46	51	87	16	34	20	34	81
60%	63%	63%	59%	58%	68%	56%	60%	58%	60%	62%	54%	64%

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q4 Nets summary (Rarely/never acceptable): To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions				Gender		Age					
		wi tokrzyskie	Warmi sko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	d	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f
Unweighted Total	1049	36	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225
Total	1047	35	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Killing wolves for leisure	978	33	36	88	45	458	520	84	177	191	145	173	207
	93%	94%	90%	93%	96%	92%	95%	85%	92%	94%	93%	96%	97%
							a			a		a	ab
Killing wolves to control their population size	601	22	24	52	24	256	345	56	115	136	86	99	109
	57%	63%	61%	56%	52%	51%	63%	56%	59%	67%	55%	55%	51%
							a			def			
Killing wolves that have attacked farm animals	593	15	22	49	29	262	330	57	109	133	77	96	120
	57%	44%	55%	52%	63%	52%	60%	57%	56%	66%	49%	53%	56%
							a			def			
Killing wolves for their fur	965	33	37	88	46	456	508	84	179	188	143	166	204
	92%	94%	93%	94%	98%	91%	93%	84%	93%	93%	91%	92%	95%
							a		a	a			a
Killing wolves to decrease the pressure on populations of other animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	631	23	20	54	28	267	364	53	114	145	93	107	120
	60%	66%	51%	57%	61%	53%	67%	53%	59%	72%	59%	59%	56%
							a			abdef			

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q4 Nets summary (Rarely/never acceptable): To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable?

BASE: All respondents

		Age			Diet						
					Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products					
Significance Level: 95%	Total	18-34	35-54	55+			Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
		a	b	c	a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total	1049	288	353	408	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total	1047	293	359	395	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Killing wolves for leisure	978	261	337	380	796	162	109	9	15	18	10
	93%	89%	94%	96%	93%	93%	99%	75%	93%	95%	65%
			a	a			ab				
Killing wolves to control their population size	601	171	222	208	474	115	73	7	8	15	11
	57%	58%	62%	53%	56%	66%	67%	55%	51%	79%	72%
			c			a	a				
Killing wolves that have attacked farm animals	593	166	210	216	478	105	64	6	11	14	10
	57%	57%	58%	55%	56%	61%	58%	45%	69%	74%	64%
Killing wolves for their fur	965	264	332	369	784	160	107	9	15	18	10
	92%	90%	92%	94%	92%	92%	97%	75%	93%	95%	64%
							a				
Killing wolves to decrease the pressure on populations of other animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	631	166	238	227	504	114	73	7	8	16	11
	60%	57%	66%	57%	59%	66%	66%	53%	51%	83%	70%
			ac								



## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q4\_1. Killing wolves for leisure: To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable or not?

BASE: All respondents

			Poland regions											
		Total	Dolno I skie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	ódzkie	Ma opolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	I skie
Unweighted Total		1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131
Total		1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Always acceptable	(4.0)	13	2	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	1	1	2	-
		1%	3%	2%	2%	4%	1%	-	-	-	2%	3%	4%	-
Sometimes acceptable	(3.0)	26	2	1	2	3	1	4	4	-	1	-	2	1
		2%	3%	2%	4%	11%	2%	4%	3%	-	2%	-	3%	1%
Rarely acceptable	(2.0)	50	2	2	3	4	4	8	7	2	2	1	1	4
		5%	2%	3%	5%	14%	6%	9%	5%	9%	4%	3%	2%	3%
Never acceptable	(1.0)	927	72	52	50	19	59	77	131	24	52	30	57	114
		89%	91%	91%	85%	68%	86%	85%	91%	87%	90%	91%	92%	91%
Don't know		30	1	1	3	1	3	2	2	1	1	1	-	7
		3%	1%	2%	5%	3%	5%	3%	1%	4%	2%	3%	-	5%
NET: At least sometimes acceptable		40	4	2	3	4	2	4	4	-	2	1	4	1
		4%	5%	4%	5%	14%	3%	4%	3%	-	4%	3%	7%	1%
NET: Rarely/never acceptable		978	74	54	53	23	63	85	138	26	54	31	58	118
		93%	94%	94%	90%	82%	92%	94%	96%	96%	94%	94%	93%	94%
Base for stats		1017	78	56	56	27	65	89	142	26	57	32	62	119
Mean Score		1.14	1.15	1.13	1.18	1.48	1.15	1.17	1.10	1.09	1.14	1.14	1.18	1.05
Standard Deviation		.502	.587	.524	.581	.853	.505	.472	.390	.290	.542	.583	.655	.247
Standard Error		.016	.065	.069	.077	.161	.065	.053	.032	.059	.077	.102	.082	.022
Error variance		*	*	*	.01	.03	*	*	*	*	.01	.01	.01	*

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q4\_1. Killing wolves for leisure: To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable or not?

BASE: All respondents

		Poland regions				Gender		Age						
		Total	wi tokrzyskie	Warmi sko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	c	d	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f
Unweighted Total		1049	36	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225
Total		1047	35	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Always acceptable	(4.0)	13	-	2	-	1	8	6	2	4	3	3	1	-
		1%	-	5%	-	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	-
				c					f	f		f		
Sometimes acceptable	(3.0)	26	2	-	2	1	20	6	6	7	4	4	3	3
		2%	6%	-	2%	2%	4%	1%	6%	4%	2%	2%	2%	1%
							b		f					
Rarely acceptable	(2.0)	50	2	2	4	2	29	21	6	13	10	8	6	8
		5%	6%	5%	4%	4%	6%	4%	6%	7%	5%	5%	3%	4%
Never acceptable	(1.0)	927	31	34	84	43	428	499	79	164	181	138	167	199
		89%	88%	86%	89%	92%	86%	91%	79%	85%	89%	88%	92%	93%
							a				a		ab	ab
Don't know		30	-	2	4	-	15	15	8	5	5	5	4	4
		3%	-	5%	4%	-	3%	3%	8%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%
									cef					
NET: At least sometimes acceptable		40	2	2	2	2	27	12	8	11	7	7	4	3
		4%	6%	5%	2%	4%	5%	2%	8%	6%	3%	4%	2%	1%
							b		ef	f				
NET: Rarely/never acceptable		978	33	36	88	45	458	520	84	177	191	145	173	207
		93%	94%	90%	93%	96%	92%	95%	85%	92%	94%	93%	96%	97%
							a				a		a	ab
Base for stats		1017	35	38	90	47	485	532	92	188	198	152	177	210
Mean Score		1.14	1.18	1.20	1.09	1.15	1.19	1.10	1.25	1.21	1.13	1.17	1.08	1.07
							b		ef	ef		f		
Standard Deviation		.502	.525	.683	.368	.554	.573	.423	.675	.610	.490	.568	.381	.307
Standard Error		.016	.088	.111	.038	.079	.027	.018	.072	.044	.034	.049	.028	.021
Error variance		*	.01	.01	*	.01	*	*	.01	*	*	*	*	*

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q4\_1. Killing wolves for leisure: To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable or not?

BASE: All respondents

		Age				Diet						
		Total	18-34	35-54	55+	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	c	a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total		1049	288	353	408	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total		1047	293	359	395	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Always acceptable	(4.0)	13	6	6	1	9	4	1	-	1	1	1
		1%	2%	2%	*	1%	2%	1%	-	7%	5%	7%
			c	c								
Sometimes acceptable	(3.0)	26	13	7	6	20	5	-	2	-	-	3
		2%	4%	2%	2%	2%	3%	-	18%	-	-	21%
			c									
Rarely acceptable	(2.0)	50	18	18	14	43	7	6	1	-	-	-
		5%	6%	5%	4%	5%	4%	5%	9%	-	-	-
Never acceptable	(1.0)	927	243	318	366	753	155	104	8	15	18	10
		89%	83%	89%	93%	88%	89%	94%	66%	93%	95%	65%
				a	a							
Don't know		30	13	9	8	27	2	-	1	-	-	1
		3%	4%	3%	2%	3%	1%	-	7%	-	-	7%
NET: At least sometimes acceptable		40	19	14	7	29	10	1	2	1	1	4
		4%	6%	4%	2%	3%	6%	1%	18%	7%	5%	28%
			c				c					
NET: Rarely/never acceptable		978	261	337	380	796	162	109	9	15	18	10
		93%	89%	94%	96%	93%	93%	99%	75%	93%	95%	65%
				a	a			ab				
Base for stats		1017	280	350	387	825	171	110	12	16	19	14
Mean Score		1.14	1.22	1.15	1.08	1.13	1.18	1.08	1.48	1.21	1.16	1.68
			c	c								
Standard Deviation		.502	.631	.525	.342	.480	.602	.357	.833	.797	.692	1.105
Standard Error		.016	.038	.028	.017	.017	.046	.034	.240	.199	.159	.295
Error variance		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	.06	.04	.03	.09

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q4\_2. Killing wolves to control their population size: To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable or not?

BASE: All respondents

	Poland regions												
Total	Dolno I skie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	ódzkie	Ma opolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	I skie	
1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	
1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
76	4	3	4	5	4	3	15	2	7	4	4	11	
7%	5%	5%	7%	18%	6%	4%	10%	9%	12%	12%	6%	8%	
316	26	17	13	9	17	26	47	10	18	5	16	39	
30%	33%	29%	23%	31%	25%	29%	33%	38%	30%	15%	26%	31%	
332	18	21	24	6	24	29	43	10	19	9	20	43	
32%	22%	36%	41%	21%	35%	31%	30%	37%	33%	27%	31%	34%	
268	28	12	11	8	19	25	34	3	11	13	21	30	
26%	35%	21%	18%	28%	27%	28%	23%	12%	20%	40%	33%	24%	
54	4	5	7	1	4	8	6	1	2	2	2	3	
5%	5%	9%	12%	3%	6%	8%	4%	4%	4%	5%	3%	2%	
392	30	20	18	13	21	30	62	13	25	9	20	50	
37%	38%	34%	30%	48%	31%	33%	43%	46%	43%	27%	32%	40%	
601	45	33	35	13	43	54	76	14	31	22	40	73	
57%	57%	57%	59%	48%	63%	59%	53%	50%	53%	68%	65%	58%	
993	75	52	52	27	64	83	138	26	55	31	60	123	
2.20	2.08	2.20	2.21	2.39	2.10	2.10	2.31	2.44	2.36	1.98	2.05	2.25	
.925	.967	.860	.863	1.105	.908	.883	.959	.843	.956	1.063	.936	.924	
.029	.109	.118	.119	.209	.117	.102	.080	.172	.138	.188	.119	.082	
*	.01	.01	.01	.04	.01	.01	.01	.03	.02	.04	.01	.01	

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q4\_2. Killing wolves to control their population size: To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable or not?

BASE: All respondents

		Poland regions				Gender		Age							
		Total	wi tokrzyskie	Warmi sko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	c	d	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	
Unweighted Total		1049	36	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	
Total		1047	35	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Always acceptable		(4.0)	76	-	3	3	5	48	28	8	9	15	10	14	20
			7%	-	7%	3%	10%	10%	5%	8%	5%	7%	7%	8%	10%
							b								
Sometimes acceptable		(3.0)	316	10	13	33	17	173	143	31	62	41	51	58	74
			30%	29%	32%	35%	36%	35%	26%	31%	32%	20%	33%	32%	35%
							b			c	c		c	c	c
Rarely acceptable		(2.0)	332	12	12	30	14	172	160	29	63	64	50	60	65
			32%	35%	31%	32%	29%	34%	29%	30%	33%	32%	32%	33%	30%
Never acceptable		(1.0)	268	9	12	22	11	84	184	27	51	72	36	39	43
			26%	27%	30%	24%	23%	17%	34%	27%	26%	35%	23%	22%	20%
							a					bdef			
Don't know			54	3	-	6	1	23	31	5	8	11	9	10	11
			5%	8%	-	6%	2%	5%	6%	5%	4%	5%	6%	5%	5%
NET: At least sometimes acceptable			392	10	16	36	21	221	172	38	71	56	62	72	94
			37%	29%	39%	38%	46%	44%	31%	38%	37%	28%	39%	40%	44%
							b						c	c	c
NET: Rarely/never acceptable			601	22	24	52	24	256	345	56	115	136	86	99	109
			57%	63%	61%	56%	52%	51%	63%	56%	59%	67%	55%	55%	51%
							a					def			
Base for stats			993	32	39	88	46	477	516	94	185	191	148	171	203
Mean Score			2.20	2.02	2.17	2.18	2.33	2.39	2.03	2.20	2.15	1.99	2.24	2.27	2.35
								b					c	c	bc
Standard Deviation			.925	.795	.945	.852	.963	.891	.924	.947	.885	.952	.904	.908	.928
Standard Error			.029	.138	.149	.089	.139	.042	.040	.100	.065	.067	.079	.069	.064
Error variance			*	.02	.02	.01	.02	*	*	.01	*	*	.01	*	*

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q4\_2. Killing wolves to control their population size: To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable or not?

