

Eurogroup for Animals, Wolf Protection Research – France February, 2020

Methodology: Savanta ComRes interviewed 1,037 adults in France aged 18+ online between 11th and 17th February 2020. Data were weighted to be nationally representative of adults aged 18+ by age, gender and region. Savanta ComRes is a member of the British Polling Council and abides by its rules. Full tables at www.comresglobal.com

All press releases or other publications must be checked with Savanta ComRes before use. Savanta ComRes requires 48-hours to check a press release unless otherwise agreed.

For information about commissioning research please contact info@comresglobal.com or call +44 (0)20 7871 8660

To register for Pollwatch, featuring commentary and insight from the Savanta ComRes team, please email: pollwatch@comresglobal.com

Classified: Public www.comresglobal.com

Savanta: ComRes

	F-4-1	Ot	T	Total to discourse	Strongly	David Incom	NIET: A	NET Discours
	Total	Strongly agree	Tend to agree	Tend to disagree	disagree	Don't know	NET: Agree	NET: Disagree
Unweighted Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wolves have a right to exist in the wild	1034	576	375	38	25	19	952	63
	100%	56%	36%	4%	2%	2%	92%	6%
Wolves belong to our natural environment just like foxes, deer or hares	1034	507	368	74	50	34	876	124
	100%	49%	36%	7%	5%	3%	85%	12%
Wolves should be strictly protected	1034	454	420	77	35	49	873	112
	100%	44%	41%	7%	3%	5%	84%	11%
Wolves should be accepted to live in the country	1034	407	403	104	57	62	810	161
	100%	39%	39%	10%	6%	6%	78%	16%
The return of wolves is beneficial for the natural environment and	1034	361	404	115	57	97	765	172
biodiversity of the country	100%	35%	39%	11%	6%	9%	74%	17%
Wolves should be allowed to roam freely without human intervention	1034	243	316	220	160	96	559	380
	100%	24%	31%	21%	15%	9%	54%	37%
Wolves pose a risk to people	1034	107	238	363	237	90	345	600
	100%	10%	23%	35%	23%	9%	33%	58%
I would know how to behave if I were to encounter a wolf	1034	100	195	254	355	131	295	608
	100%	10%	19%	25%	34%	13%	29%	59%



							France region	ns					
	T	î	0	D: "			Basse		N 15 1 0 1 :			- L O .:	Pays de
	Total	Île-de-France	Champagne-Ardenne	Picardie	Haute-Normandie	Centre	Normandie	Bourgogne	Nord-Pas-de-Calais	Lorraine	Alsace	Franche-Comté	la Loire
Unweighted Total	1037	205	19	33	29	44	23	26	68	40	29	17	62
Total	1034	194	22	31	30	42	24	27	66	39	30	19	59
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Wolves have a right to exist in the wild	952	180	20	30	28	39	22	26	60	37	30	17	55
	92%	93%	89%	94%	93%	93%	91%	96%	91%	95%	100%	89%	93%
Wolves belong to our natural environment just like	876	164	18	26	26	37	21	23	57	34	23	16	49
foxes, deer or hares	85%	84%	84%	82%	86%	89%	87%	84%	87%	87%	76%	83%	82%
Wolves should be strictly protected	873	165	21	26	26	36	22	21	57	33	25	14	51
	84%	85%	95%	82%	86%	87%	91%	77%	86%	85%	83%	71%	86%
Wolves should be accepted to live in the country	810	144	18	24	26	31	21	21	51	33	23	11	45
	78%	74%	84%	77%	87%	75%	87%	77%	77%	85%	77%	59%	76%
The return of wolves is beneficial for the natural	765	144	16	19	24	30	19	21	48	29	21	14	43
environment and biodiversity of the country	74%	74%	73%	61%	80%	71%	77%	77%	73%	74%	70%	71%	73%
Wolves should be allowed to roam freely without	559	102	14	16	15	21	16	20	39	24	20	7	30
human intervention	54%	53%	63%	52%	49%	50%	65%	73%	60%	62%	66%	36%	51%
Wolves pose a risk to people	345	69	5	11	9	17	5	9	27	9	13	5	19
	33%	35%	21%	36%	30%	41%	21%	32%	41%	23%	41%	24%	33%
I would know how to behave if I were to encounter a	295	58	5	9	9	11	10	4	24	14	5	6	12
wolf	29%	30%	21%	28%	28%	27%	40%	15%	37%	36%	18%	30%	21%



							France regio	ns				Gen	der
	Total	Bretagne	Poitou Charentes	Aquitaine	Midi-Pyrénées	Limousin	Rhône-Alpes	Auvergne	Languedoc-Roussillon	Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur	Corse	Male	Female
Significance Level: 95%	, otal	a	*b	C	d	*e	f	*g	h	i	*j	а	b
Unweighted Total	1037	56	21	55	43	6	104	23	46	83	5	487	547
Total	1034	53	29	53	48	12	103	22	44	81	5	500	534
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Wolves have a right to exist in the wild	952	47	26	47	43	10	93	21	43	74	4	466	486
	92%	89%	90%	88%	91%	84%	90%	96%	98%	91%	79%	93%	91%
Wolves belong to our natural environment just like foxes, deer or hares	876 85%	43 81%	26 91%	44 82%	40 84%	10 84%	84 82%	19 87%	40 92%	72 89%	4 79%	426 85%	450 84%
,		81%	91%	82%	64%	84%	82%	87%	92%	69%	79%		
Wolves should be strictly protected	873 84%	42 80%	24 81%	45 84%	40 83%	8 67%	83 80%	20 92%	42 96%	70 87%	4 79%	407 81%	466 87%
	0470	0070	0170	0470	0070	07 70	0070	3270	af	01 70	7370	0170	a
Wolves should be accepted to live in the country	810	42	28	40	39	10	77	19	37	67	2	403	407
	78%	79%	95%	75%	81%	84%	74%	87%	85%	83%	43%	81%	76%
The return of wolves is beneficial for the natural	765	39	20	40	38	6	72	18	37	64	4	363	402
environment and biodiversity of the country	74%	74%	67%	75%	79%	50%	70%	79%	85%	80%	79%	73%	75%
Wolves should be allowed to roam freely without	559	24	18	31	24	6	51	13	25	43	1	272	287
human intervention	54%	45%	62%	57%	50%	50%	49%	59%	57%	53%	22%	54%	54%
Wolves pose a risk to people	345	16	14	18	11	2	35	9	14	24	3	169	176
	33%	30%	48%	35%	23%	16%	34%	43%	32%	30%	61%	34%	33%
I would know how to behave if I were to encounter a	295	13	8	15	8	8	32	8	11	22	2	174	121
wolf	29%	25%	29%	27%	17%	67%	31%	35%	26%	28%	43%	35% b	23%
												D D	



				Age					Age	
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
Unweighted Total	1037	106	145	165	173	174	274	251	338	448
Total	1034	108	159	165	178	165	259	267	343	424
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Wolves have a right to exist in the wild	952	97	141	149	169	159	237	239	318	395
	92%	90%	89%	90%	95% b	96% abc	91%	89%	93%	93%
Wolves belong to our natural environment just like foxes, deer or hares	876	96	141	138	146	150	204	237	284	355
	85%	89%	89%	84%	82%	91%	79%	89% b	83%	84%
		'	'			df		_		
Wolves should be strictly protected	873 84%	93	143	138	156	146	198	236	293	344
	84%	87% f	90% f	84%	87% f	88% f	76%	88% c	85%	81%
Wolves should be accepted to live in the country	810	86	131	126	143	137	187	217	269	324
,	78%	79%	82%	77%	80%	83%	72%	81%	79%	77%
			f			f				
The return of wolves is beneficial for the natural environment and	765	81	125	125	136	131	165	206	262	297
biodiversity of the country	74%	75%	79%	76%	76%	80%	64%	77% C	76%	70%
		'	'	'	'	'				
Wolves should be allowed to roam freely without human intervention	559 54%	60	94	84 51%	106	95	119	154	190 56%	214
	54%	55%	59% f	51%	59% f	58% f	46%	58%	56%	51%
Wolves pose a risk to people	345	26	62	60	53	54	91	88	112	144
Wolves pose a lisk to people	33%	24%	39%	36%	30%	32%	35%	33%	33%	34%
			а	а			а			
I would know how to behave if I were to encounter a wolf	295	36	50	46	52	53	58	86	98	111
	29%	34%	31%	28%	29%	32%	22%	32%	28%	26%
		T				T .				



					Diet				
	Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian		Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%		а	b		С	*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total	1037	901	126		65	18	24	11	8
Total	1034 100%	900 100%	124 100%		63 100%	18 100%	24 100%	11 100%	7 100%
Wolves have a right to exist in the wild	952 92%	840 93% b	103 83%		58 92%	13 71%	16 64%	11 100%	5 68%
Wolves belong to our natural environment just like foxes, deer or hares	876 85%	764 85%	104 84%		57 90%	16 86%	19 78%	9 82%	4 55%
Wolves should be strictly protected	873 84%	763 85%	103 83%		57 90%	14 78%	18 75%	8 73%	5 71%
Wolves should be accepted to live in the country	810 78%	705 78%	98 79%		55 86%	13 71%	20 80%	7 64%	4 55%
The return of wolves is beneficial for the natural environment and biodiversity of the country	765 74%	660 73%	98 79%		55 86% a	12 64%	17 71%	8 73%	6 85%
Wolves should be allowed to roam freely without human intervention	559 54%	476 53%	79 63% a		43 68% a	10 54%	14 59%	6 56%	5 72%
Wolves pose a risk to people	345 33%	304 34%	36 29%		15 24%	7 40%	11 43%	2 18%	1 13%
I would know how to behave if I were to encounter a wolf	295 29%	247 27%	46 37% a		20 32%	2 10%	15 64%	4 36%	4 57%



							France region	ıs					
	-	î =	0	5: "		0 1	Basse	_	N 15 1 0 1 :			- I O ''	Pays de
	Total	Île-de-France	Champagne-Ardenne	Picardie	Haute-Normandie	Centre	Normandie	Bourgogne	Nord-Pas-de-Calais	Lorraine	Alsace	Franche-Comté	la Loire
Unweighted Total	1037	205	19	33	29	44	23	26	68	40	29	17	62
Total	1034	194	22	31	30	42	24	27	66	39	30	19	59
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
The return of wolves is beneficial for the natural	172	28	2	8	3	10	3	4	11	5	6	4	9
environment and biodiversity of the country	17%	14%	11%	27%	10%	23%	13%	16%	17%	13%	20%	23%	15%
Wolves belong to our natural environment just like	124	23	1	5	3	4	3	4	6	5	7	2	6
foxes, deer or hares	12%	12%	5%	15%	10%	9%	13%	16%	9%	13%	24%	12%	10%
Wolves should be accepted to live in the country	161	36	-	4	2	8	3	4	8	5	5	6	9
	16%	19%	-	11%	7%	18%	13%	16%	12%	12%	16%	29%	16%
Wolves have a right to exist in the wild	63	12	-	1	1	3	2	1	5	2	-	1	2
	6%	6%	-	3%	4%	7%	9%	4%	8%	5%	-	5%	3%
Wolves pose a risk to people	600	113	11	17	17	24	16	15	31	26	18	14	36
	58%	58%	52%	55%	56%	57%	65%	54%	47%	66%	59%	71%	61%
Wolves should be strictly protected	112	24	-	4	2	6	2	5	6	3	3	4	3
	11%	12%	-	12%	7%	13%	9%	20%	9%	8%	11%	23%	5%
I would know how to behave if I were to encounter a	608	115	11	20	17	24	12	21	34	19	21	10	38
wolf	59%	59%	52%	63%	55%	57%	51%	77%	52%	49%	69%	53%	65%
Wolves should be allowed to roam freely without	380	77	3	12	13	21	6	6	22	11	7	9	21
human intervention	37%	40%	16%	38%	44%	50%	27%	23%	33%	28%	24%	47%	35%



							France regio	ns				Gen	der
	Total	Bretagne	Poitou Charentes	Aquitaine	Midi-Pyrénées	Limousin	Rhône-Alpes	Auvergne	Languedoc-Roussillon	Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur	Corse	Male	Female
Significance Level: 95%		а	*b	С	d	*e	f	*g	h	i	*j	а	b
Unweighted Total	1037	56	21	55	43	6	104	23	46	83	5	487	547
Total	1034	53	29	53	48	12	103	22	44	81	5	500	534
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
The return of wolves is beneficial for the natural	172	10	7	11	5	2	25	3	2	12	1	97	75
environment and biodiversity of the country	17%	20% h	24%	20% h	12%	16%	24% h	13%	4%	15%	21%	19% b	14%
Wolves belong to our natural environment just like	124	9	3	9	3	2	16	2	3	8	1	60	64
foxes, deer or hares	12%	18%	9%	18%	7%	16%	15%	8%	6%	9%	21%	12%	12%
Wolves should be accepted to live in the country	161	10	1	10	6	2	23	2	4	12	3	78	83
	16%	19%	5%	18%	12%	16%	22% h	8%	8%	14%	57%	16%	16%
Wolves have a right to exist in the wild	63	5	3	6	2	2	7	1	1	5	1	28	35
	6%	9%	10%	11%	5%	16%	7%	4%	2%	6%	21%	6%	7%
Wolves pose a risk to people	600	32	12	33	32	8	62	10	23	48	2	296	303
	58%	61%	42%	62%	67%	67%	60%	45%	53%	60%	39%	59%	57%
Wolves should be strictly protected	112	10	4	8	4	2	11	1	1	8	1	68	44
	11%	18% h	14%	14% h	9%	16%	11%	4%	2%	10%	21%	14% b	8%
I would know how to behave if I were to encounter a	608	32	19	33	33	2	63	13	26	43	2	258	350
wolf	59%	61%	67%	62%	69%	16%	61%	61%	59%	53%	36%	52%	66% a
Wolves should be allowed to roam freely without	380	25	7	21	19	2	43	8	12	29	4	187	192
human intervention	37%	48% h	24%	39%	40%	16%	41%	37%	28%	36%	78%	37%	36%