BASE: All respondents

		Age				Diet						
		Total	18-34	35-54	55+	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	c	a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total		1049	288	353	408	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total		1047	293	359	395	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Always acceptable	(4.0)	76 7%	16 6%	25 7%	34 9%	62 7%	11 6%	8 7%	2 14%	1 7%	- -	- -
Sometimes acceptable	(3.0)	316 30%	92 32%	92 26%	132 33% b	267 31%	42 24%	27 24%	3 22%	6 35%	4 21%	3 22%
Rarely acceptable	(2.0)	332 32%	93 32%	114 32%	125 32%	259 30%	66 38% a	49 44% a	3 27%	3 19%	8 42%	3 22%
Never acceptable	(1.0)	268 26%	78 27%	108 30% c	83 21%	216 25%	48 28%	25 22%	3 28%	5 32%	7 37%	8 50%
Don't know		54 5%	13 5%	20 6%	21 5%	48 6%	5 3%	2 2%	1 9%	1 6%	- -	1 6%
NET: At least sometimes acceptable		392 37%	109 37%	117 33%	166 42% b	330 39%	53 31%	35 31%	5 36%	7 43%	4 21%	3 22%
NET: Rarely/never acceptable		601 57%	171 58%	222 62% c	208 53%	474 56%	115 66% a	73 67% a	7 55%	8 51%	15 79%	11 72%
Base for stats		993	280	339	374	804	168	108	11	15	19	15
Mean Score		2.20	2.17	2.10	2.31 ab	2.22	2.10	2.17	2.25	2.19	1.83	1.71
Standard Deviation		.925	.905	.938	.919	.929	.892	.866	1.108	1.032	.764	.855
Standard Error		.029	.055	.051	.047	.033	.069	.083	.320	.266	.175	.228
Error variance		*	*	*	*	*	*	.01	.10	.07	.03	.05

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q4\_3. Killing wolves that have attacked farm animals: To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable or not?

BASE: All respondents

	Poland regions												
Total	Dolno I skie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	ódzkie	Ma opolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	I skie	
1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	
1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
67	5	3	5	4	4	7	8	1	6	2	5	4	
6%	6%	6%	9%	14%	6%	8%	6%	4%	10%	6%	8%	3%	
336	22	24	13	10	18	27	47	9	19	6	22	37	
32%	28%	42%	22%	35%	27%	30%	33%	33%	33%	18%	35%	30%	
370	31	18	23	9	26	27	54	8	15	15	21	55	
35%	39%	31%	39%	31%	38%	30%	37%	29%	26%	45%	34%	44%	
223	17	8	12	4	14	23	32	8	14	9	12	24	
21%	22%	14%	20%	14%	20%	25%	22%	29%	24%	28%	20%	19%	
52	4	5	6	2	7	7	3	1	5	1	2	5	
5%	5%	8%	10%	7%	10%	7%	2%	4%	8%	3%	3%	4%	
402	27	27	18	14	22	34	56	10	25	8	27	41	
38%	34%	47%	31%	49%	33%	37%	39%	37%	43%	24%	43%	33%	
593	48	25	35	12	40	50	86	16	29	24	33	79	
57%	61%	45%	59%	44%	58%	55%	59%	58%	50%	73%	54%	63%	
995	75	52	53	26	62	84	141	26	53	32	60	121	
2.25	2.20	2.42	2.22	2.53	2.21	2.21	2.23	2.13	2.31	2.02	2.33	2.17	
.877	.874	.825	.913	.935	.866	.941	.865	.918	.984	.871	.898	.784	
.028	.099	.113	.124	.180	.114	.108	.072	.187	.145	.152	.114	.070	
*	.01	.01	.02	.03	.01	.01	.01	.04	.02	.02	.01	*	

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q4\_3. Killing wolves that have attacked farm animals: To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable or not?

BASE: All respondents

		Poland regions				Gender		Age						
		Total	wi tokrzyskie	Warmi sko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	c	d	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f
Unweighted Total		1049	36	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225
Total		1047	35	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Always acceptable	(4.0)	67	1	3	6	3	41	26	9	13	9	10	12	13
		6%	3%	7%	6%	6%	8%	5%	9%	7%	5%	6%	7%	6%
							b							
Sometimes acceptable	(3.0)	336	18	13	35	15	179	157	30	63	50	60	63	69
		32%	53%	34%	37%	32%	36%	29%	30%	33%	25%	38%	35%	32%
			d				b					c	c	
Rarely acceptable	(2.0)	370	9	12	31	16	175	195	32	70	79	49	61	79
		35%	27%	32%	33%	35%	35%	36%	32%	36%	39%	31%	34%	37%
Never acceptable	(1.0)	223	6	9	18	13	87	135	26	39	54	28	35	41
		21%	17%	23%	19%	28%	17%	25%	26%	20%	27%	18%	20%	19%
							a							
Don't know		52	-	2	5	-	18	34	3	8	10	10	10	11
		5%	-	5%	5%	-	4%	6%	3%	4%	5%	6%	5%	5%
NET: At least sometimes acceptable		402	19	16	40	18	220	183	39	76	60	70	75	83
		38%	56%	41%	43%	37%	44%	33%	39%	39%	29%	44%	41%	39%
							b			c		c	c	c
NET: Rarely/never acceptable		593	15	22	49	29	262	330	57	109	133	77	96	120
		57%	44%	55%	52%	63%	52%	60%	57%	56%	66%	49%	53%	56%
							a				def			
Base for stats		995	35	38	89	47	482	513	96	185	192	147	171	203
Mean Score		2.25	2.42	2.26	2.32	2.16	2.36	2.14	2.23	2.27	2.08	2.35	2.30	2.27
							b			c		c	c	c
Standard Deviation		.877	.808	.920	.866	.905	.875	.866	.950	.873	.855	.864	.880	.860
Standard Error		.028	.135	.149	.090	.129	.041	.037	.100	.064	.060	.076	.067	.059
Error variance		*	.02	.02	.01	.02	*	*	.01	*	*	.01	*	*



## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q4\_3. Killing wolves that have attacked farm animals: To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable or not?

BASE: All respondents

		Age				Diet						
		Total	18-34	35-54	55+	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	c	a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total		1049	288	353	408	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total		1047	293	359	395	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Always acceptable	(4.0)	67 6%	22 7%	19 5%	26 7%	56 7%	7 4%	5 5%	- -	- -	- -	2 15%
Sometimes acceptable	(3.0)	336 32%	94 32%	110 31%	132 33%	276 32%	53 30%	35 32%	6 46%	3 19%	5 26%	3 21%
Rarely acceptable	(2.0)	370 35%	102 35%	128 36%	140 35%	298 35%	65 38%	40 37%	3 23%	9 56%	9 48%	4 26%
Never acceptable	(1.0)	223 21%	65 22%	81 23%	77 19%	180 21%	40 23%	24 22%	3 21%	2 13%	5 26%	6 39%
Don't know		52 5%	11 4%	20 6%	21 5%	42 5%	8 5%	5 5%	1 9%	2 12%	- -	- -
NET: At least sometimes acceptable		402 38%	115 39%	129 36%	157 40%	332 39%	60 35%	41 37%	6 46%	3 19%	5 26%	6 36%
NET: Rarely/never acceptable		593 57%	166 57%	210 58%	216 55%	478 56%	105 61%	64 58%	6 45%	11 69%	14 74%	10 64%
Base for stats		995	282	339	374	810	165	105	11	14	19	16
Mean Score		2.25	2.26	2.20	2.28	2.26	2.17	2.20	2.28	2.07	2.00	2.12
Standard Deviation		.877	.899	.868	.868	.880	.846	.852	.854	.624	.743	1.120
Standard Error		.028	.054	.048	.044	.031	.066	.083	.247	.167	.170	.289
Error variance		*	*	*	*	*	*	.01	.06	.03	.03	.08

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q4\_4. Killing wolves for their fur: To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable or not?

BASE: All respondents

			Poland regions											
		Total	Dolno I skie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	ódzkie	Ma opolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	I skie
Unweighted Total		1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131
Total		1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Always acceptable	(4.0)	16	2	2	1	1	1	1	2	-	-	-	1	2
		2%	3%	4%	2%	4%	1%	1%	1%	-	-	-	2%	2%
Sometimes acceptable	(3.0)	31	1	1	1	2	2	6	6	-	1	-	3	4
		3%	1%	2%	2%	7%	3%	7%	4%	-	2%	-	5%	3%
Rarely acceptable	(2.0)	100	5	2	4	4	4	11	16	2	5	6	3	10
		10%	6%	3%	7%	14%	6%	13%	11%	9%	8%	18%	5%	8%
Never acceptable	(1.0)	865	67	50	48	20	58	68	117	24	51	25	53	108
		83%	85%	88%	81%	72%	84%	75%	82%	87%	88%	76%	86%	86%
Don't know		35	4	2	5	1	3	5	3	1	1	2	2	2
		3%	5%	3%	8%	3%	5%	5%	2%	4%	2%	5%	3%	1%
NET: At least sometimes acceptable		47	3	3	2	3	3	7	8	-	1	-	4	6
		5%	4%	6%	4%	11%	5%	8%	5%	-	2%	-	6%	5%
NET: Rarely/never acceptable		965	72	52	52	24	62	79	133	26	55	31	56	118
		92%	91%	91%	88%	86%	91%	87%	93%	96%	96%	95%	91%	94%
Base for stats		1012	76	55	54	27	65	86	141	26	57	31	60	124
Mean Score		1.21	1.18	1.19	1.17	1.41	1.18	1.31	1.24	1.09	1.13	1.19	1.20	1.19
Standard Deviation		.569	.599	.662	.546	.804	.556	.659	.591	.291	.392	.402	.604	.556
Standard Error		.018	.068	.088	.074	.152	.071	.075	.049	.059	.056	.071	.077	.049
Error variance		*	*	.01	.01	.02	.01	.01	*	*	*	.01	.01	*

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q4\_4. Killing wolves for their fur: To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable or not?

BASE: All respondents

		Poland regions				Gender		Age						
		Total	wi tokrzyskie	Warmi sko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	c	d	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f
Unweighted Total		1049	36	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225
Total		1047	35	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Always acceptable		(4.0)	16	2	-	1	11	6	4	4	4	1	3	-
		2%	-	5%	-	2%	2%	1%	4%	2%	2%	1%	2%	-
				c					f	f	f		f	
Sometimes acceptable		(3.0)	31	1	1	-	19	12	7	5	4	6	4	6
		3%	6%	2%	1%	-	4%	2%	7%	3%	2%	4%	2%	3%
									c					
Rarely acceptable		(2.0)	100	7	11	5	60	40	19	23	16	11	15	16
		10%	14%	18%	12%	10%	12%	7%	19%	12%	8%	7%	8%	8%
							b		cdef					
Never acceptable		(1.0)	865	29	77	41	397	468	66	156	172	132	151	187
		83%	80%	75%	82%	88%	79%	86%	66%	81%	85%	84%	84%	87%
							a			a	a	a	a	a
Don't know			-	-	5	-	14	21	4	5	7	7	7	5
		3%	-	-	5%	-	3%	4%	4%	3%	3%	4%	4%	2%
NET: At least sometimes acceptable			2	3	1	1	29	18	11	9	7	7	7	6
		5%	6%	7%	1%	2%	6%	3%	11%	5%	4%	4%	4%	3%
							b		bcdef					
NET: Rarely/never acceptable			33	37	88	46	456	508	84	179	188	143	166	204
		92%	94%	93%	94%	98%	91%	93%	84%	93%	93%	91%	92%	95%
										a	a			a
Base for stats		1012	35	39	89	47	486	526	95	188	196	150	173	209
Mean Score		1.21	1.26	1.37	1.15	1.17	1.27	1.16	1.48	1.24	1.17	1.18	1.19	1.13
				c			b		bcdef	f				
Standard Deviation		.569	.571	.759	.391	.524	.638	.493	.823	.606	.543	.519	.555	.415
Standard Error		.018	.095	.120	.041	.075	.030	.021	.087	.044	.038	.045	.042	.028
Error variance		*	.01	.01	*	.01	*	*	.01	*	*	*	*	*

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q4\_4. Killing wolves for their fur: To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable or not?