				Age	9				Age	
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
Unweighted Total	1037	106	145	165	173	174	274	251	338	448
Total	1034 100%	108 100%	159 100%	165 100%	178 100%	165 100%	259 100%	267 100%	343 100%	424 100%
The return of wolves is beneficial for the natural environment and biodiversity of the country	172 17%	15 14%	25 16%	25 15%	27 15%	25 15%	55 21%	40 15%	52 15%	80 19%
Wolves belong to our natural environment just like foxes, deer or hares	124 12%	6 5%	14 9%	21 13% a	27 15% a	15 9%	42 16% abe	20 8%	48 14% a	56 13% a
Wolves should be accepted to live in the country	161 16%	13 12%	19 12%	27 17%	27 15%	22 14%	52 20% b	33 12%	54 16%	74 18%
Wolves have a right to exist in the wild	63 6%	7 7%	14 9% e	12 7%	7 4%	5 3%	17 6%	22 8%	19 6%	22 5%
Wolves pose a risk to people	600 58%	67 63%	82 52%	96 58%	111 62%	98 59%	145 56%	150 56%	207 60%	243 57%
Wolves should be strictly protected	112 11%	10 10%	13 8%	24 15%	16 9%	17 10%	32 12%	23 9%	40 12%	49 12%
I would know how to behave if I were to encounter a wolf	608 59%	57 53%	95 60%	105 64%	101 57%	92 56%	158 61%	152 57%	206 60%	250 59%
Wolves should be allowed to roam freely without human intervention	380 37%	35 32%	57 36%	63 38%	53 30%	57 34%	115 44% ade	92 34%	116 34%	172 41%



					Diet				
	Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian		Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%		а	b		С	*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total	1037	901	126		65	18	24	11	8
Total	1034 100%	900 100%	124 100%		63 100%	18 100%	24 100%	11 100%	7 100%
The return of wolves is beneficial for the natural environment and biodiversity of the country	172 17%	156 17% c	14 12%		3 4%	6 30%	4 17%	1 9%	1 15%
Wolves belong to our natural environment just like foxes, deer or hares	124 12%	107 12%	14 12%		4 6%	1 8%	4 17%	2 18%	3 45%
Wolves should be accepted to live in the country	161 16%	144 16% c	15 12%		4 6%	2 11%	3 12%	3 27%	3 45%
Wolves have a right to exist in the wild	63 6%	45 5%	17 14% a		4 6%	4 23%	7 27%	-	2 32%
Wolves pose a risk to people	600 58%	520 58%	75 61%		41 64%	9 48%	11 44%	9 82%	6 87%
Wolves should be strictly protected	112 11%	93 10%	17 14%		5 8%	3 16%	4 16%	3 27%	2 29%
I would know how to behave if I were to encounter a wolf	608 59%	544 60% b	59 48%		34 54%	12 67%	7 27%	3 28%	3 43%
Wolves should be allowed to roam freely without human intervention	380 37%	343 38% bc	32 26%		15 24%	6 34%	8 32%	3 27%	-



Q2_1. The return of wolves is beneficial for the natural environment and biodiversity of the country: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

							France region	ıs					
							Basse						Pays de
	Total	Île-de-France	Champagne-Ardenne	Picardie	Haute-Normandie	Centre	Normandie	Bourgogne	Nord-Pas-de-Calais	Lorraine	Alsace	Franche-Comté	la Loire
Unweighted Total	1037	205	19	33	29	44	23	26	68	40	29	17	62
Total	1034	194	22	31	30	42	24	27	66	39	30	19	59
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	361	64	8	7	7	13	9	6	29	15	12	7	20
	35%	33%	37%	22%	24%	30%	39%	23%	43%	38%	39%	35%	34%
Tend to agree (3.0)	404	80	8	12	17	17	9	15	20	14	10	7	23
	39%	41%	36%	39%	56%	41%	39%	55%	30%	37%	32%	36%	38%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	115	24	2	7	1	8	1	2	10	4	5	2	6
	11%	12%	11%	21%	4%	19%	5%	8%	16%	10%	17%	12%	10%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	57	4	-	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	2	3
	6%	2%	-	6%	7%	4%	9%	7%	1%	3%	3%	11%	5%
Don't know	97	22	4	4	3	3	2	2	7	5	3	1	8
	9%	11%	16%	12%	10%	7%	9%	7%	10%	13%	9%	6%	13%
NET: Agree	765	144	16	19	24	30	19	21	48	29	21	14	43
	74%	74%	73%	61%	80%	71%	77%	77%	73%	74%	70%	71%	73%
NET: Disagree	172	28	2	8	3	10	3	4	11	5	6	4	9
	17%	14%	11%	27%	10%	23%	13%	16%	17%	13%	20%	23%	15%
Base for stats	937	172	18	28	27	39	22	25	59	34	28	18	52
Mean Score	3.14	3.19	3.32	2.88	3.08	3.03	3.19	3.00	3.28	3.25	3.17	3.01	3.17
Standard Deviation	.856	.756	.704	.873	.791	.849	.929	.823	.807	.793	.867	1.018	.843
Standard Error	.028	.056	.176	.162	.155	.133	.203	.168	.103	.134	.170	.255	.115
Error variance	*	*	.03	.03	.02	.02	.04	.03	.01	.02	.03	.06	.01



Q2_1. The return of wolves is beneficial for the natural environment and biodiversity of the country: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

								France regio	ns				Gen	der
		T	Б.	Poitou		AFFD ()		B1 4 41			D 41 00: 114	0		
Significance Level: 95%		Total	Bretagne a	Charentes *b	Aquitaine c	Midi-Pyrénées d	Limousin *e	Rhône-Alpes	Auvergne *g	Languedoc-Roussillon h	Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur	Corse *i	Male a	Female b
Significance Level. 95%			a	Ь	C	u	е	'	g	II II	ı	J	a a	ь
Unweighted Total		1037	56	21	55	43	6	104	23	46	83	5	487	547
Total		1034	53	29	53	48	12	103	22	44	81	5	500	534
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	361	24	10	17	14	4	36	8	20	31	1	165	195
	, ,	35%	46%	33%	31%	29%	33%	35%		47%	39%	22%	33%	37%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	404	15	10	23	24	2	37	10	17	33	3	197	207
	, ,	39%	28%	33%	43%	50%	17%	36%	43%	39%	41%	58%	39%	39%
						а								
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	115	6	6	6	3	-	13	3	1	5	1	63	53
		11%	10%	19%	11%	7%	-	13%	13%	2%	6%	21%	13%	10%
								h						
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	57	5	1	5	2	2	12	-	1	8	_	35	22
	, ,	6%	9%	5%	9%	5%		11%	-	2%	9%	-	7%	4%
Don't know		97	4	3	3	5	4	6	2	5	4	_	40	57
		9%	7%	9%	5%	9%	33%	6%		11%	5%	-	8%	11%
NET: Agree		765	39	20	40	38	6	72	18	37	64	4	363	402
		74%	74%	67%	75%	79%	50%	70%	79%	85%	80%	79%	73%	75%
NET: Disagree		172	10	7	11	5	2	25	3	2	12	1	97	75
		17%	20%	24%	20%	12%	16%	24%	13%	4%	15%	21%	19%	14%
			h		h			h					b	
Base for stats		937	49	26	51	43	8	97	20	39	77	5	460	478
Mean Score		3.14	3.18	3.05	3.02	3.14	3.01	3.00	3.25	3.45	3.15	3.01	3.07	3.20
										cf				а
Standard Deviation		.856	.988	.903	.916	.773	1.304	.997	.699	.669	.925	.725	.890	.817
Standard Error Error variance		.028	.137 .02	.207 .04	.127 .02	.124 .02	.652 .43	.101 .01	.153 .02	.104 .01	.104 .01	.324 .10	.042	.037
Elloi valiance			.02	.04	.02	.02	.43	.01	.02	.01	.01	.10		



Q2_1. The return of wolves is beneficial for the natural environment and biodiversity of the country: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

					Age	1				Age	
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%			а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
Unweighted Total		1037	106	145	165	173	174	274	251	338	448
Total		1034 100%	108 100%	159 100%	165 100%	178 100%	165 100%	259 100%	267 100%	343 100%	424 100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	361 35%	45 42% f	64 40% f	56 34%	70 39% f	57 35% f	68 26%	109 41% c	126 37% c	125 29%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	404 39%	36 33%	61 38%	69 42%	66 37%	74 45%	98 38%	97 36%	135 39%	172 41%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	115 11%	11 10%	21 13%	21 13%	19 11%	16 10%	29 11%	31 12%	40 12%	45 11%
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	57 6%	4 4%	4 3%	5 3%	8 5%	9 6%	26 10% bcd	9 3%	13 4%	35 8% ab
Don't know		97 9%	12 11%	9 6%	14 8%	15 8%	8 5%	38 15% bcde	21 8%	29 8%	47 11%
NET: Agree		765 74%	81 75% f	125 79% f	125 76% f	136 76% f	131 80% f	165 64%	206 77% c	262 76%	297 70%
NET: Disagree		172 17%	15 14%	25 16%	25 15%	27 15%	25 15%	55 21%	40 15%	52 15%	80 19%
Base for stats Mean Score		937 3.14	96 3.27 f	150 3.23 f	151 3.17 f	163 3.22 f	157 3.14 f	220 2.94	246 3.25 c	314 3.19 c	377 3.02
Standard Deviation Standard Error Error variance		.856 .028 *	.832 .086 .01	.794 .068 *	.781 .064 *	.839 .067 *	.832 .065 *	.954 .062 *	.808 .053 *	.810 .046 *	.910 .046 *



Q2_1. The return of wolves is beneficial for the natural environment and biodiversity of the country: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

				Diet				
	Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	С	*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total	1037	901	126	65	18	24	11	8
Total	1034	900	124	63	18	24	11	7
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	361	308	49	29	5	9	5	2
	35%	34%	40%	46%	26%	36%	46%	29%
Tend to agree (3.0)	404	352	48	26	7	9	3	4
	39%	39%	39%	41%	38%	35%	27%	55%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	115	103	12	2	5	3	1	1
	11%	11% c	9%	3%	25%	12%	9%	15%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	57	53	3	1	1	1	_	-
	6%	6%	2%	1%	5%	4%	-	-
Don't know	97	84	12	6	1	3	2	-
	9%	9%	10%	9%	6%	13%	18%	-
NET: Agree	765	660	98	55	12	17	8	6
	74%	73%	79%	86% a	64%	71%	73%	85%
NET: Disagree	172	156	14	3	6	4	1	1
	17%	17% c	12%	4%	30%	17%	9%	15%
								_
Base for stats Mean Score	937 3.14	816 3.12	112 3.29	58 3.44	17 2.90	21 3.17	9 3.45	7 3.14
INICALI SCOLO	3.14	3.12	3.29	3. 44 a	2.90	3.17	3.45	3.14
Standard Deviation	.856	.867	.752	.642	.885	.863	.721	.704
Standard Error	.028	.030	.071	.084	.215	.188	.240	.249
Error variance	*	*	.01	.01	.05	.04	.06	.06



							France region	าร					
		_					Basse						Pays de
	Total	Île-de-France	Champagne-Ardenne	Picardie	Haute-Normandie	Centre	Normandie	Bourgogne	Nord-Pas-de-Calais	Lorraine	Alsace	Franche-Comté	la Loire
Unweighted Total	1037	205	19	33	29	44	23	26	68	40	29	17	62
Total	1034	194	22	31	30	42	24	27	66	39	30	19	59
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		100%	100%	100%			100%	
Otras article and a	. 507	0.5	40	44	4.4	00	45	40	00	47	40		00
Strongly agree (4.0		85	13	11	14	22	15	10	38	17	16	9	29
	49%	44%	57%	34%	48%	53%	60%	38%	57%	45%	53%	47%	48%
Tend to agree (3.0) 368	78	6	15	11	15	6	12	20	16	7	7	20
	36%	40%	26%	48%	38%	36%	27%	46%	30%	43%	23%	35%	34%
Tend to disagree (2.0) 74	10	1	3	1	2	2	2	5	3	6	1	4
· ·	7%	5%	5%	9%	3%		9%		7%	8%	21%	6%	7%
Strongly disagree (1.0) 50	12	_	2	2	2	1	2	1	2	1	1	2
Choingly dioagroo	5%	6%	-	6%	7%		5%	7%	1%		-	5%	
Don't know	34	8	2	1	1	1	_	_	3	_	_	1	5
26	3%	4%	11%	3%	4%	2%	-	-	5%		-	6%	
NET: Agree	876	164	18	26	26	37	21	23	57	34	23	16	49
NET. Agree	85%	84%	84%	82%	86%	89%	87%	84%	87%			83%	
NET: Disagree	124	22	4	-	2	4	2	4		-	7	2	
NET: Disagree		23	1	5	3	4	3	4	6	5	7	2	6
	12%	12%	5%	15%	10%	9%	13%	16%	9%	13%	24%	12%	10%
Base for stats	1000	186	20	31	29	41	24	27	63	39	30	18	54
Mean Score	3.33	3.27	3.58	3.13	3.31	3.41	3.42	3.15	3.49	3.27	3.26	3.32	3.39
Standard Deviation	.820	.842	.619	.838	.874	.781	.852	.873	.706	.821	.903	.858	.772
Standard Error	.026	.060	.150	.148	.165	.119	.178	.171	.088	.130	.168	.214	.102
Error variance	*	*	.02	.02	.03	.01	.03	.03	.01	.02	.03	.05	.01



Total Bretagne Politou Rame Politou Charlentes Aquitatine Midi-Pyrénées Limousin Rhône-Alpes Auvergne Languedoc-Roussillon Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur Corse Male	Female b 547 534 100% 259 49%
Significance Level: 95% Unweighted Total 1037	b 547 534 100% 259 49%
Unweighted Total 1037	534 100% 259 49%
Total 1034 53 29 53 48 12 103 22 44 81 5 500 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 10	534 100% 259 49%
Strongly agree (4.0) 507 30 14 26 20 6 47 10 25 49 3 248 49% 56% 48% 48% 41% 50% 46% 46% 57% 61% 62% 50% df df df df 36% 25% 43% 34% 43% 33% 36% 41% 34% 34% 29% 17% 36% 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	100% 259 49%
Strongly agree (4.0) 507 30 14 26 20 6 47 10 25 49 3 248 48% 56% 48% 48% 41% 50% 46% 46% 57% 61% 62% 50% df Tend to agree (3.0) 368 13 13 18 20 4 37 9 15 23 1 177 36% 25% 43% 34% 43% 33% 36% 41% 34% 34% 34% 29% 17% 36% Tend to disagree (2.0) 74 4 3 3 5 3 - 12 1 2 1 2 3 3 1 33 1 33 1 33 1 34 1 34	259 49% 191
Tend to agree (3.0) 368 25% 13 13 18 43% 20 4 37 9 15 23 1 177 36% Tend to disagree (2.0) 74 4 3 5 5 33 - 12% 17% 36% 9% 9% 9% 7% - 12% 4% 4% 4% 4% 4% 3% 21% 7% 12 Strongly disagree (1.0) 50 5 - 5 5 - 27	49% 191
Tend to agree (3.0) 368 13 13 18 20 4 37 9 15 23 1 177 36% Tend to disagree (2.0) 74 4 3 5 3 3 - 12 1 2 1 2 3 1 3% Strongly disagree (1.0) 50 5 - 5 - 5 - 2 4 1 1 1 5 5 - 27	191
Tend to agree (3.0) 368 13 13 18 20 4 37 9 15 23 1 177 36% Tend to disagree (2.0) 74 4 3 3 5 3 - 12 1 2 1 2 3 1 3% Strongly disagree (1.0) 50 5 - 5 - 5 - 2 4 1 1 1 5 5 - 27	
Tend to disagree (2.0) 74 4 3 5 3 3 - 12 1 2 1 2 3 1 33 7% Strongly disagree (1.0) 50 5 - 5 - 2 4 1 1 1 5 - 27	
Tend to disagree (2.0) 74 4 3 5 3 - 12 1 2 3 1 33 7% 8% 9% 9% 7% - 12% 4% 4% 4% 3% 21% 7% i	36%
7% 8% 9% 9% 7% - 12% 4% 4% 4% 3% 21% 7% i Strongly disagree (1.0) 50 5 - 5 - 2 4 1 1 1 5 - 27	2370
7% 8% 9% 9% 7% - 12% 4% 4% 4% 3% 21% 7% i Strongly disagree (1.0) 50 5 - 5 - 2 4 1 1 1 5 - 27	41
Strongly disagree (1.0) 50 5 - 5 - 2 4 1 1 5 - 27	8%
	0,0
	24
5% 9% - 9% - 16% 4% 4% 2% 6% - 5%	4%
d d	
Don't know 34 1 4 - 3 1 1 1 - 14	20
3% 2% 9% - 3% 4% 2% 1% - 3%	4%
ci	
NET: Agree 876 43 26 44 40 10 84 19 40 72 4 426	450
85% 81% 91% 82% 84% 84% 82% 87% 92% 89% 79% 85%	84%
NET: Disagree 124 9 3 9 3 2 16 2 3 8 1 60	64
12% 18% 9% 18% 7% 16% 15% 8% 6% 9% 21% 12%	12%
Base for stats 1000 52 29 53 43 12 100 21 43 79 5 485	515
Mean Score 3.33 3.30 3.38 3.21 3.37 3.17 3.28 3.35 3.50 3.46 3.41 3.33	3.33
Standard Deviation .820 .981 .664 .946 .633 1.111 .820 .780 .690 .830 .901 .830	.811
Standard Error .026 .132 .145 .128 .101 .454 .082 .166 .103 .092 .403 .038	.035
Error variance * .02 .02 .02 .01 .21 .01 .03 .01 .01 .16 *	



Total 18-24 25-34 35-34 45-56 55-64 65-4 18-34 35-54 55-64 18-34 35-54 55-64 18-34 35-54 55-64 18-34 35-54 55-64 18-34 35-54 55-64 18-34 35-54 18-34 35-54 18-34 35-54 18-34 35-54 18-34 35-54 18-34 35-54 18-34 35-54 18-34 35-54 18-34 35-54 18-34 35-54 35-54 18-34 35-54 35-54 18-34 35-54						Age)				Age	
Total			Total	18-24	25-34			55-64	65+	18-34		55+
Total 1034	Significance Level: 95%			а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
Strongly agree	Unweighted Total		1037	106	145	165	173	174	274	251	338	448
Strongly agree	Total		1034	108	159	165	178	165	259	267	343	
Tend to agree (3.0) 368			100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Tend to agree (3.0) 368 40 54 61 50 65 98 94 111 163 36% 37% 34% 37% 28% 39% 38% 35% 32% 39% 36% 36% 37% 36% 37% 34% 37% 28% 39% 38% 38% 35% 32% 39% 38% 36% 36% 36% 36% 37% 36% 37% 36% 37% 36% 37% 36% 37% 36% 37% 36% 37% 36% 37% 36% 37% 36% 37% 36% 37% 36% 37% 36% 37% 36% 37% 36% 37% 36% 37% 37% 37% 37% 37% 37% 37% 37% 37% 37	Strongly agree	(4.0)							106			
Tend to agree (3.0) 368			49%	52%	55%	47%	54%	52%	41%		50%	45%
Tend to disagree					Ť		Ť	Ť		С		
Tend to disagree (2.0) 74 2 9 111 16 111 25 11 27 36 8% 8% 8% 6% 18% 19% 19% 19% 19% 19% 19% 19% 19% 19% 19	Tend to agree	(3.0)										
Tend to disagree (2.0)			36%	37%	34%	37%	28%			35%	32%	39%
Strongly disagree												
Strongly disagree (1.0) 50 4 5 10 111 4 177 9 21 21 21	Tend to disagree	(2.0)										
Strongly disagree (1.0) 50 4 5 10 11 4 17 9 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21			1%	2%	0%	1%		1%		4%	8%	
Don't know		(4.0)	50		_	4.0					0.4	
Don't know	Strongly disagree	(1.0)										
NET: Agree 876 96 141 138 146 150 204 237 284 355 85% 89% 89% 84% 82% 91% 79% 89% 83% 84% 82% 91% 79% 89% 83% 84% 82% 91% 79% 89% 83% 84% 82% 91% 79% 89% 83% 84% 82% 91% 79% 89% 83% 84% 82% 91% 79% 89% 83% 84% 82% 91% 79% 89% 83% 84% 82% 91% 79% 89% 83% 84% 82% 91% 79% 89% 83% 84% 82% 91% 79% 89% 83% 84% 82% 82% 82% 91% 79% 89% 83% 84% 82% 82% 82% 82% 82% 82% 82% 82% 82% 82			0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	270		0,0	0,0	0,0
NET: Agree	Don't know		34	6			6	-	13	10		
NET: Agree			3%		2%			-		4%	3%	3%
NET: Disagree				е		е	е		е			
NET: Disagree	NET: Agree											
NET: Disagree 124 6 14 21 27 15 42 20 48 56 126 126 56 127 127 15 42 20 48 56 128 128 128 128 128 128 128 128 128 128			85%		89%	84%	82%		79%		83%	84%
12% 5% 9% 13% 15% 9% 16% 8% 14% 13% 18% 18% 14% 13% 18				•								
Base for stats 1000 102 156 160 172 165 246 257 332 411 Mean Score 3.33 3.46 3.43 3.29 3.34 3.40 3.19 3.44 3.32 3.28 If f f f c c c c c standard Deviation 8.820 .718 .755 .847 .889 .716 .878 .740 .868 .823 Standard Error .026 .072 .063 .067 .069 .054 .054 .048 .048 .048 .039	NET: Disagree											
Base for stats 1000 102 156 160 172 165 246 257 332 411 Mean Score 3.33 3.46 3.43 3.29 3.34 3.40 3.19 3.44 3.32 3.28 If f f f r c r c c c c standard Deviation 8.820 .718 .755 .847 .889 .716 .878 .740 .868 .823 Standard Error .026 .072 .063 .067 .069 .054 .054 .048 .048 .039			12%	3%	976			976		076		
Mean Score 3.33 3.46 3.43 3.29 3.34 3.40 3.19 3.44 3.32 3.28 f f f f f c c Standard Deviation .820 .718 .755 .847 .889 .716 .878 .740 .868 .823 Standard Error .026 .072 .063 .067 .069 .054 .054 .048 .048 .039	Dana faratata		4000	400	450			405		057		
Standard Deviation f f f c Standard Error .820 .718 .755 .847 .889 .716 .878 .740 .868 .823 Standard Error .026 .072 .063 .067 .069 .054 .054 .048 .048 .039												
Standard Error .026 .072 .063 .067 .069 .054 .054 .048 .048 .039	incar costs		0.00		f	0.20	0.0 .	f	00		0.02	0.20
CITOI Validate .U1												
	ETIOI VAIIAIICE	L		.01								



						Diet				
			Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal	NET: Reduced intake of animal				_		.,
Circlificance Lovely OFP/		Total	products	products	Flexetarian/reducetarian		Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian *f	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%			а	b		С	*d	*e	Ţ	*g
Unweighted Total		1037	901	126		65	18	24	11	8
Total		1034	900	124		63	18	24	11	7
		100%	100%	100%	1	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	507	439	65		36	7	13	6	3
-	(- /	49%	49%	52%		57%	38%	52%	56%	42%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	368	325	40		21	9	6	3	1
· ·	, ,	36%	36%	32%		32%	49%	27%	26%	13%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	74	66	8		3	1	1	-	2
		7%	7%	6%		5%	8%	4%	-	30%
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	50	42	7		1	-	3	2	1
		5%	5%	6%		1%	-	12%	18%	15%
Don't know		34	29	5		3	1	1	-	-
		3%	3%	4%		4%	6%	5%	-	-
NET: Agree		876	764	104		57	16	19	9	4
-		85%	85%	84%		90%	86%	78%	82%	55%
NET: Disagree		124	107	14		4	1	4	2	3
		12%	12%	12%		6%	8%	17%	18%	45%
Base for stats		1000	871	119		61	17	23	11	7
Mean Score		3.33	3.33	3.36		.52	3.32	3.24	3.20	2.81
Standard Deviation		.820	.812	.845		665	.634	1.047	1.170	1.227
Standard Error		.026	.028	.077		085	.154	.218	.353	.434
Error variance		*	*	.01	<u> </u>	.01	.02	.05	.12	.19



							France region	ns					
							Basse						Pays de
	Total	Île-de-France	Champagne-Ardenne	Picardie	Haute-Normandie	Centre	Normandie	Bourgogne	Nord-Pas-de-Calais	Lorraine	Alsace	Franche-Comté	la Loire
Unweighted Total	1037	205	19	33	29	44	23	26	68	40	29	17	62
Total	1034	194	22	31	30	42	24	27	66	39	30	19	59
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		100%	100%	
Strongly agree (4.0)	407	69	12	10	12	13	9	8	32	15	13	9	25
	39%	36%	53%	31%	38%	31%	38%	30%	48%	38%	42%	47%	42%
Tend to agree (3.0)	403	75	7	14	15	18	12	13	20	18	11	2	20
(4.7)	39%	38%	31%	46%	48%	44%	49%	48%	30%		35%	12%	
Tend to disagree (2.0)	104	23	-	2	1	6	1	3	7	4	4	5	9
	10%	12%	-	6%	3%	14%	5%	12%	11%	10%	13%	24%	15%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	57	13	_	2	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1
,	6%	7%	-	6%	4%	4%	9%	4%	2%	3%	3%	5%	1%
Don't know	62	14	3	4	2	3	-	2	7	1	2	2	5
	6%	7%	16%	12%	7%	6%	-	7%	10%	2%	6%	12%	8%
NET: Agree	810	144	18	24	26	31	21	21	51	33	23	11	45
	78%	74%	84%	77%	87%	75%	87%	77%	77%	85%	77%	59%	76%
NET: Disagree	161	36	-	4	2	8	3	4	8	5	5	6	9
-	16%	19%	-	11%	7%	18%	13%	16%	12%	12%	16%	29%	16%
Base for stats	972	180	18	28	28	39	24	25	59	38	29	17	54
Mean Score	3.19	3.11	3.63	3.16	3.30	3.09	3.16	3.11	3.38	3.23	3.24	3.15	3.27
Standard Deviation	.851	.894	.496	.823	.728	.825	.881	.790	.769	.747	.829	1.048	.787
Standard Error	.027	.065	.124	.153	.140	.129	.184	.161	.099	.120	.160	.270	.104
Error variance	*	*	.02	.02	.02	.02	.03	.03	.01	.01	.03	.07	.01