BASE: All respondents

		Age				Diet						
		Total	18-34	35-54	55+	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	c	a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total		1049	288	353	408	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total		1047	293	359	395	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Always acceptable	(4.0)	16	9	5	3	13	3	1	-	1	1	-
		2%	3% c	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	-	7%	5%	-
Sometimes acceptable	(3.0)	31	12	9	10	23	8	1	1	-	-	6
		3%	4%	3%	2%	3%	4%	1%	9%	-	-	36%
Rarely acceptable	(2.0)	100	42	27	31	85	13	12	1	-	-	-
		10%	14% bc	8%	8%	10%	7%	11%	9%	-	-	-
Never acceptable	(1.0)	865	222	304	338	699	147	95	8	15	18	10
		83%	76%	85% a	86% a	82%	85%	87%	66%	93%	95%	64%
Don't know		35	9	14	12	31	3	1	2	-	-	-
		3%	3%	4%	3%	4%	2%	1%	17%	-	-	-
NET: At least sometimes acceptable		47	20	14	13	37	11	2	1	1	1	6
		5%	7% c	4%	3%	4%	6%	2%	9%	7%	5%	36%
NET: Rarely/never acceptable		965	264	332	369	784	160	107	9	15	18	10
		92%	90%	92%	94%	92%	92%	97% a	75%	93%	95%	64%
Base for stats		1012	284	346	382	821	170	109	10	16	19	16
Mean Score		1.21	1.32 bc	1.17	1.16	1.21	1.22	1.15	1.31	1.21	1.16	1.71
Standard Deviation		.569	.694	.532	.483	.566	.613	.453	.684	.797	.692	.991
Standard Error		.018	.042	.029	.024	.020	.047	.043	.206	.199	.159	.256
Error variance		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	.04	.04	.03	.07

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q4\_5. Killing wolves to decrease the pressure on populations of other animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares): To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable or not?

BASE: All respondents

	Poland regions												
Total	Dolno I skie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	ódzkie	Ma opolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	I skie	
1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	
1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
50	3	2	4	3	3	5	8	1	3	1	1	5	
5%	4%	3%	7%	10%	4%	5%	5%	4%	6%	3%	2%	4%	
266	21	13	14	8	11	23	39	6	13	9	22	28	
25%	26%	23%	24%	28%	15%	25%	27%	22%	22%	27%	35%	22%	
352	28	20	16	6	28	29	48	9	25	12	13	51	
34%	35%	35%	27%	23%	40%	32%	34%	33%	44%	35%	20%	40%	
278	22	16	19	10	19	22	38	7	9	9	21	30	
27%	28%	29%	32%	35%	27%	25%	27%	25%	16%	26%	34%	24%	
100	6	6	6	1	9	12	10	5	7	3	5	12	
10%	7%	10%	10%	3%	13%	13%	7%	17%	12%	8%	9%	10%	
316	24	15	18	11	14	28	47	7	16	10	23	33	
30%	30%	27%	31%	38%	20%	30%	33%	26%	28%	30%	37%	26%	
631	50	36	35	16	46	51	87	16	34	20	34	81	
60%	63%	63%	59%	58%	68%	56%	60%	58%	60%	62%	54%	64%	
947	73	51	53	27	60	79	134	23	51	30	57	113	
2.09	2.07	2.02	2.07	2.14	1.97	2.12	2.12	2.06	2.21	2.07	2.05	2.06	
.882	.869	.864	.974	1.051	.839	.897	.896	.887	.822	.856	.918	.824	
.029	.100	.120	.133	.199	.112	.106	.077	.194	.124	.154	.121	.076	
*	.01	.01	.02	.04	.01	.01	.01	.04	.02	.02	.01	.01	

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q4\_5. Killing wolves to decrease the pressure on populations of other animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares): To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable or not?

BASE: All respondents

		Poland regions				Gender		Age							
		Total	wi tokrzyskie	Warmi sko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	c	d	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	
Unweighted Total		1049	36	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	
Total		1047	35	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Always acceptable		(4.0)	50	1	1	5	4	31	19	5	11	11	6	9	8
			5%	3%	2%	6%	8%	6%	4%	5%	6%	5%	4%	5%	4%
Sometimes acceptable		(3.0)	266	7	14	27	12	162	104	33	45	34	47	46	62
			25%	20%	36%	29%	25%	32%	19%	33%	23%	17%	30%	25%	29%
								b		c		c	c	c	
Rarely acceptable		(2.0)	352	13	7	33	16	169	183	27	65	68	60	64	69
			34%	36%	17%	35%	35%	34%	33%	27%	33%	34%	38%	35%	32%
						b									
Never acceptable		(1.0)	278	10	14	20	12	98	181	26	49	77	33	43	51
			27%	30%	35%	22%	26%	20%	33%	26%	25%	38%	21%	24%	24%
								a			abdef				
Don't know			100	4	4	8	3	40	60	9	23	12	12	19	24
			10%	11%	10%	8%	6%	8%	11%	9%	12%	6%	8%	10%	11%
											c				c
NET: At least sometimes acceptable			316	8	15	33	16	193	123	38	56	45	52	55	70
			30%	22%	38%	35%	34%	39%	23%	38%	29%	22%	33%	30%	33%
								b		c			c		c
NET: Rarely/never acceptable			631	23	20	54	28	267	364	53	114	145	93	107	120
			60%	66%	51%	57%	61%	53%	67%	53%	59%	72%	59%	59%	56%
								a			abdef				
Base for stats			947	31	35	86	44	460	487	91	170	190	145	162	190
Mean Score			2.09	1.94	2.07	2.20	2.17	2.27	1.92	2.19	2.11	1.89	2.17	2.13	2.14
								b		c	c		c	c	c
Standard Deviation			.882	.838	.954	.873	.940	.870	.859	.920	.900	.896	.823	.876	.861
Standard Error			.029	.148	.159	.093	.139	.042	.038	.099	.069	.063	.072	.068	.061
Error variance			*	.02	.03	.01	.02	*	*	.01	*	*	.01	*	*

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

**Q4\_5. Killing wolves to decrease the pressure on populations of other animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares): To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable or not?**

BASE: All respondents

		Age				Diet						
		Total	18-34	35-54	55+	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	c	a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total		1049	288	353	408	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total		1047	293	359	395	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Always acceptable	(4.0)	50	16	16	17	40	7	5	-	2	-	-
		5%	6%	5%	4%	5%	4%	5%	-	13%	-	-
Sometimes acceptable	(3.0)	266	78	81	108	218	44	25	6	5	3	5
		25%	27%	23%	27%	26%	25%	23%	47%	29%	17%	30%
Rarely acceptable	(2.0)	352	92	127	133	283	62	45	2	5	8	2
		34%	31%	35%	34%	33%	36%	41%	16%	32%	42%	13%
Never acceptable	(1.0)	278	74	110	94	221	52	28	5	3	8	9
		27%	25%	31%	24%	26%	30%	25%	37%	19%	41%	57%
Don't know		100	32	25	43	90	8	7	-	1	-	-
		10%	11%	7%	11%	11%	5%	7%	-	6%	-	-
NET: At least sometimes acceptable		316	94	97	125	258	51	30	6	7	3	5
		30%	32%	27%	32%	30%	29%	27%	47%	43%	17%	30%
NET: Rarely/never acceptable		631	166	238	227	504	114	73	7	8	16	11
		60%	57%	66%	57%	59%	66%	66%	53%	51%	83%	70%
Base for stats		947	261	335	352	762	165	103	12	15	19	16
Mean Score		2.09	2.14	2.01	2.14	2.10	2.03	2.07	2.10	2.40	1.76	1.73
Standard Deviation		.882	.906	.876	.867	.880	.871	.844	.949	.997	.741	.922
Standard Error		.029	.056	.048	.046	.032	.068	.083	.263	.257	.170	.238
Error variance		*	*	*	*	*	*	.01	.07	.07	.03	.06

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q5 Summary: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Strongly agree	Tend to agree	Tend to disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know	NET: Agree	NET: Disagree
Unweighted Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wolves are beneficial to the ecosystem in which they live	1047 100%	459 44%	444 42%	58 6%	18 2%	68 7%	903 86%	76 7%
Wolves help to control populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	1047 100%	368 35%	473 45%	93 9%	27 3%	85 8%	841 80%	120 11%
Wolves improve the overall health of populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares) by killing off those that are diseased, weak or old	1047 100%	367 35%	444 42%	107 10%	32 3%	97 9%	811 77%	139 13%
Wolves help to improve the genetic diversity of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	1047 100%	327 31%	427 41%	110 11%	35 3%	147 14%	754 72%	146 14%
Wolves in the wild are an opportunity for tourism in rural and natural areas	1047 100%	213 20%	367 35%	251 24%	91 9%	125 12%	580 55%	342 33%



## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q5 Nets summary (Agree): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Poland regions											
Total	Dolno I skie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	ódzkie	Ma opolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	I skie
1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131
1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
903	64	49	52	23	61	75	125	26	48	26	52	116
86%	81%	86%	88%	82%	89%	83%	87%	96%	84%	80%	83%	92%
841	66	43	49	24	58	69	125	20	44	28	49	103
80%	84%	76%	83%	86%	84%	76%	87%	71%	76%	86%	79%	82%
811	59	39	48	22	56	69	114	16	42	24	51	101
77%	75%	69%	82%	79%	81%	76%	79%	58%	72%	74%	83%	80%
754	54	35	47	20	51	62	106	21	35	26	45	92
72%	68%	62%	80%	73%	74%	68%	74%	75%	60%	80%	73%	74%
580	40	32	35	12	41	44	75	16	35	20	30	69
55%	51%	57%	59%	45%	59%	48%	52%	59%	60%	62%	48%	55%

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q5 Nets summary (Agree): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions				Gender		Age					
		wi tokrzyskie	Warmi sko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	d	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f
Unweighted Total	1049	36	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225
Total	1047	35	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Wolves are beneficial to the ecosystem in which they live	903 86%	32 91%	30 77%	83 88%	40 86%	446 89% b	457 84%	72 73%	154 80%	181 90% ab	139 89% ab	158 88% ab	197 92% ab
Wolves help to control populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	841 80%	25 72%	33 83%	73 78%	33 71%	429 86% b	413 75%	71 71%	145 75%	167 83% a	130 83% a	143 79%	185 87% abe
Wolves improve the overall health of populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares) by killing off those that are diseased, weak or old	811 77%	28 80%	29 75%	78 83%	34 72%	417 83% b	394 72%	67 67%	132 68%	157 78% ab	120 77%	147 82% ab	187 87% abcd
Wolves help to improve the genetic diversity of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	754 72%	27 77%	29 74%	68 73%	34 73%	385 77% b	370 68%	67 67%	134 69%	148 73%	117 74%	131 73%	158 74%
Wolves in the wild are an opportunity for tourism in rural and natural areas	580 55%	25 71%	22 57%	57 61%	26 56%	290 58%	290 53%	48 49%	109 56%	125 62% af	90 57%	98 54%	110 51%