		1					France region	115				Gene	Jer
	Tota	Bretagne	Poitou Charentes	Aquitaine	Midi-Pyrénées	Limousin	Rhône-Alpes	Auvergne	Languedoc-Roussillon	Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur	Corse	Male	Female
Significance Level: 95%	100	a	*b	C	d	*e	f	*g	h	i i	*j	a	b
Unweighted Total	1037	56	21	55	43	6	104	23	46	83	5	487	547
											_		
Total	1034		29 100%	53 100%	48 100%	12 100%	103 100%	22 100%	44 100%	81 100%	5 100%	500 100%	534 100%
	100	76 100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (-	.0) 407	23	11	20	18	6	38	8	18	37	1	189	218
	39		38%	37%	39%	50%	37%		42%	46%	22%	38%	41%
Tend to agree (:	3.0) 403	18	17	20	20	4	38	11	19	30	1	214	189
	39	% 35%	57%	38%	43%	33%	37%	50%	43%	37%	22%	43%	35%
												b	
Tend to disagree (3	2.0) 104	5	1	5	3	-	12	2	4	5	3	51	53
	10	% 10%	5%	9%	7%	-	12%	8%	8%	6%	57%	10%	10%
Strongly disagree (.0) 57		-	5	2	2	11	-	-	7	-	27	30
	6	% 9%	-	9%	5%	16%	10%	-	-	8%	-	5%	6%
		h		h			h			h			
Don't know	62		-	4	3	-	4	1	3	2	-	19	44
	6	% 2%	-	7%	7%	-	4%	4%	7%	2%	-	4%	8%
													а
NET: Agree	810		28	40	39	10	77	19	37	67	2	403	407
	78	% 79%	95%	75%	81%	84%	74%	87%	85%	83%	43%	81%	76%
NET: Disagree	16	10	1	10	6	2	23	2	4	12	3	78	83
-	16	% 19%	5%	18%	12%	16%	22%	8%	8%	14%	57%	16%	16%
							h						
Base for stats	972	52	29	50	44	12	99	21	41	79	5	481	490
Mean Score	3.19		3.33	3.11	3.24	3.17	3.05	3.30	3.36	3.24	2.65	3.17	3.21
Standard Deviation	.85		.572	.933	.807	1.111	.973	.636	.650	.911	.906	.834	.869
Standard Error	.027		.125	.131	.128	.454	.097	.136	.099	.101	.405	.039	.039
Error variance		.02	.02	.02	.02	.21	.01	.02	.01	.01	.16	*	*



					Age)				Age	
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%			а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
Unweighted Total		1037	106	145	165	173	174	274	251	338	448
Total		1034	108	159	165	178	165	259	267	343	424
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	407	54	71	58	82	65	78	126	139	142
		39%	50%	45%	35%	46%	39%	30%	47%	41%	34%
			cf	f		cf	f		С	С	
Tend to agree	(3.0)	403	31	60	69	61	72	110	91	130	182
		39%	29%	38%	42%	34%	44%	42%	34%	38%	43%
					а		а	а			а
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	104	9	11	21	18	15	30	20	39	46
		10%	8%	7%	13%	10%	9%	12%	7%	11%	11%
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	57	4	9	7	9	7	21	13	16	29
		6%	4%	5%	4%	5%	4%	8%	5%	5%	7%
Don't know		62	9	9	11	9	6	20	18	19	25
		6%	8%	5%	6%	5%	3%	8%	7%	6%	6%
NET: Agree		810	86	131	126	143	137	187	217	269	324
		78%	79%	82%	77%	80%	83%	72%	81%	79%	77%
				t			Ť				
NET: Disagree		161	13	19	27	27	22	52	33	54	74
		16%	12%	12%	17%	15%	14%	20%	12%	16%	18%
								b			
Base for stats		972	99	150	154	170	160	239	249	324	399
Mean Score		3.19	3.37	3.29	3.15	3.27	3.22	3.02	3.32	3.21	3.10
Standard Deviation		054	cf	f	047	f	f	004	C	027	007
Standard Deviation Standard Error		.851 .027	.823 .084	.835 .071	.817 .066	.853 .067	.800 .062	.901 .057	.830 .054	.837 .047	.867 .042
Error variance		.027	.084	.071	.000	.067	.062	.057	.054	.047	.042
LITOI VAIIAIICE			.01	.01							



					Di	et			
		Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%		Total	a	b	C C		*e	vegetariari *f	*g
Unweighted Total		1037	901	126	65	18	24	11	8
Total		1034	900	124	63	18	24	11	7
Total		100%	100%	100%	100		100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	407	340	61	31	7	15	6	2
	, ,	39%	38%	50% a	49'	% 38%	64%	56%	29%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	403	365	37	24	6	4	1	2
	, ,	39%	41% b	30%	37		17%	9%	26%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	104	93	10	3		3	3	1
		10%	10%	8%	51	-	12%	27%	14%
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	57	51	5	1		-	-	2
		6%	6%	4%	15	% 11%	-	-	32%
Don't know		62	51	11	5	3	2	1	-
		6%	6%	9%	89	% 18%	8%	9%	-
NET: Agree		810	705	98	55	13	20	7	4
· ·		78%	78%	79%	86			64%	55%
NET: Disagree		161	144	15	4	2	3	3	3
		16%	16% c	12%	6	% 11%	12%	27%	45%
Base for stats		972	850	113	59	15	22	10	7
Mean Score		3.19	3.17	3.37	3.45	3.20	3.56	3.31	2.52
				а	а				
Standard Deviation		.851	.851	.828	.670	1.008	.728	.948	1.304
Standard Error		.027	.029	.077	.086	.260	.155	.300	.461
Error variance		*	*	.01	.01	.07	.02	.09	.21



							France region	ns					
							Basse						Pays de
	Total	Île-de-France	Champagne-Ardenne	Picardie	Haute-Normandie	Centre	Normandie	Bourgogne	Nord-Pas-de-Calais	Lorraine	Alsace	Franche-Comté	la Loire
Unweighted Total	1037	205	19	33	29	44	23	26	68	40	29	17	62
Total	1034	194	22	31	30	42	24	27	66	39	30	19	59
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Strongly agree (4.0)	576	106	14	14	18	20	15	14	41	22	20	13	33
	56%	55%	63%	46%	60%	48%	64%	54%	62%	58%	67%	65%	57%
Tend to agree (3.0)	375	74	6	15	10	19	6	11	19	14	10	4	22
	36%	38%	26%	48%	34%	45%	27%	43%	29%	37%	33%	23%	37%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	38	6	-	-	-	1	1	-	3	1	-	-	1
	4%	3%	-	-	-	2%	5%	-	5%	3%	-	-	2%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	25	7	_	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	-	1	1
, , ,	2%	4%	-	3%	4%	4%	5%	4%	3%	2%	-	5%	2%
Don't know	19	2	2	1	1	-	_	-	1	-	-	1	2
	2%	1%	11%	3%	3%	-	-	-	2%	-	-	6%	
NET: Agree	952	180	20	30	28	39	22	26	60	37	30	17	55
· ·	92%	93%	89%	94%	93%	93%	91%	96%	91%	95%	100%	89%	
NET: Disagree	63	12	-	1	1	3	2	1	5	2	-	1	2
-	6%	6%	-	3%	4%	7%	9%	4%	8%	5%	-	5%	3%
Base for stats	1015	193	20	30	29	42	24	27	65	39	30	18	57
Mean Score	3.48	3.45	3.70	3.42	3.54	3.37	3.50	3.47	3.52	3.50	3.67	3.58	3.54
Standard Deviation	.687	.721	.469	.657	.703	.743	.807	.691	.731	.679	.478	.791	.621
Standard Error	.022	.051	.114	.116	.133	.112	.168	.135	.089	.107	.089	.198	.080
Error variance	*	*	.01	.01	.02	.01	.03	.02	.01	.01	.01	.04	.01



	[France region	ons		-		Gen	der
		Total	Bretagne	Poitou Charentes	Aquitaine	Midi-Pyrénées	Limousin	Rhône-Alpes	Auvergne	Languedoc-Roussillon	Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur	Corse	Male	Female
Significance Level: 95%			а	*b	С	d	*e	f	*g	h	i	*j	а	b
Unweighted Total		1037	56	21	55	43	6	104	23	46	83	5	487	547
Total		1034	53	29	53	48	12	103	22	44	81	5	500	534
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	576	30	15	30	25	8	46	11	26	50	2	276	300
		56%	58%	52%	57%	53%	67%	45%	50%	59%	62% f	40%	55%	56%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	375	17	11	16	18	2	47	10	17	23	2	190	186
		36%	32%	38%	31%	38%	16%	46% i	46%	39%	29%	39%	38%	35%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	38	4	3	4	2	2	6	1	-	3	1	17	21
		4%	7%	10%	7%	5%	16%	6%	4%	-	4%	21%	3%	4%
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	25	1	-	2	-	-	1	-	1	2	-	10	14
		2%	2%	-	3%	-	-	1%	-	2%	3%	-	2%	3%
Don't know		19	1	-	1	2	-	3	-	-	2	-	6	13
		2%	2%	-	2%	5%	-	3%	-	-	2%	-	1%	2%
NET: Agree		952	47	26	47	43	10	93	21	43	74	4	466	486
		92%	89%	90%	88%	91%	84%	90%	96%	98%	91%	79%	93%	91%
NET: Disagree		63	5	3	6	2	2	7	1	1	5	1	28	35
		6%	9%	10%	11%	5%	16%	7%	4%	2%	6%	21%	6%	7%
Base for stats		1015	52	29	52	45	12	100	22	44	79	5	494	521
Mean Score		3.48	3.47	3.43	3.43	3.50	3.51	3.38	3.46	3.55	3.55	3.20	3.48	3.48
Standard Deviation		.687	.725	.674	.785	.597	.795	.652	.591	.620	.700	.841	.669	.704
Standard Error		.022	.098	.147	.107	.093	.325	.065	.123	.091	.078	.376 .14	.030	.030
Error variance	l		.01	.02	.01	.01	.11		.02	.01	.01	.14		



				Age	1				Age	
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
Unweighted Total	1037	106	145	165	173	174	274	251	338	448
Total	1034 100%	108 100%	159 100%	165 100%	178 100%	165 100%	259 100%	267 100%	343 100%	424 100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	576 56%	68 63% f	99 62% f	91 55%	111 62% ef	86 52%	122 47%	166 62% c	202 59% c	208 49%
Tend to agree (3.0)	375 36%	30 27%	43 27%	58 35%	58 32%	73 44% abd	115 44% abd	72 27%	115 34%	188 44% ab
Tend to disagree (2.0)	38 4%	6 6% e	8 5% e	6 4%	4 2%	2 1%	12 5% e	14 5%	10 3%	14 3%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	2%	1 1%	7 4%	6 4%	3 2%	4 2%	5 2%	8 3%	9 3%	8 2%
Don't know	19 2%	3 3%	3 2%	4 2%	2 1%	1 1%	6 2%	7 3%	6 2%	6 2%
NET: Agree	952 92%	97 90%	141 89%	149 90%	169 95% b	159 96% abc	237 91%	239 89%	318 93%	395 93%
NET: Disagree	63 6%	7 7%	14 9% e	12 7%	7 4%	5 3%	17 6%	22 8%	19 6%	22 5%
Base for stats Mean Score	1015 3.48	105 3.57 f	156 3.50	161 3.46	176 3.57 f	164 3.46	253 3.40	260 3.53	337 3.52	417 3.42
Standard Deviation Standard Error Error variance	.687 .022 *	.653 .064 *	.780 .065 *	.737 .058 *	.631 .048 *	.639 .049 *	.667 .041 *	.731 .047 *	.685 .038 *	.656 .031 *



				Di	et			
	Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	С		*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total	1037	901	126	65	18	24	11	8
Total	1034 100%	900 100%	124 100%	63 100		24 100%	11 100%	7 100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	576 56%	499 55%	73 59%	40 63		15 60%	7 64%	2 29%
Tend to agree (3.0)	375 36%	342 38% b	30 24%	18 29		1 4%	4 36%	3 39%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	38 4%	27 3%	11 9% a	1 2		5 19%	-	1 16%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	25 2%	18 2%	6 5% a	3 5		2 8%	-	1 15%
Don't know	19 2%	15 2%	4 3%	1 2		2 9%	-	-
NET: Agree	952 92%	840 93% b	103 83%	58 92		16 64%	11 100%	5 68%
NET: Disagree	63 6%	45 5%	17 14% a	4 6		7 27%		2 32%
Base for stats Mean Score Standard Deviation Standard Error	1015 3.48 .687 .022	885 3.49 .657 .022	120 3.41 .860 .078	62 3.53 .759 .095	3.26 .855 .207	22 3.27 1.101 .235	11 3.64 .503 .152	7 2.82 1.097 .388
Error variance	*	*	.01	.01	.04	.06	.02	.15



								France region	ns					
		-	î	0	D: "			Basse					- · · · · ·	Pays de
		Total	Île-de-France	Champagne-Ardenne	Picardie	Haute-Normandie	Centre	Normandie	Bourgogne	Nord-Pas-de-Calais	Lorraine	Alsace	Franche-Comté	la Loire
Unweighted Total		1037	205	19	33	29	44	23	26	68	40	29	17	62
Total		1034	194	22	31	30	42	24	27	66	39	30	19	59
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	107	25	1	2	2	4	2	2	10	3	1	2	8
		10%	13%	5%	6%	7%	9%	9%	8%	15%	8%	4%	12%	13%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	238	44	3	9	7	14	3	6	17	6	11	2	12
		23%	22%	16%	30%	23%	32%	13%	24%	26%	16%	37%	12%	20%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	363	78	6	12	7	15	10	8	18	15	10	6	25
		35%	40%	26%	39%	24%	37%	40%	31%	27%	39%	34%	29%	42%
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	237	35	6	5	10	8	6	6	14	11	8	8	11
		23%	18%	26%	16%	32%	20%	26%	23%	21%	27%	25%	41%	19%
Don't know		90	13	6	3	4	1	3	4	8	4	-	1	4
		9%	7%	27%	10%	14%	2%	13%	14%	12%	10%	-	6%	6%
NET: Agree		345	69	5	11	9	17	5	9	27	9	13	5	19
		33%	35%	21%	36%	30%	41%	21%	32%	41%	23%	41%	24%	33%
NET: Disagree		600	113	11	17	17	24	16	15	31	26	18	14	36
		58%	58%	52%	55%	56%	57%	65%	54%	47%	66%	59%	71%	61%
Base for stats		944	182	16	28	26	41	21	23	58	35	30	18	55
Mean Score		2.23	2.32	2.00	2.28	2.06	2.31	2.05	2.19	2.40	2.04	2.20	1.94	2.29
Standard Deviation		.951	.939	.960	.837	1.007	.909	.937	.953	1.036	.922	.874	1.062	.949
Standard Error		.031	.068	.257	.153	.201	.139	.209	.203	.134	.154	.162	.265	.125
Error variance		*	*	.07	.02	.04	.02	.04	.04	.02	.02	.03	.07	.02



		France regions										Gen	der
	Total	Bretagne	Poitou Charentes	Aquitaine	Midi-Pvrénées	Limousin	Rhône-Alpes	Auvergne	Languedoc-Roussillon	Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur	Corse	Male	Female
Significance Level: 95%	Total	a	*b	C	d	*e	f f	*g	h	i	*j	a	b
Haveighted Total	1037	56	21		42	0	104	_	46	02		487	547
Unweighted Total	1037	56	21	55	43	6	104	23	40	83	5	467	547
Total	1034	53	29	53	48	12	103	22	44	81	5	500	534
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	107	7	3	7	1	-	13	2	4	9	-	51	56
	10%	13%	10%	13%	2%	-	13%	8%	9%	11%	-	10%	10%
Tend to agree (3.0)	238	9	11	12	10	2	22	8	10	16	3	117	120
	23%	18%	38%	22%	21%	16%	21%	34%	24%	19%	61%	23%	23%
Tend to disagree (2.0)		20	6	21	18	2	36	4	13	31	2	183	180
	35%	37%	19%	40%	38%	16%	35%	18%	31%	38%	39%	37%	34%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	237	12	7	12	14	6	26	6	10	17	-	113	124
	23%	23%	24%	22%	29%	50%	25%	27%	22%	22%	-	23%	23%
Don't know	90	5	3	2	5	2	6	3	7	8	-	35	55
	9%	9%	10%	3%	10%	17%	6%	12%	15%	10%	-	7%	10%
									С				
NET: Agree	345	16	14	18	11	2	35	9	14	24	3	169	176
	33%	30%	48%	35%	23%	16%	34%	43%	32%	30%	61%	34%	33%
NET: Disagree	600	32	12	33	32	8	62	10	23	48	2	296	303
	58%	61%	42%	62%	67%	67%	60%	45%	53%	60%	39%	59%	57%
Base for stats	944	48	26	52	43	10	97	19	37	72	5	465	479
Mean Score	2.23	2.21	2.38	2.26	1.97	1.60	2.23	2.28	2.22	2.22	2.61	2.23	2.23
Standard Deviation	.951	.988	1.005	.961	.817	.841	.996	1.026	.961	.950	.543	.942	.961
Standard Error	.031	.138	.231	.132	.131	.376	.101	.229	.154	.110	.243	.044	.043
Error variance	*	.02	.05	.02	.02	.14	.01	.05	.02	.01	.06	*	*



				Age)	_			Age	
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
Unweighted Total	1037	106	145	165	173	174	274	251	338	448
Total	1034	108	159	165	178	165	259	267	343	424
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	107	9	26	13	17	19	23	35	30	42
	10%	9%	16% cf	8%	9%	12%	9%	13%	9%	10%
Tend to agree (3.0)	238	16	36	47	36	34	68	53	83	102
	23%	15%	23%	28% a	20%	21%	26% a	20%	24%	24%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	363	41	54	61	53	58	95	95	114	153
	35%	38%	34%	37%	30%	35%	37%	36%	33%	36%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	237	26	28	35	58	39	51	54	92	90
	23%	24%	18%	21%	32% bcf	24%	20%	20%	27%	21%
Don't know	90	15	15	9	15	14	23	29	24	36
	9%	14% c	9%	5%	8%	8%	9%	11%	7%	9%
NET: Agree	345	26	62	60	53	54	91	88	112	144
	33%	24%	39% a	36% a	30%	32%	35% a	33%	33%	34%
NET: Disagree	600 58%	67 63%	82 52%	96 58%	111 62%	98 59%	145 56%	150 56%	207 60%	243 57%
Base for stats Mean Score	944 2.23	93 2.10	144 2.41	156 2.24	163 2.07	151 2.22	236 2.27	238 2.29	319 2.16	388 2.25
			ad				d			
Standard Deviation	.951	.929	.999	.893	.994	.977	.905	.982	.948	.933
Standard Error	.031	.097	.087	.072	.079	.078	.057	.066	.053	.046
Error variance	*	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01			<u> </u>	



					Die	t			
		Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%			а	b	С	•	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total		1037	901	126	65	18	24	11	8
Total		1034	900	124	63	18	24	11	7
		100%	100%	100%	1009	6 100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	107	90	15	5	4	5	-	_
3, 3	, ,	10%	10%	12%	9%	6 23%		-	-
Tend to agree	(3.0)	238	213	21	10	3	5	2	1
		23%	24%	17%	15%	6 18%	21%	18%	13%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	363	323	39	20	6	4	6	3
		35%	36%	31%	329	6 33%	16%	53%	42%
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	237	197	37	21	3	7	3	3
		23%	22%	30%	329	6 16%	28%	29%	45%
Don't know		90	77	13	8	2	3	-	-
		9%	9%	10%	129	6 12%	13%	-	-
NET: Agree		345	304	36	15	7	11	2	1
		33%	34%	29%	24%	6 40%	43%	18%	13%
NET: Disagree		600	520	75	41	9	11	9	6
		58%	58%	61%	64%	6 48%	44%	82%	87%
Base for stats		944	823	111	56	16	21	11	7
Mean Score		2.23	2.24	2.13	2.00	2.53	2.43	1.89	1.67
Standard Deviation		.951	.938	1.025	.973	1.088	1.215	.709	.742
Standard Error		.031	.033	.096	.129	.272	.265	.214	.262
Error variance		*	*	.01	.02	.07	.07	.05	.07



							France region	ns					
							Basse						Pays de
	Total	Île-de-France	Champagne-Ardenne	Picardie	Haute-Normandie	Centre	Normandie	Bourgogne	Nord-Pas-de-Calais	Lorraine	Alsace	Franche-Comté	la Loire
Unweighted Total	1037	205	19	33	29	44	23	26	68	40	29	17	62
Total	1034	194	22	31	30	42	24	27	66	39	30	19	59
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Strongly agree (4.0	454	77	13	11	12	17	12	12	35	16	13	7	29
	44%	40%	58%	34%	38%	41%	48%	43%	53%	42%	42%	36%	48%
Tend to agree (3.0	420	88	8	15	14	19	11	9	22	16	13	7	22
	41%	45%	37%	49%	47%	45%	44%	33%	34%	43%	41%	35%	37%
Tend to disagree (2.0	77	17	-	3	2	4	-	3	5	3	3	2	3
,	7%	9%	-	9%	7%	9%	-	12%	8%	8%	11%	12%	5%
Strongly disagree (1.0	35	7	-	1	-	2	2	2	1	-	-	2	-
	3%	3%	-	3%	-	4%	9%	7%	2%	-	-	11%	-
Don't know	49	6	1	2	2	-	-	1	3	3	2	1	6
	5%	3%	5%	6%	7%	-	-	4%	5%	8%	6%	6%	9%
NET: Agree	873	165	21	26	26	36	22	21	57	33	25	14	51
	84%	85%	95%	82%	86%	87%	91%	77%	86%	85%	83%	71%	86%
NET: Disagree	112	24	-	4	2	6	2	5	6	3	3	4	3
	11%	12%	-	12%	7%	13%	9%	20%	9%	8%	11%	23%	5%
Base for stats	985	189	21	30	28	42	24	26	63	36	29	18	53
Mean Score	3.31	3.25	3.61	3.20	3.34	3.24	3.30	3.17	3.44	3.38	3.33	3.01	3.48
Standard Deviation	.765	.764	.499	.746	.625	.796	.871	.941	.717	.639	.683	1.021	.603
Standard Error	.024	.054	.118	.134	.120	.120	.182	.188	.089	.105	.132	.255	.081
Error variance	*	*	.01	.02	.01	.01	.03	.04	.01	.01	.02	.07	.01



								France regio	ns				Gen	der
		Total	Bretagne	Poitou Charentes	Aquitaine	Midi-Pyrénées	Limousin	Rhône-Alpes	Auvergne	Languedoc-Roussillon	Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur	Corse	Male	Female
Significance Level: 95%			а	*b	С	d	*e	f	*g	h	i	*j	а	b
Unweighted Total		1037	56	21	55	43	6	104	23	46	83	5	487	547
Total		1034	53	29	53	48	12	103	22	44	81	5	500	534
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	454	24	14	22	21	6	44	10	21	39	1	199	255
		44%	45%	48%	42%	43%	50%	43%	45%	48%	49%	22%	40%	48% a
Tend to agree	(3.0)	420	18	10	23	19	2	39	10	21	31	3	208	212
		41%	35%	33%	42%	40%	17%	37%	47%	48%	38%	58%	42%	40%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	77	6	3	6	3	2	6	1	1	3	1	48	29
		7%	11%	10%	11%	7%	16%	6%	4%	2%	3%	21%	10% b	5%
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	35	4	1	2	1	-	5	-	-	5	-	20	15
		3%	8%	5%	3%	2%	-	5%	-	-	6%	-	4%	3%
Don't know		49	1	1	1	3	2	9	1	1	3	-	25	24
		5%	2%	5%	2%	7%	16%	9%	4%	2%	3%	-	5%	5%
NET: Agree		873	42	24	45	40	8	83	20	42	70	4	407	466
		84%	80%	81%	84%	83%	67%	80%	92%	96% af	87%	79%	81%	87% a
NET: Disagree		112	10	4	8	4	2	11	1	1	8	1	68	44
NET. Disagree		11%	18%	14%	14%	9%	2 16%	11%		2%	10%	21%	14%	8%
			h		h								b	
Base for stats		985	52	28	52	44	10	94	21	43	78	5	475	510
Mean Score		3.31	3.19	3.30	3.24	3.34	3.40	3.30	3.42	3.47	3.34	3.01	3.23	3.38 a
Standard Deviation		.765	.927	.858	.791	.736	.840	.816	.591	.548	.829	.725	.796	.727
Standard Error		.024	.125	.192	.108	.116	.376	.084	.126	.082	.093	.324	.037	.032
Error variance		*	.02	.04	.01	.01	.14	.01	.02	.01	.01	.10	*	*



					Age)				Age	
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%			а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
Unweighted Total		1037	106	145	165	173	174	274	251	338	448
Total		1034 100%	108 100%	159 100%	165 100%	178 100%	165 100%	259 100%	267 100%	343 100%	424 100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	454 44%	50 46% f	85 54% cef	68 41%	97 54% cef	69 42% f	85 33%	135 51% c	165 48% c	154 36%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	420 41%	44 40%	57 36%	70 42%	59 33%	77 46% d	113 44% d	101 38%	129 38%	190 45% b
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	77 7%	9 9%	5 3%	20 12% bd	10 6%	10 6%	21 8%	15 6%	31 9%	31 7%
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	35 3%	1 1%	7 5%	4 2%	5 3%	7 4%	11 4%	8 3%	9 3%	18 4%
Don't know		49 5%	4 4%	3 2%	3 2%	7 4%	2 1%	29 11% abcde	8 3%	10 3%	31 7% ab
NET: Agree		873 84%	93 87% f	143 90% f	138 84%	156 87% f	146 88% f	198 76%	236 88% c	293 85%	344 81%
NET: Disagree		112 11%	10 10%	13 8%	24 15%	16 9%	17 10%	32 12%	23 9%	40 12%	49 12%
Base for stats Mean Score		985 3.31	104 3.37 f	156 3.42 f	162 3.25	171 3.44 cef	163 3.28	230 3.18	259 3.40 c	333 3.35 c	393 3.22
Standard Deviation Standard Error Error variance		.765 .024 *	.689 .068 *	.778 .065 *	.763 .060 *	.744 .058 *	.760 .058 *	.787 .050 *	.742 .048 *	.759 .042 *	.777 .038 *



						Diet				
		Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian		Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%			а	b		С	*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total		1037	901	126		65	18	24	11	8
Total		1034 100%	900 100%	124 100%		63 100%	18 100%	24 100%	11 100%	7 100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	454 44%	391 43%	59 47%		33 52%	5 27%	12 50%	6 56%	3 42%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	420 41%	372 41%	44 35%		25 39%	9 51%	6 25%	2 17%	2 29%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	77 7%	65 7%	11 9%		2 3%	3 16%	2 8%	3 27%	1 14%
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	35 3%	28 3%	6 5%		3 5%	-	2 8%	- -	1 15%
Don't know		49 5%	45 5%	4 3%		1 2%	1 6%	2 9%	- -	-
NET: Agree		873 84%	763 85%	103 83%		57 90%	14 78%	18 75%	8 73%	5 71%
NET: Disagree		112 11%	93 10%	17 14%		5 8%	3 16%	4 16%	3 27%	2 29%
Base for stats Mean Score Standard Deviation		985 3.31 .765	856 3.31 .752	120 3.30 .836		62 3.40 .774	17 3.11 .688	22 3.29 .974	11 3.29 .906	7 2.98 1.165
Standard Error Error variance		.024	.026	.076 .01		.097 .01	.167 .03	.208 .04	.273 .07	.412 .17



Q2_7. I would know how to behave if I were to encounter a wolf: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? BASE: All respondents