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q5 Nets summary (Agree): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Age			Diet						
		18-34	35-54	55+	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total	1049	288	353	408	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total	1047	293	359	395	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Wolves are beneficial to the ecosystem in which they live	903 86%	226 77%	321 89% a	356 90% a	730 86%	154 89%	100 90%	9 69%	16 100%	18 95%	11 72%
Wolves help to control populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	841 80%	216 74%	297 83% a	328 83% a	679 80%	144 83%	94 85%	8 60%	14 87%	16 84%	12 79%
Wolves improve the overall health of populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares) by killing off those that are diseased, weak or old	811 77%	199 68%	278 77% a	334 85% ab	654 77%	141 81%	87 79%	10 76%	14 87%	18 95%	12 79%
Wolves help to improve the genetic diversity of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	754 72%	201 69%	265 74%	289 73%	618 73%	121 69%	76 69%	7 53%	10 64%	15 77%	12 79%
Wolves in the wild are an opportunity for tourism in rural and natural areas	580 55%	158 54%	214 60%	208 53%	459 54%	108 62% a	64 58%	5 37%	11 70%	16 84%	12 79%

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q5 Nets summary (Disagree): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Poland regions											
Total	Dolno I skie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	ódzkie	Ma opolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	I skie
1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131
1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
76	9	2	2	2	1	12	12	1	5	4	6	4
7%	11%	4%	3%	7%	2%	14%	8%	4%	8%	12%	9%	3%
120	6	8	6	3	1	17	13	6	8	3	11	11
11%	8%	14%	11%	11%	1%	19%	9%	21%	14%	8%	18%	9%
342	27	16	17	12	16	33	59	10	18	11	27	40
33%	34%	28%	29%	45%	23%	37%	41%	37%	32%	33%	43%	32%
146	16	9	4	4	7	18	24	3	11	4	6	14
14%	20%	16%	7%	13%	11%	20%	16%	12%	20%	11%	10%	11%
139	11	9	6	3	4	14	24	7	8	6	8	13
13%	14%	15%	11%	10%	6%	15%	17%	25%	14%	18%	13%	10%

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q5 Nets summary (Disagree): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions				Gender		Age					
		wi tokrzyskie	Warmi sko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	d	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f
Unweighted Total	1049	36	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225
Total	1047	35	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Wolves are beneficial to the ecosystem in which they live	76 7%	3 9%	5 13%	4 4%	5 11%	34 7%	42 8%	18 18% cdef	25 13% cdef	14 7%	7 4%	6 3%	7 3%
Wolves help to control populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	120 11%	3 9%	3 7%	10 10%	11 23% c	50 10%	70 13%	20 20% cdf	29 15% f	19 9%	16 10%	22 12%	15 7%
Wolves in the wild are an opportunity for tourism in rural and natural areas	342 33%	7 20%	10 26%	24 26%	13 28%	171 34%	171 31%	44 45% cd	70 36% c	54 26%	42 27%	61 34%	72 34%
Wolves help to improve the genetic diversity of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	146 14%	2 6%	4 11%	11 12%	8 16%	60 12%	86 16%	22 22% cef	38 20% ef	25 13%	20 13%	19 10%	22 10%
Wolves improve the overall health of populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares) by killing off those that are diseased, weak or old	139 13%	5 14%	7 18% c	5 5%	10 21% c	57 11%	83 15%	24 24% cdef	44 23% cdef	22 11%	20 13%	14 8%	16 7%

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q5 Nets summary (Disagree): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Age			Diet						
					Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products					
Significance Level: 95%	Total	18-34	35-54	55+			Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
		a	b	c	a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total	1049	288	353	408	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total	1047	293	359	395	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Wolves are beneficial to the ecosystem in which they live	76 7%	43 15% bc	20 6%	13 3%	63 7%	11 7%	5 5%	3 23%	- -	- -	3 21%
Wolves help to control populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	120 11%	49 17% bc	35 10%	37 9%	100 12%	19 11%	10 9%	3 23%	- -	3 16%	3 21%
Wolves in the wild are an opportunity for tourism in rural and natural areas	342 33%	114 39% b	96 27%	133 34% b	285 33%	51 29%	35 32%	7 56%	3 18%	3 16%	2 14%
Wolves help to improve the genetic diversity of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	146 14%	60 20% bc	46 13%	40 10%	115 13%	29 17%	17 16%	4 32%	2 12%	3 16%	2 14%
Wolves improve the overall health of populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares) by killing off those that are diseased, weak or old	139 13%	68 23% bc	42 12% c	29 7%	116 14%	19 11%	14 12%	3 24%	- -	1 5%	1 7%

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q5\_1. Wolves are beneficial to the ecosystem in which they live: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Poland regions												
Total	Dolno I skie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	ódzkie	Ma opolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	I skie	
1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	
1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
459	37	22	29	12	36	37	66	13	22	15	24	54	
44%	46%	38%	49%	41%	53%	40%	46%	46%	38%	45%	38%	43%	
444	27	27	23	11	25	39	58	14	26	11	28	62	
42%	34%	48%	39%	41%	36%	42%	40%	50%	46%	35%	45%	49%	
58	8	1	1	1	1	9	8	1	3	4	4	4	
6%	10%	2%	2%	4%	2%	10%	5%	4%	6%	12%	6%	3%	
18	1	1	1	1	-	4	4	-	1	-	2	-	
2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	-	4%	3%	-	2%	-	3%	-	
68	7	6	5	3	6	3	8	-	5	3	5	6	
7%	9%	10%	9%	10%	9%	4%	5%	-	8%	8%	8%	4%	
903	64	49	52	23	61	75	125	26	48	26	52	116	
86%	81%	86%	88%	82%	89%	83%	87%	96%	84%	80%	83%	92%	
76	9	2	2	2	1	12	12	1	5	4	6	4	
7%	11%	4%	3%	7%	2%	14%	8%	4%	8%	12%	9%	3%	
979	73	51	54	25	62	88	136	28	53	30	57	120	
3.37	3.37	3.37	3.47	3.34	3.57	3.24	3.37	3.42	3.30	3.37	3.28	3.42	
.680	.727	.632	.643	.748	.535	.794	.724	.583	.698	.708	.736	.558	
.022	.084	.088	.087	.147	.070	.089	.061	.117	.103	.127	.096	.050	
*	.01	.01	.01	.02	*	.01	*	.01	.01	.02	.01	*	

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q5\_1. Wolves are beneficial to the ecosystem in which they live: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Poland regions				Gender		Age							
		Total	wi tokrzyskie	Warmi sko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	c	d	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	
Unweighted Total		1049	36	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	
Total		1047	35	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Strongly agree		(4.0)	459	17	19	40	17	233	225	36	70	88	70	82	112
			44%	49%	47%	43%	37%	47%	41%	36%	36%	43%	45%	46%	52%
														ab	
Tend to agree		(3.0)	444	15	12	43	23	213	232	36	84	93	69	76	85
			42%	42%	30%	46%	48%	43%	42%	36%	44%	46%	44%	42%	40%
Tend to disagree		(2.0)	58	3	3	3	5	24	35	11	20	12	6	4	6
			6%	9%	8%	3%	11%	5%	6%	11%	10%	6%	4%	2%	3%
										def	def				
Strongly disagree		(1.0)	18	-	2	1	-	10	8	7	5	2	1	2	1
			2%	-	6%	1%	-	2%	1%	7%	3%	1%	1%	1%	*
										cdef					
Don't know		68	-	4	7	2	20	48	10	14	7	11	16	10	
		7%	-	9%	8%	4%	4%	9%	10%	7%	4%	7%	9%	4%	
								a	c				c		
NET: Agree		903	32	30	83	40	446	457	72	154	181	139	158	197	
		86%	91%	77%	88%	86%	89%	84%	73%	80%	90%	89%	88%	92%	
							b				ab	ab	ab	ab	
NET: Disagree		76	3	5	4	5	34	42	18	25	14	7	6	7	
		7%	9%	13%	4%	11%	7%	8%	18%	13%	7%	4%	3%	3%	
									cdef	cdef					
Base for stats		979	35	36	87	45	480	499	90	179	195	146	164	205	
Mean Score		3.37	3.40	3.31	3.40	3.28	3.39	3.35	3.13	3.22	3.37	3.43	3.45	3.51	
											ab	ab	ab	abc	
Standard Deviation		.680	.654	.888	.616	.655	.682	.678	.902	.756	.641	.610	.609	.582	
Standard Error		.022	.109	.148	.065	.096	.032	.030	.098	.056	.045	.053	.047	.040	
Error variance		*	.01	.02	*	.01	*	*	.01	*	*	*	*	*	



## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q5\_1. Wolves are beneficial to the ecosystem in which they live: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Age				Diet						
		Total	18-34	35-54	55+	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	c	a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total		1049	288	353	408	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total		1047	293	359	395	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	459 44%	106 36%	158 44%	195 49%	362 42%	88 51%	57 51%	5 37%	9 58%	14 73%	4 25%
				a	a		a					
Tend to agree	(3.0)	444 42%	120 41%	162 45%	161 41%	368 43%	66 38%	43 39%	4 32%	7 42%	4 22%	7 47%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	58 6%	31 11%	17 5%	10 3%	50 6%	7 4%	2 2%	2 14%	- -	- -	3 21%
			bc									
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	18 2%	12 4%	3 1%	3 1%	13 2%	4 2%	3 3%	1 9%	- -	- -	- -
			bc									
Don't know		68 7%	24 8%	18 5%	26 7%	59 7%	8 5%	5 5%	1 7%	- -	1 5%	1 7%
NET: Agree		903 86%	226 77%	321 89%	356 90%	730 86%	154 89%	100 90%	9 69%	16 100%	18 95%	11 72%
				a	a							
NET: Disagree		76 7%	43 15%	20 6%	13 3%	63 7%	11 7%	5 5%	3 23%	- -	- -	3 21%
			bc									
Base for stats		979	269	341	369	793	165	105	12	16	18	14
Mean Score		3.37	3.19	3.40	3.48	3.36	3.44	3.46	3.05	3.58	3.76	3.05
				a	a							
Standard Deviation		.680	.807	.628	.594	.676	.701	.690	1.010	.510	.437	.725
Standard Error		.022	.050	.034	.030	.024	.055	.067	.291	.128	.103	.194
Error variance		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	.08	.02	.01	.04

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q5\_2. Wolves help to control populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Poland regions											
Total	Dolno I skie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	ódzkie	Ma opolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	I skie
1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131
1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
368	26	19	25	12	27	23	59	5	21	14	19	42
35%	33%	33%	42%	41%	39%	26%	41%	17%	36%	43%	30%	33%
473	40	25	24	12	31	45	65	15	23	14	30	61
45%	51%	44%	41%	45%	45%	50%	45%	54%	40%	43%	49%	49%
93	5	7	4	3	1	13	9	6	6	2	8	9
9%	6%	12%	7%	11%	1%	15%	6%	21%	10%	6%	12%	7%
27	2	1	2	-	-	4	5	-	2	1	4	2
3%	2%	2%	3%	-	-	4%	3%	-	4%	3%	6%	1%
85	7	6	4	1	10	5	6	2	6	2	2	12
8%	8%	10%	7%	3%	14%	6%	4%	8%	10%	5%	3%	10%
841	66	43	49	24	58	69	125	20	44	28	49	103
80%	84%	76%	83%	86%	84%	76%	87%	71%	76%	86%	79%	82%
120	6	8	6	3	1	17	13	6	8	3	11	11
11%	8%	14%	11%	11%	1%	19%	9%	21%	14%	8%	18%	9%
962	73	51	55	27	59	86	138	25	52	31	60	113
3.23	3.24	3.19	3.30	3.32	3.44	3.04	3.30	2.96	3.20	3.34	3.06	3.26
.735	.681	.744	.771	.675	.535	.775	.735	.651	.815	.733	.835	.669
.024	.079	.103	.103	.128	.072	.088	.062	.136	.121	.130	.106	.062
*	.01	.01	.01	.02	.01	.01	*	.02	.01	.02	.01	*