								France region	ıs					
			_					Basse						Pays de
		Total	Île-de-France	Champagne-Ardenne	Picardie	Haute-Normandie	Centre	Normandie	Bourgogne	Nord-Pas-de-Calais	Lorraine	Alsace	Franche-Comté	la Loire
Unweighted Total		1037	205	19	33	29	44	23	26	68	40	29	17	62
Total		1034	194	22	31	30	42	24	27	66	39	30	19	59
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Strongly agree (4.0)	100	21	1	3	3	4	5	2	10	2	2	2	4
(,	10%	11%	5%	9%	10%	9%	21%	8%	16%		7%	12%	6%
Tend to agree	3.0)	195	37	3	6	6	8	4	2	14	12	3	3	9
,	´	19%	19%	16%	18%	18%	18%	18%	7%	21%	31%	11%	18%	15%
Tend to disagree (3	2.0)	254	47	6	4	6	10	4	9	11	9	11	4	15
,	,	25%	24%	26%	13%	21%	23%	17%	35%	16%		36%	23%	
Strongly disagree (1.0)	355	68	6	16	10	14	8	11	23	9	10	6	23
(,	34%	35%	26%	50%	34%	34%	35%	42%	35%		34%	30%	
Don't know		131	21	6	3	5	7	2	2	8	6	4	3	8
		13%	11%	27%	9%	17%	16%	9%	8%	12%	15%	13%	18%	
NET: Agree		295	58	5	9	9	11	10	4	24	14	5	6	12
Ğ		29%	30%	21%	28%	28%	27%	40%	15%	37%	36%	18%	30%	
NET: Disagree		608	115	11	20	17	24	12	21	34	19	21	10	38
•		59%	59%	52%	63%	55%	57%	51%	77%	52%	49%	69%	53%	65%
Base for stats		903	173	16	29	25	35	22	25	58	33	27	16	51
Mean Score		2.04	2.06	2.00	1.85	2.05	2.03	2.29	1.80	2.19	2.20	1.90	2.15	1.86
Standard Deviation		1.025	1.048	.961	1.086	1.078	1.035	1.229	.938	1.154	.945	.930	1.103	.955
Standard Error		.034	.078	.257	.198	.220	.170	.268	.191	.149	.162	.186	.295	.131
Error variance	L	*	.01	.07	.04	.05	.03	.07	.04	.02	.03	.03	.09	.02



Q2_7. I would know how to behave if I were to encounter a wolf: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? BASE: All respondents

								France regio	ns				Gen	der
		Total	Bretagne	Poitou Charentes	Aquitaine	Midi-Pyrénées	Limousin	Rhône-Alpes	Auvergne	Languedoc-Roussillon	Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur	Corse	Male	Female
Significance Level: 95%			а	*b	С	d	*e	f	*g	h	i	*j	а	b
Unweighted Total		1037	56	21	55	43	6	104	23	46	83	5	487	547
Total		1034	53	29	53	48	12	103	22	44	81	5	500	534
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		100%		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	100	6	-	3	1	-	13	2	5	11	_	58	42
		10%	11%	-	6%	3%	-	12%	9%	11%	13%	-	12% b	8%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	195	7	8	12	7	8	19	6	7	12	2	116	78
- 		19%	14%	29%	22%	14%	67%	19%	26%	15%	14%	43%	23% b	15%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	254	12	6	15	11	2	34	11	11	15	1	122	132
		25%	23%	19%	27%	24%	16%	33% i	48%	26%	19%	17%	24%	25%
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	355	20	14	18	22	-	30	3	14	27	1	136	219
		34%	38%	47%	34%	45%	-	29%	13%	33%	34%	19%	27%	41% a
Don't know		131	7	1	6	7	2	8	1	7	15	1	67	63
		13%	14%	5%	11%	14%	17%	7%	4%	15%	19% f	21%	13%	12%
NET: Agree		295	13	8	15	8	8	32	8	11	22	2	174	121
		29%	25%	29%	27%	17%	67%	31%	35%	26%	28%	43%	35% b	23%
NET: Disagree		608	32	19	33	33	2	63	13	26	43	2	258	350
		59%	61%	67%	62%	69%	16%	61%	61%	59%	53%	36%	52%	66% a
Base for stats		903	45	28	48	41	10	95	21	37	65	4	432	471
Mean Score		2.04	1.98	1.80	1.98	1.70	2.80	2.15 d	2.33	2.05	2.09	2.31	2.22 b	1.88
Standard Deviation		1.025	1.071	.887	.949	.861	.420	1.015	.841	1.048	1.129	.950	1.035	.989
Standard Error		.034	.155	.198	.136	.141	.188	.104	.179	.168	.138	.475	.050	.045
Error variance			.02	.04	.02	.02	.04	.01	.03	.03	.02	.23		



Q2_7. I would know how to behave if I were to encounter a wolf: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? BASE: All respondents

		Age Total 18-24 25-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65-								Age	
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%			а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
Unweighted Total		1037	106	145	165	173	174	274	251	338	448
Total		1034	108	159	165	178	165	259	267	343	424
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	100	15	20	16	16	17	17	34	32	33
		10%	14%	12%	10%	9%	10%	6%	13%	9%	8%
			f	Ţ					С		
Tend to agree	(3.0)	195	22	30	30	36	36	42	52	65	78
		19%	20%	19%	18%	20%	22%	16%	19%	19%	18%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	254	23	39	40	49	37	66	62	89	103
		25%	21%	25%	24%	27%	23%	25%	23%	26%	24%
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	355	34	55	65	53	55	92	89	118	148
		34%	31%	35%	39%	30%	33%	36%	33%	34%	35%
Don't know		131	15	15	14	25	20	43	29	39	62
		13%	14%	9%	8%	14%	12%	16% bc	11%	11%	15%
NET: Agree		295	36	50	46	52	53	58	86	98	111
		29%	34%	31%	28%	29%	32%	22%	32%	28%	26%
			f				Ť				
NET: Disagree		608	57	95	105	101	92	158	152	206	250
		59%	53%	60%	64%	57%	56%	61%	57%	60%	59%
Base for stats		903	93	144	151	153	145	216	238	304	361
Mean Score		2.04	2.18 f	2.10	1.98	2.10	2.10	1.92	2.13	2.04	1.99
Standard Deviation		1.025	1.098	1.065	1.028	1.000	1.043	.961	1.077	1.014	.998
Standard Error		.034	.114	.093	.084	.082	.084	.064	.072	.059	.051
Error variance		*	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	*	.01	*	*



Q2_7. I would know how to behave if I were to encounter a wolf: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? BASE: All respondents

				Die	nt			
	Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	C		*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total	1037	901	126	65	18	24	11	8
Total	1034 100%	900 100%	124 100%	63 100%	18 6 100%	24 100%	11 100%	7 100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	100 10%	86 10%	13 11%	9 159	- 6 -	2 8%	1 10%	1 15%
Tend to agree (3.0)	195 19%	161 18%	32 26% a	11 179	2 6 10%	13 56%	3 26%	3 43%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	254 25%	226 25%	26 21%	16 25%	4 6 22%	2 8%	2 19%	2 30%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	355 34%	319 35%	33 27%	19 29%	8 6 45%	5 19%	1 9%	1 13%
Don't know	131 13%	109 12%	19 15%	9 149	4 6 23%	2 9%	4 36%	-
NET: Agree	295 29%	247 27%	46 37% a	20 329	2 6 10%	15 64%	4 36%	4 57%
NET: Disagree	608 59%	544 60% b	59 48%	34 549	12 67%	7 27%	3 28%	3 43%
Base for stats Mean Score	903 2.04	791 2.02	105 2.24 a	54 2.20	14 1.55	22 2.58	7 2.57	7 2.59
Standard Deviation Standard Error Error variance	1.025 .034 *	1.021 .036 *	1.042 .101 .01	1.096 .147 .02	.739 .198 .04	.940 .200 .04	1.000 .378 .14	.958 .339 .11



		France regions											
		_					Basse						Pays de
	Total	Île-de-France	Champagne-Ardenne	Picardie	Haute-Normandie	Centre	Normandie	Bourgogne	Nord-Pas-de-Calais	Lorraine	Alsace	Franche-Comté	la Loire
Unweighted Total	1037	205	19	33	29	44	23	26	68	40	29	17	62
Total	1034	194	22	31	30	42	24	27	66	39	30	19	59
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.)) 243	46	7	8	7	7	6	5	20	9	8	5	13
(24%	24%	32%	25%	24%		25%	19%	30%		28%	24%	
Tend to agree (3.	316	57	7	9	8	14	10	14	19	15	12	2	17
· ·	31%	29%	31%	27%	25%	34%	40%	54%	29%	39%	39%	12%	29%
Tend to disagree (2.) 220	43	2	6	8	9	4	3	10	9	4	7	11
, ,	21%	22%	10%	18%	26%	21%	18%	12%	15%	23%	13%	35%	19%
Strongly disagree (1.) 160	34	1	6	5	12	2	3	12	2	3	2	10
(15%	18%	5%	20%	17%		9%	11%	18%		10%	12%	
Don't know	96	15	5	3	2	-	2	1	5	4	3	3	8
	9%	8%	21%	9%	7%	-	8%	4%	7%	10%	10%	18%	
NET: Agree	559	102	14	16	15	21	16	20	39	24	20	7	30
Ç	54%	53%	63%	52%	49%		65%	73%	60%	62%	66%	36%	
NET: Disagree	380	77	3	12	13	21	6	6	22	11	7	9	21
,	37%	40%	16%	38%	44%	50%	27%	23%	33%	28%	24%	47%	35%
Base for stats	938	180	17	29	28	42	22	26	62	35	27	16	51
Mean Score	2.68	2.63	3.14	2.63	2.60	2.37	2.89	2.84	2.77	2.88	2.93	2.58	2.65
Standard Deviation	1.037	1.063	.911	1.134	1.083	1.074	.935	.893	1.113	.869	.974	1.083	1.059
Standard Error	.034	.077	.235	.207	.208	.162	.204	.179	.140	.145	.191	.290	.146
Error variance	*	.01	.06	.04	.04	.03	.04	.03	.02	.02	.04	.08	.02



								France regio	ns				Gene	der
		Total	Bretagne	Poitou Charentes	Aquitaine	Midi-Pyrénées	Limousin	Rhône-Alpes	Auvergne	Languedoc-Roussillon	Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur	Corse	Male	Female
Significance Level: 95%	1	10141	a	*b	С	d	*e	f	*g	h	i	*j	а	b
Unweighted Total		1037	56	21	55	43	6	104	23	46	83	5	487	547
Total		1034	53	29	53	48	12	103	22	44	81	5	500	534
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	243	10	4	12	9	2	29	6	10	20	1	124	119
		24%	19%	14%	23%	19%	17%	28%	28%	22%	25%	22%	25%	22%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	316	14	14	19	15	4	22	7	15	23	-	148	167
		31%	27%	48%	35%	31%	33%	21%	31%	35%	28%	-	30%	31%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	220	18	4	13	17	-	21	5	8	13	3	114	106
·	, ,	21%	35% fi	15%	24%	36% fi	-	20%	25%	19%	17%	60%	23%	20%
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	160 15%	7 13%	3 10%	8 16%	2 5%	2 16%	22 21%	3 12%	4 8%	15 19%	1 19%	74 15%	86 16%
		13%	13%	1076	10%	376	10%	d d	1276	076	d	19%	15%	10%
Don't know		96	4	4	2	5	4	10	1	7	9	-	40	55
		9%	7%	14%	3%	10%	34%	10%	4%	15%	11%	-	8%	10%
										С				
NET: Agree		559	24	18	31	24	6	51	13	25	43	1	272	287
		54%	45%	62%	57%	50%	50%	49%	59%	57%	53%	22%	54%	54%
NET: Disagree		380	25	7	21	19	2	43	8	12	29	4	187	192
		37%	48% h	24%	39%	40%	16%	41%	37%	28%	36%	78%	37%	36%
Base for stats		938	49	25	52	43	8	93	21	37	71	5	459	479
Mean Score Standard Deviation		2.68 1.037	2.55 .970	2.77 .870	2.67 1.017	2.72 .868	2.75 1.162	2.62 1.159	2.77 1.030	2.83 .933	2.66 1.107	2.25 1.109	2.70 1.035	2.67 1.040
Standard Deviation Standard Error		.034	.134	.205	.140	.139	.581	.120	.220	.149	.129	.496	.049	.047
Error variance		.034	.02	.205	.02	.02	.34	.120	.220	.02	.02	.496	.049	.047
Life variance	l		.02	.04	.02	.02	.54	.01	.00	.02	.02	.20		



				Age)				Age	
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
Unweighted Total	1037	106	145	165	173	174	274	251	338	448
Total	1034 100%	108 100%	159 100%	165 100%	178 100%	165 100%	259 100%	267 100%	343 100%	424 100%
Stranski arras		37					47			
Strongly agree (4.0)	243 24%	34%	44 27%	30 18%	48 27%	39 24%	18%	80 30%	77 23%	86 20%
		cf	cf		Ţ			bc		
Tend to agree (3.0)	316 31%	23 21%	51 32%	55 33%	58 33%	56 34%	72 28%	74 28%	113 33%	129 30%
	3170	2170	3270	a	a	a	2070	2070	3370	3070
Tend to disagree (2.0)	220	23	36	36	30	32	63	59	66	95
	21%	21%	23%	22%	17%	19%	24%	22%	19%	22%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	160 15%	12 11%	21 13%	27 16%	23 13%	25 15%	52 20%	33 12%	50 15%	77 18%
	1370	1170	1370	1070	1370	1370	ad	1270	1370	a
Don't know	96	13	8	17	19	13	25	21	37	38
	9%	12% b	5%	10%	11%	8%	10%	8%	11%	9%
NET: Agree	559	60	94	84	106	95	119	154	190	214
	54%	55%	59% f	51%	59% f	58% f	46%	58%	56%	51%
NET: Disagree	380	35	57	63	53	57	115	92	116	172
	37%	32%	36%	38%	30%	34%	44% ade	34%	34%	41%
Base for stats	938	95	151	147	159	152	234	246	306	386
Mean Score	2.68	2.89	2.77	2.59	2.82	2.72	2.49	2.82	2.71	2.58
Standard Deviation	1.037	cf 1.068	f 1.018	1.009	cf 1.019	f 1.025	1.048	c 1.037	1.019	1.044
Standard Error	.034	.111	.087	.083	.082	.081	.067	.068	.058	.052
Error variance	*	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	*	*	*	*



				Di	et			
	Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%	10.00	а	b	С		*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total	1037	901	126	65	18	24	11	8
Total	1034 100%	900 100%	124 100%	63 100		24 100%	11 100%	7 100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	243 24%	208 23%	33 27%	15 24		9 37%	1 8%	3 45%
Tend to agree (3.0)	316 31%	268 30%	45 37%	28 44 a	% 26%	5 22%	5 47%	2 26%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	220 21%	199 22%	18 15%	11 18		4 16%	2 18%	-
Strongly disagree (1.0)	160 15%	144 16% c	14 11%	4		4 16%	1 9%	-
Don't know	96 9%	81 9%	13 11%	5 8		2 9%	2 17%	2 28%
NET: Agree	559 54%	476 53%	79 63% a	43 68 a	% 54%	14 59%	6 56%	5 72%
NET: Disagree	380 37%	343 38% bc	32 26%	15 24		8 32%	3 27%	-
Base for stats Mean Score	938 2.68	819 2.66	111 2.89 a	58 2.94 a	2.62	22 2.87	9 2.67	5 3.63
Standard Deviation Standard Error Error variance	1.037 .034 *	1.042 .036 *	.979 .092 .01	.849 .110 .01	1.264 .316	1.153 .246 .06	.850 .283 .08	.536 .219 .05



Q3 Summary: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

Total	Strongly agree	Tend to agree	Tend to disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know	NET: Agree	NET: Disagree
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
h 1034	497	381	57	36	63	878	93
100%	48%	37%	6%	3%	6%	85%	9%
1034	440	413	75	44	62	853	119
100%	43%	40%	7%	4%	6%	82%	12%
1034	425	386	80	40	103	811	120
	41%	37%	8%	4%	10%	78%	12%
1034	364	400	113	66	90	764	180
100%	35%	39%	11%	6%	9%	74%	17%
1034	412	323	119	87	93	735	207
100%	40%	31%	12%	8%	9%	71%	20%
1034	318	403	133	75	104	722	208
100%	31%	39%	13%	7%	10%	70%	20%
	1034 100% 1034 100% 1034 100% 1034 100%				Total Strongly agree Tend to agree Tend to disagree disagree	Total Strongly agree Tend to agree Tend to disagree disagree Don't know -	Total Strongly agree Tend to agree Tend to disagree disagree Don't know NET: Agree -



			France regions											
							Basse						Pays de	
	Total	Île-de-France	Champagne-Ardenne	Picardie	Haute-Normandie	Centre	Normandie	Bourgogne	Nord-Pas-de-Calais	Lorraine	Alsace	Franche-Comté	la Loire	
Unweighted Total	1037	205	19	33	29	44	23	26	68	40	29	17	62	
Total	1034	194	22	31	30	42	24	27	66	39	30	19	59	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
The Government and European Union should fund	878	158	16	28	27	38	17	25	59	32	27	16	49	
and equip farmers with the tools to protect farm animals from wolf attacks	85%	81%	73%	88%	89%	91%	70%	92%	89%	84%	87%	82%	83%	
Humans should find a way to coexist with wolves	853	161	20	24	28	34	19	22	51	33	25	14	46	
, and the second	82%	83%	89%	76%	93%	82%	78%	81%	77%	86%	84%	71%	77%	
Farmers and people living in rural areas should use	811	151	14	28	25	38	18	22	53	30	22	14	43	
non-lethal methods to keep wolves away	78%	78%	63%	88%	82%	91%	74%	81%	80%	77%	73%	71%	73%	
Farmers and people living in rural areas should	764	143	16	23	23	29	20	21	51	30	24	14	43	
coexist with wolves and other wild animals without harming them	74%	74%	73%	73%	76%	69%	82%	77%	78%	77%	79%	71%	73%	
Only authorities should have the authority to permit	735	129	13	23	19	31	16	20	51	29	22	15	40	
the killing of wolves to protect farm animals when necessary	71%	66%	57%	73%	64%	75%	65%	73%	77%	74%	72%	77%	68%	
Farmers and people living in rural areas should	722	136	15	21	21	27	18	21	48	30	20	12	36	
accept the presence of wolves and other wild animals	70%	70%	68%	67%	69%	63%	74%	77%	73%	77%	67%	65%	62%	
	ı .													



		France regions										Gender	
	Total	Bretagne	Poitou Charentes	Aquitaine	Midi-Pyrénées	Limousin	Rhône-Alpes	Auvergne	Languedoc-Roussillon	Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur	Corse	Male	Female
Significance Level: 95%	TOTAL	Bretagne	*b	Aquitairie	d d	*e	f Knone-Aipes	*g	Languedoc-Roussillon	Floverice-Alpes-Cole d'Azul	*i	a	b
Olgrinication Level. 9370		<u> </u>	Ь	C	ŭ	C		9	"	'	J	۵ .	5
Unweighted Total	1037	56	21	55	43	6	104	23	46	83	5	487	547
Total	1034	53	29	53	48	12	103	22	44	81	5	500	534
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
The Government and European Union should fund	878	46	21	50	43	10	83	17	40	70	5	429	449
and equip farmers with the tools to protect farm	85%	87%	72%	93%	90%	84%	81%	79%	91%	87%	100%	86%	84%
animals from wolf attacks				f									
Humans should find a way to coexist with wolves	853	46	26	44	43	10	79	18	38	66	4	425	428
	82%	87%	91%	82%	91%	84%	77%	83%	87%	82%	81%	85%	80%
												b	
Farmers and people living in rural areas should use	811	39	26	40	43	10	76	15	40	61	4	412	400
non-lethal methods to keep wolves away	78%	74%	91%	75%	90%	84%	74%	70%	91%	75%	79%	82%	75%
					afi				acfi			b	
Farmers and people living in rural areas should	764	40	21	38	36	10	69	16	34	60	3	375	388
coexist with wolves and other wild animals without	74%	76%	71%	71%	76%	84%	67%	71%	79%	74%	62%	75%	73%
harming them													
Only authorities should have the authority to permit	735	41	21	44	37	8	70	14	32	56	4	375	359
the killing of wolves to protect farm animals when	71%	79%	72%	82%	78%	67%	68%	65%	72%	70%	78%	75%	67%
necessary												b	
Farmers and people living in rural areas should	722	37	21	37	36	10	72	16	32	51	5	360	361
accept the presence of wolves and other wild animals	70%	69%	72%	70%	76%	84%	69%	71%	72%	64%	100%	72%	68%



				Age					Age	
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
Unweighted Total	1037	106	145	165	173	174	274	251	338	448
Total	1034	108	159	165	178	165	259	267	343	424
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
The Government and European Union should fund and equip farmers with		86	132	134	147	147	232	218	281	379
the tools to protect farm animals from wolf attacks	85%	80%	83%	82%	82%	89% a	90% abcd	82%	82%	89% ab
						a	abcu			ab
Humans should find a way to coexist with wolves	853	82	131	136	151	150	203	213	287	352
	82%	76%	82%	83%	85%	91%	78%	80%	84%	83%
						abcf				
Farmers and people living in rural areas should use non-lethal methods to	811	77	117	120	152	134	211	194	272	345
keep wolves away	78%	71%	73%	73%	85%	81%	82%	73%	79%	81%
					abc		ac			а
Farmers and people living in rural areas should coexist with wolves and	764	75	120	124	140	131	174	195	264	305
other wild animals without harming them	74%	69%	76%	75%	78%	79%	67%	73%	77%	72%
					f	f				
Only authorities should have the authority to permit the killing of wolves to	735	62	102	119	127	128	198	164	246	325
protect farm animals when necessary	71%	57%	64%	72%	71%	77%	76%	61%	72%	77%
				а	а	ab	ab		а	а
Farmers and people living in rural areas should accept the presence of	722	77	112	113	136	121	162	189	250	283
wolves and other wild animals	70%	71%	70%	69%	76% f	73% f	63%	71%	73%	67%



				Die	t			
		Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or	NET: Reduced					
		other animal	intake of animal					
	Total	products	products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	С	*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total	1037	901	126	65	18	24	11	8
Total	1034	900	124	63	18	24	11	7
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
The Government and European Union should fund and equip farmers with	878	771	101	55	15	18	10	4
the tools to protect farm animals from wolf attacks	85%	86%	81%	86%	81%	74%	91%	54%
Humans should find a way to coexist with wolves	853	749	98	51	12	18	9	7
	82%	83%	79%	81%	66%	75%	82%	100%
Farmers and people living in rural areas should use non-lethal methods to		705	99	54	11	19	8	6
keep wolves away	78%	78%	79%	86%	59%	79%	74%	86%
Farmers and people living in rural areas should coexist with wolves and	764	670	88	52	10	15	9	3
other wild animals without harming them	74%	74%	71%	81%	55%	61%	82%	42%
Only authorities should have the authority to permit the killing of wolves to		648	81	44	13	14	5	4
protect farm animals when necessary	71%	72%	65%	70%	72%	59%	46%	57%
Farmers and people living in rural areas should accept the presence of	722	621	94	53	13	15	9	4
wolves and other wild animals	70%	69%	76%	83%	73%	64%	83%	55%
				а				



			France regions											
	Total	Île-de-France	Champagne-Ardenne	Picardie	Haute-Normandie	Centre	Basse Normandie	Bourgogne	Nord-Pas-de-Calais	Lorraine	Alsace	Franche-Comté	Pays de la Loire	
Unweighted Total	1037	205	19	33	29	44	23	26	68	40	29	17	62	
Total	1034 100%	194 100%	22 100%	31 100%	30 100%	42 100%	24 100%	27 100%	66 100%	39 100%	30 100%	19 100%	59 100%	
Farmers and people living in rural areas should coexist with wolves and other wild animals without harming them	180 17%	34 18%	1 5%	6 18%	3 10%	9 22%	3 13%	4 15%	10 15%	6 15%	4 14%	4 23%	13 21%	
Farmers and people living in rural areas should accept the presence of wolves and other wild animals	208 20%	44 22%	-	7 24%	6 21%	13 30%	4 17%	5 19%	13 20%	6 15%	5 17%	6 29%	15 26%	
Farmers and people living in rural areas should use non-lethal methods to keep wolves away	120 12%	22 11%	3 16%	1 3%	4 14%	2 5%	3 13%	3 12%	9 13%	6 15%	5 17%	3 17%	11 18%	
The Government and European Union should fund and equip farmers with the tools to protect farm animals from wolf attacks	93 9%	24 12%	-	1 3%	2 7%	2 4%	6 26%	2 8%	4 6%	4 10%	1 4%	2 12%	8 13%	
Only authorities should have the authority to permit the killing of wolves to protect farm animals when necessary	207 20%	47 24%	3 16%	7 21%	7 22%	9 20%	6 26%	3 12%	8 12%	8 20%	7 22%	3 17%	11 19%	
Humans should find a way to coexist with wolves	119 12%	23 12%	-	6 18%	2 7%	5 12%	5 22%	4 15%	11 17%	3 8%	3 10%	4 23%	8 13%	



		France regions												
	Total	Bretagne	Poitou Charentes	Aquitaine	Midi-Pyrénées	Limousin	Rhône-Alpes	Auvergne	Languedoc-Roussillon	Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur	Corse	Male	Female	
Significance Level: 95%		а	*b	С	d	*e	f	*g	h	i	*j	а	b	
Unweighted Total	1037	56	21	55	43	6	104	23	46	83	5	487	547	
Total	1034	53	29	53	48	12	103	22	44	81	5	500	534	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Farmers and people living in rural areas should	180	10	4	12	8	2	23	3	7	11	2	88	91	
coexist with wolves and other wild animals without harming them	17%	18%	14%	23%	17%	16%	22%	12%	17%	13%	38%	18%	17%	
Farmers and people living in rural areas should	208	12	3	12	7	2	22	4	5	17	-	100	109	
accept the presence of wolves and other wild animals	20%	24%	10%	23%	14%	16%	22%	17%	11%	22%	-	20%	20%	
Farmers and people living in rural areas should use	120	7	1	10	1	2	12	3	1	10	-	58	62	
non-lethal methods to keep wolves away	12%	13%	5%	18%	2%	16%	12%	13%	2%	12%	-	12%	12%	
		h		dh										
The Government and European Union should fund	93	5	6	3	2	2	9	3	1	6	-	51	43	
and equip farmers with the tools to protect farm animals from wolf attacks	9%	10%	19%	5%	5%	16%	9%	12%	2%	7%	-	10%	8%	
Only authorities should have the authority to permit	207	10	6	7	7	2	26	5	9	15	1	87	119	
the killing of wolves to protect farm animals when necessary	20%	20%	19%	13%	14%	16%	26%	22%	19%	19%	22%	18%	22%	
Humans should find a way to coexist with wolves	119	6	3	8	1	2	14	2	4	6	1	52	67	
	12%	11%	9%	14% d	2%	16%	13% d	8%	8%	7%	19%	10%	13%	



				Age				Age		
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
Unweighted Total	1037	106	145	165	173	174	274	251	338	448
Total	1034	108	159	165	178	165	259	267	343	424
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Farmers and people living in rural areas should coexist with wolves and	180	19	28	29	24	23	56	48	53	79
other wild animals without harming them	17%	18%	18%	18%	13%	14%	22% de	18%	15%	19%
							de			
Farmers and people living in rural areas should accept the presence of	208	17	34	32	27	35	63	51	59	98
wolves and other wild animals	20%	15%	22%	20%	15%	21%	25%	19%	17%	23%
							d			ь
Farmers and people living in rural areas should use non-lethal methods to	120	11	30	26	15	19	18	42	41	37
keep wolves away	12%	11%	19%	16%	9%	11%	7%	16%	12%	9%
			df	df				С		
The Government and European Union should fund and equip farmers with	93	15	15	16	17	15	16	30	33	31
the tools to protect farm animals from wolf attacks	9%	14%	10%	10%	9%	9%	6%	11%	10%	7%
		f								
Only authorities should have the authority to permit the killing of wolves to	207	32	47	30	32	30	36	79	62	66
protect farm animals when necessary	20%	29%	30%	18%	18%	18%	14%	29%	18%	16%
		cdef	cdef					bc		
Humans should find a way to coexist with wolves	119	13	20	19	17	14	36	34	36	49
	12%	12%	13%	11%	10%	8%	14%	13%	11%	12%