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q5\_2. Wolves help to control populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Poland regions				Gender		Age							
		Total	wi tokrzyskie	Warmi sko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	c	d	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	
Unweighted Total		1049	36	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	
Total		1047	35	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Strongly agree		(4.0)	368	10	18	36	13	192	176	32	48	72	62	69	86
			35%	30%	46%	38%	29%	38%	32%	32%	25%	35%	39%	38%	40%
								b			b	b	b	b	
Tend to agree		(3.0)	473	15	15	37	20	236	237	39	97	95	69	74	99
			45%	42%	37%	40%	43%	47%	43%	39%	50%	47%	44%	41%	46%
Tend to disagree		(2.0)	93	2	3	7	9	41	51	16	20	15	15	18	10
			9%	6%	7%	7%	19%	8%	9%	17%	10%	7%	9%	10%	4%
						c				cf	f		f		
Strongly disagree		(1.0)	27	1	-	3	2	9	19	3	9	4	1	4	5
			3%	3%	-	3%	4%	2%	3%	3%	5%	2%	1%	2%	2%
											d				
Don't know			85	7	4	11	3	21	64	9	19	16	11	16	14
			8%	19%	10%	12%	6%	4%	12%	9%	10%	8%	7%	9%	7%
									a						
NET: Agree			841	25	33	73	33	429	413	71	145	167	130	143	185
			80%	72%	83%	78%	71%	86%	75%	71%	75%	83%	83%	79%	87%
								b			a	a		abe	
NET: Disagree			120	3	3	10	11	50	70	20	29	19	16	22	15
			11%	9%	7%	10%	23%	10%	13%	20%	15%	9%	10%	12%	7%
						c				cdf	f				
Base for stats			962	28	36	83	44	479	483	91	174	186	146	165	200
Mean Score			3.23	3.22	3.42	3.28	3.02	3.28	3.18	3.10	3.06	3.26	3.31	3.26	3.33
					d			b				b	ab	b	ab
Standard Deviation			.735	.739	.647	.760	.827	.696	.770	.828	.773	.704	.677	.747	.690
Standard Error			.024	.137	.108	.082	.122	.033	.034	.089	.059	.050	.059	.058	.048
Error variance			*	.02	.01	.01	.01	*	*	.01	*	*	*	*	*

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q5\_2. Wolves help to control populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Age			Diet							
		Total	18-34	35-54	55+	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	c	a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total		1049	288	353	408	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total		1047	293	359	395	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	368 35%	80 27%	133 37%	155 39%	286 34%	73 42%	47 42%	4 30%	6 39%	10 50%	7 46%
				a	a		a					
Tend to agree	(3.0)	473 45%	136 46%	164 46%	173 44%	393 46%	70 40%	47 43%	4 30%	8 48%	6 34%	5 33%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	93 9%	36 12%	29 8%	27 7%	75 9%	16 9%	10 9%	1 7%	- -	3 16%	2 15%
			c									
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	27 3%	12 4%	5 2%	9 2%	24 3%	3 2%	- -	2 16%	- -	- -	1 6%
			b									
Don't know		85 8%	28 10%	27 8%	30 8%	73 9%	10 6%	6 6%	2 17%	2 13%	- -	- -
NET: Agree		841 80%	216 74%	297 83%	328 83%	679 80%	144 83%	94 85%	8 60%	14 87%	16 84%	12 79%
				a	a							
NET: Disagree		120 11%	49 17%	35 10%	37 9%	100 12%	19 11%	10 9%	3 23%	- -	3 16%	3 21%
			bc									
Base for stats		962	265	332	365	778	163	104	10	14	19	16
Mean Score		3.23	3.07	3.28	3.30	3.21	3.31	3.35	2.89	3.45	3.35	3.19
				a	a							
Standard Deviation		.735	.791	.692	.717	.739	.729	.655	1.153	.516	.757	.943
Standard Error		.024	.049	.038	.037	.026	.057	.064	.348	.138	.174	.244
Error variance		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	.12	.02	.03	.06

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q5\_3. Wolves in the wild are an opportunity for tourism in rural and natural areas: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Poland regions												
Total	Dolno I skie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	ódzkie	Ma opolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	I skie	
1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	
1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
213	13	10	17	4	14	17	32	5	8	12	13	28	
20%	17%	18%	29%	14%	20%	18%	23%	17%	14%	36%	21%	22%	
367	27	22	18	9	27	27	43	12	26	9	17	41	
35%	34%	39%	30%	31%	39%	30%	30%	42%	46%	26%	27%	33%	
251	22	12	14	8	10	27	37	9	13	7	18	34	
24%	28%	21%	24%	30%	14%	29%	25%	33%	22%	21%	29%	27%	
91	5	4	3	4	6	7	22	1	6	4	8	6	
9%	6%	6%	5%	14%	9%	8%	16%	5%	10%	11%	14%	5%	
125	12	9	7	3	12	14	9	1	5	2	6	16	
12%	15%	15%	12%	11%	18%	15%	6%	4%	8%	5%	9%	13%	
580	40	32	35	12	41	44	75	16	35	20	30	69	
55%	51%	57%	59%	45%	59%	48%	52%	59%	60%	62%	48%	55%	
342	27	16	17	12	16	33	59	10	18	11	27	40	
33%	34%	28%	29%	45%	23%	37%	41%	37%	32%	33%	43%	32%	
922	67	48	52	25	57	77	135	26	53	31	57	110	
2.76	2.73	2.81	2.95	2.50	2.86	2.70	2.63	2.74	2.69	2.91	2.61	2.83	
.918	.862	.860	.922	.959	.917	.913	1.027	.815	.866	1.053	1.010	.880	
.030	.104	.123	.127	.188	.126	.110	.087	.166	.128	.186	.133	.082	
*	.01	.02	.02	.04	.02	.01	.01	.03	.02	.03	.02	.01	

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q5\_3. Wolves in the wild are an opportunity for tourism in rural and natural areas: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Poland regions				Gender		Age							
		Total	wi tokrzyskie	Warmi sko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	c	d	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	
Unweighted Total		1049	36	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	
Total		1047	35	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Strongly agree		(4.0)	213	7	9	12	13	108	106	18	37	53	31	35	39
		20%	19%	23%	13%	27%	22%	19%	18%	19%	26%	20%	19%	18%	
						c					f				
Tend to agree		(3.0)	367	18	13	45	13	182	185	30	72	71	59	63	71
		35%	52%	34%	48%	29%	36%	34%	30%	37%	35%	37%	35%	33%	
			d		d										
Tend to disagree		(2.0)	251	5	9	18	8	135	116	31	44	39	34	46	57
		24%	15%	23%	20%	16%	27%	21%	31%	23%	19%	22%	25%	27%	
							b		c						
Strongly disagree		(1.0)	91	2	1	6	6	36	55	13	25	15	8	15	15
		9%	5%	3%	6%	12%	7%	10%	13%	13%	7%	5%	8%	7%	
									d	df					
Don't know			125	3	7	13	7	39	85	7	15	24	26	22	32
		12%	8%	17%	13%	16%	8%	16%	7%	8%	12%	16%	12%	15%	
							a					ab		ab	
NET: Agree			580	25	22	57	26	290	290	48	109	125	90	98	110
		55%	71%	57%	61%	56%	58%	53%	49%	56%	62%	57%	54%	51%	
											af				
NET: Disagree			342	7	10	24	13	171	171	44	70	54	42	61	72
		33%	20%	26%	26%	28%	34%	31%	45%	36%	26%	27%	34%	34%	
									cd	c					
Base for stats			922	32	33	82	39	461	462	93	179	178	132	159	182
Mean Score			2.76	2.93	2.92	2.78	2.84	2.78	2.74	2.58	2.68	2.91	2.86	2.74	2.74
												ab	a		
Standard Deviation			.918	.786	.843	.793	1.046	.892	.943	.964	.962	.920	.848	.905	.887
Standard Error			.030	.137	.147	.087	.163	.043	.043	.103	.072	.067	.078	.072	.064
Error variance			*	.02	.02	.01	.03	*	*	.01	.01	*	.01	.01	*

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q5\_3. Wolves in the wild are an opportunity for tourism in rural and natural areas: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Age				Diet						
		Total	18-34	35-54	55+	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	c	a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total		1049	288	353	408	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total		1047	293	359	395	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	213 20%	56 19%	84 23%	73 19%	167 20%	42 24%	26 24%	2 14%	3 20%	9 46%	2 14%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	367 35%	102 35%	130 36%	135 34%	292 34%	66 38%	37 34%	3 23%	8 50%	7 38%	10 65%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	251 24%	75 26%	73 20%	103 26%	205 24%	40 23%	28 26%	6 49%	2 12%	3 16%	1 7%
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	91 9%	39 13% bc	23 6%	30 8%	80 9%	10 6%	7 7%	1 7%	1 6%	- -	1 7%
Don't know		125 12%	21 7%	50 14% a	54 14% a	108 13%	15 9%	11 10%	1 7%	2 12%	- -	1 7%
NET: Agree		580 55%	158 54%	214 60%	208 53%	459 54%	108 62% a	64 58%	5 37%	11 70%	16 84%	12 79%
NET: Disagree		342 33%	114 39% b	96 27%	133 34% b	285 33%	51 29%	35 32%	7 56%	3 18%	3 16%	2 14%
Base for stats		922	272	310	341	744	159	99	12	14	19	14
Mean Score		2.76	2.64	2.89 ac	2.74	2.73	2.88	2.84	2.47	2.95	3.31	2.92
Standard Deviation		.918	.963	.889	.894	.927	.878	.907	.880	.834	.745	.755
Standard Error		.030	.059	.051	.048	.034	.070	.091	.254	.223	.171	.202
Error variance		*	*	*	*	*	*	.01	.06	.05	.03	.04

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q5\_4. Wolves help to improve the genetic diversity of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Poland regions												
Total	Dolno I skie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	ódzkie	Ma opolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	I skie	
1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	
1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
327	21	14	21	12	24	22	51	8	15	15	19	36	
31%	26%	25%	36%	42%	34%	24%	36%	29%	26%	45%	30%	29%	
427	33	21	26	9	27	40	55	13	20	11	27	56	
41%	42%	37%	45%	31%	40%	44%	38%	46%	34%	35%	43%	45%	
110	13	8	4	4	4	9	20	3	10	3	2	12	
11%	16%	14%	7%	13%	6%	10%	14%	12%	18%	9%	3%	9%	
35	3	1	-	-	3	9	4	-	1	1	4	3	
3%	4%	2%	-	-	5%	10%	3%	-	2%	3%	7%	2%	
147	10	12	7	4	11	11	14	3	12	3	10	19	
14%	12%	22%	12%	14%	15%	12%	10%	12%	20%	8%	16%	15%	
754	54	35	47	20	51	62	106	21	35	26	45	92	
72%	68%	62%	80%	73%	74%	68%	74%	75%	60%	80%	73%	74%	
146	16	9	4	4	7	18	24	3	11	4	6	14	
14%	20%	16%	7%	13%	11%	20%	16%	12%	20%	11%	10%	11%	
900	70	44	52	24	58	80	130	24	46	30	52	107	
3.16	3.03	3.09	3.33	3.34	3.23	2.94	3.18	3.20	3.05	3.34	3.15	3.18	
.786	.805	.772	.627	.742	.809	.922	.800	.674	.814	.785	.856	.724	
.026	.095	.115	.086	.148	.110	.109	.069	.144	.129	.141	.118	.069	
*	.01	.01	.01	.02	.01	.01	*	.02	.02	.02	.01	*	



## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q5\_4. Wolves help to improve the genetic diversity of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Poland regions				Gender		Age						
		Total	wi tokrzyskie	Warmi sko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	c	d	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f
Unweighted Total		1049	36	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225
Total		1047	35	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	327	12	12	30	15	163	164	28	53	62	51	60	73
		31%	36%	30%	32%	33%	33%	30%	28%	27%	31%	33%	33%	34%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	427	14	18	38	19	222	206	39	81	86	66	71	84
		41%	41%	45%	41%	40%	44% b	38%	39%	42%	42%	42%	39%	39%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	110	2	2	9	6	47	63	14	31	20	18	12	16
		11%	6%	5%	10%	12%	9%	12%	14% e	16% ef	10%	11%	6%	8%
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	35	-	2	2	2	13	22	8	7	6	2	7	5
		3%	-	6%	2%	4%	3%	4%	8% df	4%	3%	2%	4%	3%
Don't know		147	6	6	15	5	55	92	11	21	29	20	31	35
		14%	17%	15%	15%	11%	11%	17% a	11%	11%	14%	13%	17%	16%
NET: Agree		754	27	29	68	34	385	370	67	134	148	117	131	158
		72%	77%	74%	73%	73%	77% b	68%	67%	69%	73%	74%	73%	74%
NET: Disagree		146	2	4	11	8	60	86	22	38	25	20	19	22
		14%	6%	11%	12%	16%	12%	16%	22% cef	20% ef	13%	13%	10%	10%
Base for stats		900	29	34	80	41	445	455	89	172	174	137	150	179
Mean Score		3.16	3.36	3.16	3.22	3.15	3.20	3.12	2.98	3.04	3.18	3.21	3.23 ab	3.26 ab
Standard Deviation		.786	.624	.816	.742	.823	.743	.824	.906	.809	.760	.731	.787	.745
Standard Error		.026	.114	.140	.082	.125	.036	.038	.099	.062	.056	.066	.064	.054
Error variance		*	.01	.02	.01	.02	*	*	.01	*	*	*	*	*

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q5\_4. Wolves help to improve the genetic diversity of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Age			Diet							
		Total	18-34	35-54	55+	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	c	a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total		1049	288	353	408	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total		1047	293	359	395	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	327	81	114	133	255	65	43	4	5	9	4
		31%	28%	32%	34%	30%	37%	39%	30%	34%	46%	27%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	427	120	151	155	363	55	34	3	5	6	8
		41%	41%	42%	39%	43% bc	32%	30%	23%	30%	32%	52%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	110	45	38	28	89	20	13	2	1	2	2
		11%	15% c	10%	7%	10%	12%	12%	16%	6%	10%	14%
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	35	15	8	12	26	8	4	2	1	1	-
		3%	5%	2%	3%	3%	5%	4%	16%	6%	6%	-
Don't know		147	32	49	66	119	24	16	2	4	1	1
		14%	11%	14%	17% a	14%	14%	15%	15%	24%	6%	7%
NET: Agree		754	201	265	289	618	121	76	7	10	15	12
		72%	69%	74%	73%	73%	69%	69%	53%	64%	77%	79%
NET: Disagree		146	60	46	40	115	29	17	4	2	3	2
		14%	20% bc	13%	10%	13%	17%	16%	32%	12%	16%	14%
Base for stats		900	261	311	329	732	149	94	11	12	18	14
Mean Score		3.16	3.02	3.19	3.24	3.16	3.19	3.22	2.78	3.20	3.25	3.14
				a	a							
Standard Deviation		.786	.842	.746	.763	.765	.877	.859	1.173	.939	.916	.674
Standard Error		.026	.053	.043	.041	.028	.072	.089	.354	.271	.216	.180
Error variance		*	*	*	*	*	.01	.01	.13	.07	.05	.03

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Q5\_5. Wolves improve the overall health of populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares) by killing off those that are diseased, weak or old: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Poland regions												
Total	Dolno I skie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	ódzkie	Ma opolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	I skie	
1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	
1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
367	26	15	26	11	25	28	55	7	21	14	18	40	
35%	33%	27%	44%	38%	37%	31%	38%	25%	36%	43%	28%	32%	
444	33	24	22	11	31	41	59	9	21	10	34	60	
42%	42%	42%	38%	41%	45%	45%	41%	33%	36%	32%	54%	48%	
107	8	7	6	2	2	10	17	6	7	6	5	11	
10%	11%	12%	11%	7%	3%	11%	12%	21%	12%	18%	9%	9%	
32	3	2	-	1	2	3	7	1	1	-	3	2	
3%	4%	3%	-	3%	3%	4%	5%	4%	2%	-	5%	1%	
97	9	9	4	3	8	9	6	5	8	3	3	12	
9%	11%	15%	7%	11%	12%	9%	4%	17%	14%	8%	4%	10%	
811	59	39	48	22	56	69	114	16	42	24	51	101	
77%	75%	69%	82%	79%	81%	76%	79%	58%	72%	74%	83%	80%	
139	11	9	6	3	4	14	24	7	8	6	8	13	
13%	14%	15%	11%	10%	6%	15%	17%	25%	14%	18%	13%	10%	
950	71	48	55	25	60	82	138	23	50	30	59	113	
3.21	3.17	3.10	3.36	3.28	3.31	3.13	3.17	2.95	3.23	3.27	3.11	3.23	
.770	.797	.793	.686	.773	.716	.786	.833	.884	.783	.776	.759	.683	
.025	.093	.113	.092	.152	.096	.091	.070	.193	.119	.139	.097	.063	
*	.01	.01	.01	.02	.01	.01	*	.04	.01	.02	.01	*	

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

**Q5\_5. Wolves improve the overall health of populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares) by killing off those that are diseased, weak or old: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?**

BASE: All respondents

		Poland regions				Gender		Age							
		Total	wi tokrzyskie	Warmi sko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	c	d	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	
Unweighted Total		1049	36	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	
Total		1047	35	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Strongly agree		(4.0)	367	11	16	38	16	199	167	29	43	68	58	74	94
		35%	33%	40%	41%	33%	40%	31%	29%	22%	34%	37%	41%	44%	
							b				b	b	b	abc	
Tend to agree		(3.0)	444	17	14	40	18	217	227	38	89	90	62	74	93
		42%	48%	34%	43%	39%	43%	42%	38%	46%	44%	39%	41%	43%	
Tend to disagree		(2.0)	107	3	4	4	9	44	64	16	35	18	19	7	12
		10%	9%	10%	4%	19%	9%	12%	16%	18%	9%	12%	4%	6%	
						c			ef	cef	e	ef			
Strongly disagree		(1.0)	32	2	3	1	1	13	19	8	9	4	1	7	4
		3%	5%	8%	1%	2%	3%	3%	8%	5%	2%	1%	4%	2%	
				c					cdf	d					
Don't know		97	2	3	11	4	27	70	9	17	23	17	20	11	
		9%	5%	7%	11%	8%	5%	13%	9%	9%	11%	11%	11%	5%	
								a			f		f		
NET: Agree		811	28	29	78	34	417	394	67	132	157	120	147	187	
		77%	80%	75%	83%	72%	83%	72%	67%	68%	78%	77%	82%	87%	
							b				ab		ab	abcd	
NET: Disagree		139	5	7	5	10	57	83	24	44	22	20	14	16	
		13%	14%	18%	5%	21%	11%	15%	24%	23%	11%	13%	8%	7%	
				c		c			cdef	cdef					
Base for stats		950	33	37	83	43	473	477	91	176	179	141	161	203	
Mean Score		3.21	3.14	3.15	3.39	3.12	3.27	3.14	2.97	2.95	3.24	3.26	3.33	3.37	
					d		b				ab	ab	ab	ab	
Standard Deviation		.770	.816	.952	.635	.801	.743	.791	.924	.806	.717	.719	.749	.678	
Standard Error		.025	.140	.156	.069	.119	.035	.035	.100	.061	.052	.064	.059	.046	
Error variance		*	.02	.02	*	.01	*	*	.01	*	*	*	*	*	

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

**Q5\_5. Wolves improve the overall health of populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares) by killing off those that are diseased, weak or old: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?**

BASE: All respondents

		Age			Diet							
		Total	18-34	35-54	55+	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	c	a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total		1049	288	353	408	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total		1047	293	359	395	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	367 35%	72 25%	126 35% a	168 42% ab	290 34%	67 39%	45 41%	5 37%	7 45%	8 40%	3 19%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	444 42%	126 43%	151 42%	167 42%	363 43%	74 43%	42 39%	5 39%	7 42%	10 55%	9 59%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	107 10%	51 17% bc	37 10% c	19 5%	88 10%	15 9%	11 10%	3 24%	- -	1 5%	- -
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	32 3%	17 6% bc	5 1%	10 3%	28 3%	3 2%	2 2%	- -	- -	- -	1 7%
Don't know		97 9%	26 9%	40 11%	31 8%	82 10%	14 8%	9 8%	- -	2 13%	- -	2 14%
NET: Agree		811 77%	199 68%	278 77% a	334 85% ab	654 77%	141 81%	87 79%	10 76%	14 87%	18 95%	12 79%
NET: Disagree		139 13%	68 23% bc	42 12% c	29 7%	116 14%	19 11%	14 12%	3 24%	- -	1 5%	1 7%
Base for stats		950	267	320	364	770	160	101	12	14	19	13
Mean Score		3.21	2.95	3.25 a	3.35 a	3.19	3.28	3.29	3.13	3.52	3.36	3.06
Standard Deviation		.770	.847	.717	.710	.776	.722	.752	.799	.518	.586	.776
Standard Error		.025	.052	.040	.037	.028	.057	.075	.222	.139	.134	.215
Error variance		*	*	*	*	*	*	.01	.05	.02	.02	.05

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Gender

BASE: All respondents

	Poland regions											
Total	Dolno I skie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	ódzkie	Ma opolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	I skie
1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131
1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
500	34	21	35	15	30	47	75	9	30	14	31	62
48%	42%	38%	59%	54%	43%	52%	52%	34%	51%	44%	50%	49%
547	46	36	24	13	39	44	68	18	28	18	31	64
52%	58%	62%	41%	46%	57%	48%	48%	66%	49%	56%	50%	51%
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Gender

BASE: All respondents

		Poland regions				Gender		Age					
	Total	wi tokrzyskie	Warmi sko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	d	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f
Unweighted Total	1049	36	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225
Total	1047	35	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Male	500	11	16	46	23	500	-	41	59	75	94	101	130
	48%	33%	40%	49%	50%	100%	-	41%	31%	37%	60%	56%	61%
						b					abc	abc	abc
Female	547	23	24	48	23	-	547	59	134	128	63	80	84
	52%	67%	60%	51%	50%	-	100%	59%	69%	63%	40%	44%	39%
							a	def	def	def			
Non-binary	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
In some other way	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prefer not to say	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Gender

BASE: All respondents

		Age			Diet							Awareness of 'new category meat'			
	Total	18-34	35-54	55+	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan	NET: Heard of	Heard of and understands	Heard of, but doesn't understand	Not heard of
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g	a	b	c	d
Unweighted Total	1049	288	353	408	855	173	110	13	16	19	15	571	180	391	449
Total	1047	293	359	395	852	173	110	12	16	19	16	574	180	394	444
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Male	500	100	169	231	413	76	52	4	4	6	9	301	106	195	184
	48%	34%	47%	58%	48%	44%	47%	36%	27%	33%	58%	52%	59%	49%	42%
			a	ab								d	cd	d	
Female	547	193	190	164	439	98	58	8	12	13	7	273	74	199	260
	52%	66%	53%	42%	52%	56%	53%	64%	73%	67%	42%	48%	41%	51%	58%
		bc	c											b	abc
Non-binary	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
In some other way	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prefer not to say	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-



Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Gender

BASE: All respondents

Significance Level: 95%

Unweighted Total

Total

Male

Female

Non-binary

In some other way

Prefer not to say

Total	Likeliness of trying 'new category meat'	
	NET: Likely	NET: Unlikely
	a	b
Unweighted Total	665	295
Total	665	294
100%	100%	100%
Male	327	138
48%	49%	47%
Female	337	155
52%	51%	53%
Non-binary	-	-
-	-	-
In some other way	-	-
-	-	-
Prefer not to say	-	-
-	-	-

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Age

BASE: All respondents

	Poland regions											
Total	Dolno I skie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	ódzkie	Ma opolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	I skie
1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131
1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
100	11	3	7	3	8	9	18	-	5	4	5	9
10%	13%	6%	12%	12%	12%	10%	13%	-	8%	13%	9%	7%
193	7	16	6	6	10	22	29	7	9	7	8	17
18%	9%	29%	10%	23%	14%	25%	20%	25%	16%	23%	12%	14%
202	20	9	8	7	15	16	33	7	10	5	11	21
19%	26%	16%	14%	26%	22%	18%	23%	24%	18%	14%	18%	17%
157	10	12	12	1	6	13	18	4	13	5	9	23
15%	13%	22%	20%	4%	9%	14%	12%	13%	23%	17%	15%	18%
181	12	10	18	3	11	14	15	3	13	7	15	23
17%	15%	18%	31%	11%	17%	16%	11%	12%	23%	20%	25%	18%
214	19	6	7	7	19	16	31	7	7	4	14	32
20%	24%	10%	12%	24%	27%	17%	21%	25%	12%	14%	22%	26%
293	17	20	13	10	18	32	47	7	14	12	13	26
28%	22%	35%	22%	35%	26%	35%	33%	25%	24%	36%	21%	21%
359	30	21	20	8	21	29	50	10	24	10	20	44
34%	38%	37%	34%	30%	30%	32%	35%	38%	41%	30%	32%	35%
395	32	16	26	10	30	30	46	10	20	11	29	55
38%	40%	28%	44%	35%	44%	33%	32%	37%	35%	34%	47%	44%
1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126
46.42	47.22	43.85	47.02	44.22	48.10	44.06	44.30	46.80	46.42	44.73	48.68	50.06
16.338	16.854	14.971	15.106	16.602	17.524	16.460	17.039	16.631	14.022	16.259	15.800	16.535
.504	1.861	1.966	1.950	3.083	2.190	1.818	1.401	3.326	1.983	2.788	1.975	1.445
.25	3.46	3.86	3.80	9.50	4.80	3.30	1.96	11.06	3.93	7.78	3.90	2.09

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Age

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions				Gender		Age					
		wi tokrzyskie	Warmi sko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	d	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f
Unweighted Total	1049	36	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225
Total	1047	35	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
18-24	100	1	8	5	2	41	59	100	-	-	-	-	-
	10%	3%	19%	6%	4%	8%	11%	100%	-	-	-	-	-
			acd					bcdef					
25-34	193	13	6	22	8	59	134	-	193	-	-	-	-
	18%	37%	15%	23%	16%	12%	24%	-	100%	-	-	-	-
		bd				a			acdef				
35-44	202	5	8	18	8	75	128	-	-	202	-	-	-
	19%	16%	21%	20%	17%	15%	23%	-	-	100%	-	-	-
						a				abdef			
45-54	157	1	4	14	11	94	63	-	-	-	157	-	-
	15%	3%	11%	15%	23%	19%	11%	-	-	-	100%	-	-
					a	b					abcef		
55-64	181	7	5	16	6	101	80	-	-	-	-	181	-
	17%	20%	12%	18%	12%	20%	15%	-	-	-	-	100%	-
						b						abcdf	
65+	214	7	9	18	13	130	84	-	-	-	-	-	214
	20%	22%	22%	19%	27%	26%	15%	-	-	-	-	-	100%
						b							abcde
NET: 18-34	293	14	13	27	10	100	193	100	193	-	-	-	-
	28%	40%	34%	29%	21%	20%	35%	100%	100%	-	-	-	-
						a		cdef	cdef				
NET: 35-54	359	7	13	33	19	169	190	-	-	202	157	-	-
	34%	19%	33%	35%	40%	34%	35%	-	-	100%	100%	-	-
					a					abef	abef		
NET: 55+	395	14	13	34	18	231	164	-	-	-	-	181	214
	38%	41%	34%	36%	39%	46%	30%	-	-	-	-	100%	100%
						b						abcd	abcd
Base for stats	1047	35	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214
Mean Score	46.42	45.98	43.32	46.02	49.27	50.08	43.07	21.34	29.15	38.61	48.55	58.96	68.91
						b			a	ab	abc	abcd	abcde
Standard Deviation	16.338	16.587	17.258	16.325	15.305	16.140	15.806	1.930	2.811	3.010	2.851	2.815	3.541
Standard Error	.504	2.764	2.729	1.658	2.186	.740	.661	.199	.202	.206	.241	.208	.236
Error variance	.25	7.64	7.45	2.75	4.78	.55	.44	.04	.04	.04	.06	.04	.06

# Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

## Age

BASE: All respondents

		Age			Diet							Awareness of 'new category meat'			
					Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products									
	Total	18-34	35-54	55+			Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan	NET: Heard of	Heard of and understands	Heard of, but doesn't understand	Not heard of
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g	a	b	c	d
Unweighted Total	1049	288	353	408	855	173	110	13	16	19	15	571	180	391	449
Total	1047	293	359	395	852	173	110	12	16	19	16	574	180	394	444
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
18-24	100	100	-	-	78	20	11	1	2	2	3	55	26	29	41
	10%	34%	-	-	9%	11%	10%	9%	12%	12%	22%	10%	15%	7%	9%
		bc											cd		
25-34	193	193	-	-	153	38	20	3	5	6	4	125	46	79	67
	18%	66%	-	-	18%	22%	18%	22%	30%	32%	26%	22%	25%	20%	15%
		bc										d	d		
35-44	202	-	202	-	171	30	17	4	3	4	3	107	36	71	87
	19%	-	56%	-	20%	17%	15%	30%	19%	19%	20%	19%	20%	18%	20%
			ac												
45-54	157	-	157	-	125	29	21	1	1	3	2	92	20	71	59
	15%	-	44%	-	15%	17%	19%	9%	7%	18%	15%	16%	11%	18%	13%
			ac											b	
55-64	181	-	-	181	144	30	24	1	2	2	1	90	20	70	85
	17%	-	-	46%	17%	17%	22%	7%	13%	10%	6%	16%	11%	18%	19%
				ab										b	b
65+	214	-	-	214	181	27	18	3	3	2	2	104	32	72	105
	20%	-	-	54%	21%	16%	16%	22%	18%	10%	11%	18%	18%	18%	24%
				ab											a
NET: 18-34	293	293	-	-	230	57	31	4	7	8	7	181	72	108	108
	28%	100%	-	-	27%	33%	28%	31%	43%	44%	48%	32%	40%	28%	24%
		bc										d	acd		
NET: 35-54	359	-	359	-	296	59	37	5	4	7	5	199	56	143	147
	34%	-	100%	-	35%	34%	34%	39%	26%	37%	34%	35%	31%	36%	33%
			ac												
NET: 55+	395	-	-	395	325	57	42	4	5	4	3	194	51	142	189
	38%	-	-	100%	38%	33%	38%	30%	31%	19%	18%	34%	29%	36%	43%
				ab											ab
Base for stats	1047	293	359	395	852	173	110	12	16	19	16	574	180	394	444
Mean Score	46.42	26.49	42.95	64.36	46.65	44.53	46.53	42.96	42.68	40.89	38.04	45.20	42.48	46.45	47.95
			a	ab										b	ab
Standard Deviation	16.338	4.496	5.746	5.915	16.299	16.247	15.792	17.261	18.888	15.769	15.563	16.080	16.808	15.599	16.666
Standard Error	.504	.265	.306	.293	.557	1.235	1.506	4.787	4.722	3.618	4.018	.673	1.253	.789	.786
Error variance	.25	.07	.09	.09	.31	1.53	2.27	22.92	22.30	13.09	16.15	.45	1.57	.62	.62

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### Age

BASE: All respondents

Significance Level: 95%

Unweighted Total

Total

18-24

25-34

35-44

45-54

55-64

65+

NET: 18-34

NET: 35-54

NET: 55+

Base for stats

Mean Score

Standard Deviation

Standard Error

Error variance

	Total	Likeliness of trying 'new category meat'	
		NET: Likely	NET: Unlikely
		a	b
Unweighted Total	1049	665	295
Total	1047	665	294
	100%	100%	100%
18-24	100	72	23
	10%	11%	8%
25-34	193	130	53
	18%	20%	18%
35-44	202	127	55
	19%	19%	19%
45-54	157	102	41
	15%	15%	14%
55-64	181	101	56
	17%	15%	19%
65+	214	133	66
	20%	20%	22%
NET: 18-34	293	202	75
	28%	30%	26%
NET: 35-54	359	229	96
	34%	34%	33%
NET: 55+	395	234	122
	38%	35%	42%
Base for stats	1047	665	294
Mean Score	46.42	45.41	47.93
		a	
Standard Deviation	16.338	16.419	16.338
Standard Error	.504	.637	.951
Error variance	.25	.41	.90

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

**Region: Poland. Which one of these regions do you live in?**

BASE: Poland

	Total	Poland regions											
		Dolno I skie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	ódzkie	Ma opolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	I skie
Unweighted Total	1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131
Total	1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Dolno I skie	79 8%	79 100%	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -
Kujawsko-Pomorskie	57 5%	- -	57 100%	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -
Lubelskie	59 6%	- -	- -	59 100%	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -
Lubuskie	28 3%	- -	- -	- -	28 100%	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -
ódzkie	69 7%	- -	- -	- -	- -	69 100%	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -
Ma opolskie	91 9%	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	91 100%	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -
Mazowieckie	144 14%	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	144 100%	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -
Opolskie	28 3%	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	28 100%	- -	- -	- -	- -
Podkarpackie	58 6%	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	58 100%	- -	- -	- -
Podlaskie	33 3%	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	33 100%	- -	- -
Pomorskie	62 6%	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	62 100%	- -
I skie	126 12%	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	126 100%
wi tokrzyskie	35 3%	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -
Warmi sko-Mazurskie	39 4%	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -
Wielkopolskie	94 9%	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -
Zachodniopomorskie	47 4%	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

**Region: Poland. Which one of these regions do you live in?**

BASE: Poland

	Total	Poland regions				Gender		Age					
		wi tokrzyskie	Warmi sko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	d	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f
Unweighted Total	1049	36	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225
Total	1047	35	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Dolno lskie	79	-	-	-	-	34	46	11	7	20	10	12	19
	8%	-	-	-	-	7%	8%	11% b	4%	10% b	6%	7%	9% b
Kujawsko-Pomorskie	57	-	-	-	-	21	36	3	16	9	12	10	6
	5%	-	-	-	-	4%	7%	3%	9% f	4%	8% f	6%	3%
Lubelskie	59	-	-	-	-	35	24	7	6	8	12	18	7
	6%	-	-	-	-	7%	4%	7%	3%	4%	8%	10% bcf	3%
Lubuskie	28	-	-	-	-	15	13	3	6	7	1	3	7
	3%	-	-	-	-	3%	2%	3%	3%	4%	1%	2%	3%
ódzkie	69	-	-	-	-	30	39	8	10	15	6	11	19
	7%	-	-	-	-	6%	7%	8%	5%	7%	4%	6%	9%
Ma opolskie	91	-	-	-	-	47	44	9	22	16	13	14	16
	9%	-	-	-	-	9%	8%	9%	12%	8%	8%	8%	7%
Mazowieckie	144	-	-	-	-	75	68	18	29	33	18	15	31
	14%	-	-	-	-	15%	13%	18% e	15% e	16% e	11%	8%	14%
Opolskie	28	-	-	-	-	9	18	-	7	7	4	3	7
	3%	-	-	-	-	2%	3%	-	4%	3%	2%	2%	3%
Podkarpackie	58	-	-	-	-	30	28	5	9	10	13	13	7
	6%	-	-	-	-	6%	5%	5%	5%	5%	9% f	7%	3%
Podlaskie	33	-	-	-	-	14	18	4	7	5	5	7	4
	3%	-	-	-	-	3%	3%	4%	4%	2%	3%	4%	2%
Pomorskie	62	-	-	-	-	31	31	5	8	11	9	15	14
	6%	-	-	-	-	6%	6%	5%	4%	5%	6%	9%	6%
lskie	126	-	-	-	-	62	64	9	17	21	23	23	32
	12%	-	-	-	-	12%	12%	9%	9%	10%	14%	13%	15% b
wi tokrzyskie	35	35	-	-	-	11	23	1	13	5	1	7	7
	3%	100% bcd	-	-	-	2%	4%	1%	7% ad	3%	1%	4%	3%
Warmi sko-Mazurskie	39	-	39	-	-	16	24	8	6	8	4	5	9
	4%	-	100% acd	-	-	3%	4%	8%	3%	4%	3%	3%	4%
Wielkopolskie	94	-	-	94	-	46	48	5	22	18	14	16	18
	9%	-	-	100% abd	-	9%	9%	5%	11%	9%	9%	9%	8%

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Region: Poland. Which one of these regions do you live in?

BASE: Poland

		Poland regions				Gender		Age					
	Total	wi tokrzyskie	Warmi sko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	d	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f
Unweighted Total	1049	36	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225
Total	1047	35	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Zachodniopomorskie	47	-	-	-	47	23	23	2	8	8	11	6	13
	4%	-	-	-	100% abc	5%	4%	2%	4%	4%	7%	3%	6%



## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Region: Poland. Which one of these regions do you live in?

BASE: Poland

	Age				Diet							Awareness of 'new category meat'			
					Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products									
	Total	18-34	35-54	55+			Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan	NET: Heard of	Heard of and understands	Heard of, but doesn't understand	Not heard of
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g	a	b	c	d
Unweighted Total	1049	288	353	408	855	173	110	13	16	19	15	571	180	391	449
Total	1047	293	359	395	852	173	110	12	16	19	16	574	180	394	444
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Dolno I skie	79	17	30	32	64	11	8	-	1	-	2	45	18	27	30
	8%	6%	8%	8%	8%	6%	7%	-	7%	-	13%	8%	10%	7%	7%
Kujawsko-Pomorskie	57	20	21	16	50	7	5	-	-	2	-	28	15	13	28
	5%	7%	6%	4%	6%	4%	4%	-	-	11%	-	5%	8% c	3%	6% c
Lubelskie	59	13	20	26	50	8	6	1	-	-	1	31	6	25	23
	6%	4%	6%	7%	6%	5%	5%	9%	-	-	6%	5%	3%	6%	5%
Lubuskie	28	10	8	10	20	7	5	-	-	-	1	17	7	10	10
	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	4%	5%	-	-	-	7%	3%	4%	3%	2%
ódzkie	69	18	21	30	52	15	10	1	3	-	1	39	11	28	28
	7%	6%	6%	8%	6%	9%	9%	9%	20%	-	7%	7%	6%	7%	6%
Ma opolskie	91	32	29	30	70	19	9	1	2	3	3	48	14	35	40
	9%	11%	8%	8%	8%	11%	8%	9%	13%	17%	22%	8%	8%	9%	9%
Mazowieckie	144	47	50	46	115	28	17	3	2	4	2	80	27	52	62
	14%	16%	14%	12%	13%	16%	16%	23%	12%	21%	13%	14%	15%	13%	14%
Opolskie	28	7	10	10	23	5	5	-	-	-	-	13	1	11	14
	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	3%	4%	-	-	-	-	2%	1%	3%	3%
Podkarpackie	58	14	24	20	46	7	3	-	2	1	-	28	6	22	27
	6%	5%	7%	5%	5%	4%	3%	-	13%	6%	-	5%	3%	6%	6%
Podlaskie	33	12	10	11	28	5	4	1	-	-	-	17	3	15	13
	3%	4%	3%	3%	3%	3%	4%	7%	-	-	-	3%	2%	4%	3%
Pomorskie	62	13	20	29	52	9	6	-	1	1	1	32	13	19	30
	6%	4%	6%	7%	6%	5%	5%	-	5%	5%	7%	6%	7%	5%	7%
I skie	126	26	44	55	103	20	15	1	1	3	-	60	15	45	62
	12%	9%	12%	14% a	12%	11%	14%	7%	6%	15%	-	10%	9%	11%	14%
wi tokrzyskie	35	14	7	14	27	7	5	-	-	2	-	21	9	13	14
	3%	5% b	2%	4%	3%	4%	4%	-	-	10%	-	4%	5%	3%	3%
Warmi sko-Mazurskie	39	13	13	13	33	5	3	-	-	1	1	26	5	21	13
	4%	5%	4%	3%	4%	3%	3%	-	-	5%	6%	4%	3%	5%	3%
Wielkopolskie	94	27	33	34	79	15	6	3	3	1	3	62	22	39	29
	9%	9%	9%	9%	9%	9%	5%	22%	17%	5%	19%	11% d	12% d	10%	7%

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Region: Poland. Which one of these regions do you live in?

BASE: Poland

Significance Level: 95%

Unweighted Total

Total

Zachodniopomorskie

	Age			Diet							Awareness of 'new category meat'			
				Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products									
Total	18-34	35-54	55+			Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan	NET: Heard of	Heard of and understands	Heard of, but doesn't understand	Not heard of
	a	b	c	a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g	a	b	c	d
1049	288	353	408	855	173	110	13	16	19	15	571	180	391	449
1047	293	359	395	852	173	110	12	16	19	16	574	180	394	444
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
47	10	19	18	39	8	4	2	1	1	-	26	8	18	21
4%	3%	5%	5%	5%	4%	4%	14%	6%	5%	-	5%	4%	5%	5%

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

**Region: Poland. Which one of these regions do you live in?**

BASE: Poland

	Total	Likelihood of trying 'new category meat'	
		NET: Likely	NET: Unlikely
Significance Level: 95%		a	b
Unweighted Total	1049	665	295
Total	1047	665	294
	100%	100%	100%
Dolno I skie	79	53	20
	8%	8%	7%
Kujawsko-Pomorskie	57	32	20
	5%	5%	7%
Lubelskie	59	38	16
	6%	6%	5%
Lubuskie	28	15	10
	3%	2%	3%
ódzkie	69	43	22
	7%	7%	8%
Ma opolskie	91	56	25
	9%	8%	9%
Mazowieckie	144	105	28
	14%	16%	9%
		b	
Opolskie	28	17	7
	3%	3%	2%
Podkarpackie	58	31	17
	6%	5%	6%
Podlaskie	33	19	11
	3%	3%	4%
Pomorskie	62	38	20
	6%	6%	7%
I skie	126	73	40
	12%	11%	13%
wi tokrzyskie	35	24	7
	3%	4%	2%
Warmi sko-Mazurskie	39	32	8
	4%	5%	3%
Wielkopolskie	94	60	27
	9%	9%	9%
Zachodniopomorskie	47	29	17
	4%	4%	6%

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### D4. Which of the following best describes your diet?

BASE: All respondents

	Poland regions											
Total	Dolno I skie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	ódzkie	Ma opolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	I skie
1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131
1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
852	64	50	50	20	52	70	115	23	46	28	52	103
81%	81%	88%	85%	73%	75%	77%	80%	83%	80%	85%	84%	82%
110	8	5	6	5	10	9	17	5	3	4	6	15
11%	10%	8%	10%	20%	14%	10%	12%	17%	6%	12%	10%	12%
12	-	-	1	-	1	1	3	-	-	1	-	1
1%	-	-	2%	-	2%	1%	2%	-	-	3%	-	1%
16	1	-	-	-	3	2	2	-	2	-	1	1
2%	1%	-	-	-	5%	2%	1%	-	4%	-	1%	1%
19	-	2	-	-	-	3	4	-	1	-	1	3
2%	-	4%	-	-	-	4%	3%	-	2%	-	1%	2%
16	2	-	1	1	1	3	2	-	-	-	1	-
1%	2%	-	1%	4%	2%	4%	1%	-	-	-	2%	-
22	4	-	1	1	2	2	1	-	5	-	1	3
2%	5%	-	2%	3%	3%	2%	1%	-	8%	-	2%	2%
173	11	7	8	7	15	19	28	5	7	5	9	20
17%	14%	12%	14%	24%	22%	21%	20%	17%	12%	15%	14%	16%

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### D4. Which of the following best describes your diet?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions				Gender		Age					
		wi tokrzyskie	Warmi sko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	d	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f
Unweighted Total	1049	36	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225
Total	1047	35	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
I eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	852	27	33	79	39	413	439	78	153	171	125	144	181
	81%	78%	85%	84%	84%	83%	80%	78%	79%	85%	79%	80%	84%
Flexitarian or reducetarian (I do not eat meat or fish for at least half of my meals)	110	5	3	6	4	52	58	11	20	17	21	24	18
	11%	14%	8%	6%	8%	10%	11%	11%	10%	8%	13%	13%	8%
Dairy-free (I do not eat dairy)	12	-	-	3	2	4	8	1	3	4	1	1	3
	1%	-	-	3%	4%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	*	1%
Pescatarian (I do not eat fish)	16	-	-	3	1	4	12	2	5	3	1	2	3
	2%	-	-	3%	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	2%	1%	1%	1%
Vegetarian (I do not eat meat or fish)	19	2	1	1	1	6	13	2	6	4	3	2	2
	2%	5%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	1%	1%
Vegan (I do not eat meat, fish, dairy or other animal products)	16	-	1	3	-	9	7	3	4	3	2	1	2
	1%	-	2%	3%	-	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%
Other	22	1	1	-	-	12	10	2	3	1	4	6	6
	2%	3%	2%	-	-	2%	2%	2%	2%	*	2%	4% c	3%
NET: Reduced intake of animal products	173	7	5	15	8	76	98	20	38	30	29	30	27
	17%	19%	13%	16%	16%	15%	18%	20%	20%	15%	18%	17%	13%

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### D4. Which of the following best describes your diet?

BASE: All respondents

		Age			Diet							Awareness of 'new category meat'			
					Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products									
	Total	18-34	35-54	55+			Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan	NET: Heard of	Heard of and understands	Heard of, but doesn't understand	Not heard of
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g	a	b	c	d
Unweighted Total	1049	288	353	408	855	173	110	13	16	19	15	571	180	391	449
Total	1047	293	359	395	852	173	110	12	16	19	16	574	180	394	444
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
I eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	852 81%	230 79%	296 82%	325 82%	852 100% bc	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	459 80%	134 75%	324 82% b	369 83% b
Flexitarian or reducetarian (I do not eat meat or fish for at least half of my meals)	110 11%	31 11%	37 10%	42 11%	- -	110 64% a	110 100% ab	- -	- -	- -	- -	63 11%	22 12%	41 10%	45 10%
Dairy-free (I do not eat dairy)	12 1%	4 1%	5 1%	4 1%	- -	12 7% ac	- -	12 100%	- -	- -	- -	8 1%	2 1%	7 2%	4 1%
Pescatarian (I do not eat fish)	16 2%	7 2%	4 1%	5 1%	- -	16 9% ac	- -	- -	16 100%	- -	- -	10 2%	5 3%	5 1%	6 1%
Vegetarian (I do not eat meat or fish)	19 2%	8 3%	7 2%	4 1%	- -	19 11% ac	- -	- -	- -	19 100%	- -	12 2%	6 3%	6 2%	7 2%
Vegan (I do not eat meat, fish, dairy or other animal products)	16 1%	7 3% c	5 1%	3 1%	- -	16 9% ac	- -	- -	- -	- -	16 100%	11 2%	7 4% cd	5 1%	3 1%
Other	22 2%	5 2%	5 1%	12 3%	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	10 2%	4 2%	6 2%	10 2%
NET: Reduced intake of animal products	173 17%	57 20%	59 16%	57 15%	- -	173 100% a	110 100% a	12 100%	16 100%	19 100%	16 100%	105 18%	42 23% cd	63 16%	65 15%

## Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

### D4. Which of the following best describes your diet?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Likelihood of trying 'new category meat'	
		NET: Likely	NET: Unlikely
Significance Level: 95%		a	b
Unweighted Total	1049	665	295
Total	1047	665	294
	100%	100%	100%
I eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	852 81%	546 82%	231 79%
Flexitarian or reducetarian (I do not eat meat or fish for at least half of my meals)	110 11%	78 12%	26 9%
Dairy-free (I do not eat dairy)	12 1%	8 1%	3 1%
Pescatarian (I do not eat fish)	16 2%	7 1%	6 2%
Vegetarian (I do not eat meat or fish)	19 2%	10 1%	9 3%
Vegan (I do not eat meat, fish, dairy or other animal products)	16 1%	9 1%	7 2%
Other	22 2%	7 1%	12 4%
			a
NET: Reduced intake of animal products	173 17%	112 17%	51 17%