				D	et			
		Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal	NET: Reduced intake of animal					
	Total	products	products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%		а	b		*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total	1037	901	126	65	18	24	11	8
Total	1034	900	124	63	18	24	11	7
	100%	100%	100%	100	% 100%	100%	100%	100%
Farmers and people living in rural areas should coexist with wolves and	180	154	24	6	7	6	2	4
other wild animals without harming them	17%	17%	20%	9	% 36%	23%	18%	58%
Farmers and people living in rural areas should accept the presence of	208	187	19	6	4	6	-	3
wolves and other wild animals	20%	21%	16%	9	% 22%	24%	-	45%
		С						
Farmers and people living in rural areas should use non-lethal methods to	120	105	14	5	5	2	1	1
keep wolves away	12%	12%	11%	3	% 25%	8%	9%	14%
The Government and European Union should fund and equip farmers with	93	73	18	6	3	5	1	3
the tools to protect farm animals from wolf attacks	9%	8%	15%	10	% 14%	21%	9%	46%
			а					
Only authorities should have the authority to permit the killing of wolves to		178	26	10		8	3	2
protect farm animals when necessary	20%	20%	21%	16	% 13%	32%	28%	30%
Humans should find a way to coexist with wolves	119	100	18	ę	•	4	1	-
	12%	11%	14%	15	% 18%	16%	9%	-



			France regions												
			_					Basse						Pays de	
		Total	Île-de-France	Champagne-Ardenne	Picardie	Haute-Normandie	Centre	Normandie	Bourgogne	Nord-Pas-de-Calais	Lorraine	Alsace	Franche-Comté	la Loire	
Unweighted Total		1037	205	19	33	29	44	23	26	68	40	29	17	62	
Total		1034	194	22	31	30	42	24	27	66	39	30	19	59	
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Strongly agree	(4.0)	364	55	10	11	11	15	7	7	28	12	14	8	20	
		35%	28%	47%	36%	38%	36%	29%	28%	42%	30%	44%	42%	34%	
Tend to agree	(3.0)	400	88	6	12	12	14	13	13	24	18	11	6	23	
	(/	39%	45%	26%	37%	38%	33%	53%		36%	48%	35%	29%	39%	
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	113	21		4	3	5	2	2	8	5	4	2	10	
rend to disagree	(2.0)	11%	11%	-	4 12%	10%	11%	2 9%	2 8%	12%	ວ 12%	4 14%	12%	16%	
		1170	1170		1270	1070	1170	370		1270	12/0	1470	1270	1070	
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	66	13	1	2	-	5	1	2	2	1	-	2	3	
		6%	7%	5%	6%	-	12%	4%	7%	3%	3%	-	11%	5%	
Don't know		90	17	5	3	4	4	1	2	5	3	2	1	4	
		9%	9%	21%	9%	14%	9%	4%	7%	7%	8%	7%	6%	6%	
NET: Agree		764	143	16	23	23	29	20	21	51	30	24	14	43	
		74%	74%	73%	73%	76%	69%	82%		78%	77%	79%	71%	73%	
NET D		400						•		40				40	
NET: Disagree		180	34	1	6	3	9	3	4	10	6	4	4	13	
		17%	18%	5%	18%	10%	22%	13%	15%	15%	15%	14%	23%	21%	
Base for stats		944	178	17	29	26	38	23	25	61	36	28	18	55	
Mean Score		3.13	3.04	3.46	3.14	3.32	3.02	3.13	3.06	3.25	3.13	3.33	3.08	3.08	
Standard Deviation		.879	.855	.836	.897	.686	1.023	.760	.847	.811	.757	.731	1.051	.866	
Standard Error		.029	.063	.216	.164	.137	.162	.162	.173	.102	.124	.141	.263	.114	
Error variance		*	*	.05	.03	.02	.03	.03	.03	.01	.02	.02	.07	.01	



		France regions											der
	Total	Destance	Poitou	Aguitaine	Midi-Pvrénées	Linconsta	Dhina Alasa	A	Langua da a Bassa illan	Decree Alexa Otto di Aeron	0	Mala	Familia
Significance Level: 95%	Total	Bretagne	Charentes *b		, , , , , , ,	Limousin *e	Rhône-Alpes	Auvergne	Languedoc-Roussillon	Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur	Corse	Male	Female b
Significance Level: 95%		а	D	С	d	е	ı	*g	h	1	J	а	D
Unweighted Total	1037	56	21	55	43	6	104	23	46	83	5	487	547
Total	1034	53	29	53	48	12	103	22	44	81	5	500	534
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	364	20	8	17	16	6	40	7	17	32	1	171	193
	35%	38%	28%	33%	34%	50%	39%	32%	40%	40%	22%	34%	36%
Tend to agree (3.0)	400	20	13	21	20	4	28	8	17	28	2	204	196
	39%	39%	43%	39%	42%	33%	28%	38%	39%	34%	40%	41%	37%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	113	5	4	8	7	-	13	2	4	4	2	57	56
	11%	9%	14%	14%	14%	-	13%	8%	8%	5%	38%	11%	11%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	66	5	-	5	1	2	10	1	4	7	-	31	35
	6%	10%	-	9%	2%	16%	9%	4%	9%	8%	-	6%	7%
Don't know	90	3	4	3	3	-	12	4	2	10	-	36	54
	9%	5%	14%	6%	7%	-	11%	17%	4%	12%	-	7%	10%
NET: Agree	764	40	21	38	36	10	69	16	34	60	3	375	388
	74%	76%	71%	71%	76%	84%	67%	71%	79%	74%	62%	75%	73%
NET: Disagree	180	10	4	12	8	2	23	3	7	11	2	88	91
	17%	18%	14%	23%	17%	16%	22%	12%	17%	13%	38%	18%	17%
Base for stats	944	50	25	50	44	12	91	18	42	70	5	464	480
Mean Score	3.13	3.10	3.16	3.01	3.16	3.17	3.08	3.19	3.15	3.21	2.84	3.11	3.14
Standard Deviation	.879	.949	.701	.943	.776	1.111	1.007	.828	.926	.932	.841	.866	.892
Standard Error	.029	.130	.165	.131	.123	.454	.105	.190	.140	.109	.376	.041	.040
Error variance	*	.02	.03	.02	.02	.21	.01	.04	.02	.01	.14	*	*



				Age		_			Age	
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
Unweighted Total	1037	106	145	165	173	174	274	251	338	448
Total	1034 100%	108 100%	159 100%	165 100%	178 100%	165 100%	259 100%	267 100%	343 100%	424 100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	364 35%	40 37%	54 34%	64 39% f	68 38%	62 37%	76 29%	94 35%	132 39%	138 33%
Tend to agree (3.0)	400 39%	35 32%	66 42%	60 37%	72 40%	69 42%	98 38%	101 38%	132 38%	167 39%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	113 11%	11 10%	19 12%	20 12%	15 8%	13 8%	36 14%	30 11%	35 10%	49 12%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	66 6%	8 8%	10 6%	10 6%	9 5%	9 6%	20 8%	18 7%	18 5%	30 7%
Don't know	90 9%	14 13%	10 6%	11 7%	15 8%	12 7%	28 11%	24 9%	26 8%	40 10%
NET: Agree	764 74%	75 69%	120 76%	124 75%	140 78% f	131 79% f	174 67%	195 73%	264 77%	305 72%
NET: Disagree	180 17%	19 18%	28 18%	29 18%	24 13%	23 14%	56 22% de	48 18%	53 15%	79 19%
Base for stats Mean Score	944 3.13	94 3.13	149 3.11	153 3.16	164 3.22 f	153 3.19 f	230 3.00	243 3.12	317 3.19	384 3.08
Standard Deviation Standard Error Error variance	.879 .029 *	.942 .098 .01	.862 .074 .01	.880 .071 .01	.826 .066 *	.837 .066 *	.919 .059 *	.892 .059 *	.851 .048 *	.891 .044 *



					Diet				
	Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian		Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%		а	b		С	*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total	1037	901	126		65	18	24	11	8
Total	1034 100%	900 100%	124 100%		63 100%	18 100%	24 100%	11 100%	7 100%
Strongly agree (4	364 35%	316 35%	45 36%		22 35%	4 20%	10 40%	6 56%	3 42%
Tend to agree (3	0) 400 39%	353 39%	43 35%		29 46%	6 34%	5 21%	3 26%	-
Tend to disagree (2) 113 11%	96 11%	16 13%		3 5%	5 30%	4 18%	-	3 43%
Strongly disagree (1	66 6%	57 6%	8 7%		3 5%	1 6%	1 4%	2 18%	1 15%
Don't know	90 9%	77 9%	12 9%		6 9%	2 10%	4 16%	-	-
NET: Agree	764 74%	670 74%	88 71%		52 81%	10 55%	15 61%	9 82%	3 42%
NET: Disagree	180 17%	154 17%	24 20%		6 9%	7 36%	6 23%	2 18%	4 58%
Base for stats Mean Score Standard Deviation	944 3.13 .879	823 3.13 .874	112 3.11 .909		58 3.24 .774	17 2.77 .902	20 3.16 .965	11 3.19 1.175	7 2.69 1.257
Standard Error Error variance	.029	.030	.085 .01		.101 .01	.225 .05	.216 .05	.354 .13	.444 .20



								France region	ns					
								Basse						Pays de
		Total	Île-de-France	Champagne-Ardenne	Picardie	Haute-Normandie	Centre	Normandie	Bourgogne	Nord-Pas-de-Calais	Lorraine	Alsace	Franche-Comté	la Loire
Unweighted Total		1037	205	19	33	29	44	23	26	68	40	29	17	62
Total		1034	194	22	31	30	42	24	27	66	39	30	19	59
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	318	58	9	10	7	13	6	5	22	10	10	6	16
		31%	30%	42%	31%	24%	31%	26%	19%	33%	25%	34%	30%	28%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	403	78	6	11	14	14	12	16	27	20	10	7	20
		39%	40%	26%	36%	45%	33%	48%	58%	40%	52%	32%	35%	34%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	133	31	-	5	3	8	3	3	11	4	5	1	11
		13%	16%	-	15%	10%	18%	13%	12%	17%	10%	17%	6%	18%
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	75	13	-	3	3	5	1	2	2	2	-	4	5
		7%	6%	-	9%	11%	12%	4%	7%	3%	6%	-	23%	8%
Don't know		104	15	7	3	3	3	2	1	5	3	5	1	7
		10%	8%	32%	9%	10%	7%	9%	4%	7%	8%	17%	6%	12%
NET: Agree		722	136	15	21	21	27	18	21	48	30	20	12	36
		70%	70%	68%	67%	69%	63%	74%	77%	73%	77%	67%	65%	62%
NET: Disagree		208	44	-	7	6	13	4	5	13	6	5	6	15
		20%	22%	-	24%	21%	30%	17%	19%	20%	15%	17%	29%	26%
Base for stats		930	180	15	29	27	39	22	26	61	36	25	18	52
Mean Score		3.04	3.01	3.62	2.99	2.91	2.88	3.05	2.93	3.11	3.05	3.21	2.76	2.93
Standard Deviation		.900	.884	.503	.962	.938	1.018	.793	.799	.815	.794	.768	1.178	.947
Standard Error		.029	.064	.140	.176	.184	.159	.173	.160	.103	.131	.157	.294	.129
Error variance		*	*	.02	.03	.03	.03	.03	.03	.01	.02	.02	.09	.02



			France regions											der
		Total	Bretagne	Poitou Charentes	Aquitaine	Midi-Pyrénées	Limousin	Rhône-Alpes	Auvergne	Languedoc-Roussillon	Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur	Corse	Male	Female
Significance Level: 95%			а	*b	С	d	*e	f	*g	h	i	*j	а	b
Unweighted Total		1037	56	21	55	43	6	104	23	46	83	5	487	547
Total		1034	53	29	53	48	12	103	22	44	81	5	500	534
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	318	17	7	15	13	6	33	7	15	32	1	159	159
		31%	33%	24%	28%	26%	50%	32%	32%	35%	40%	22%	32%	30%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	403	19	14	23	24	4	38	9	16	20	4	201	202
		39%	37%	48%	42%	50%	33%	37%	39%	37%	24%	78%	40%	38%
					ı	ı								
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	133	7	1	6	5	-	12	2	4	12	-	63	70
		13%	14%	5%	11%	9%	-	12%	8%	8%	15%	-	13%	13%
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	75	5	1	6	2	2	10	2	1	6	-	37	39
		7%	9%	5%	12%	5%	16%	10%	8%	2%	7%	-	7%	7%
Don't know		104	4	5	4	5	-	9	3	8	12	-	40	64
		10%	7%	19%	7%	9%	-	9%	13%	17%	15%	-	8%	12% a
NET: Agree		722 70%	37 69%	21 72%	37 70%	36 76%	10 84%	72 69%	16 71%	32 72%	51 64%	5 100%	360 72%	361 68%
												100 /6		
NET: Disagree		208	12 24%	3 10%	12 23%	7 14%	2 16%	22 22%	4 17%	5 11%	17 22%	-	100 20%	109
		20%	24%	10%	23%	14%	16%	22%	17%	11%	22%	-	20%	20%
Base for stats		930	49	24	49	43	12	94	19	36	69	5	460	470
Mean Score		3.04	3.00	3.11	2.92	3.09	3.17	3.00	3.08	3.27	3.13	3.22	3.05	3.02
Standard Deviation Standard Error		.900	.961 .133	.772 .187	.973 .136	.780 .125	1.111 .454	.966 .099	.937 .210	.756 .123	.981 .116	.459 .205	.896 .042	.904 .041
Error variance		.029	.02	.04	.02	.02	.454	.099	.210	.02	.01	.205	.042	.041



	Age								Age	
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
Unweighted Total	1037	106	145	165	173	174	274	251	338	448
Total	1034 100%	108 100%	159 100%	165 100%	178 100%	165 100%	259 100%	267 100%	343 100%	424 100%
Strongly agree (4.0	318 31%	36 33%	43 27%	52 32%	70 39% bf	50 30%	68 26%	79 29%	122 36% c	118 28%
Tend to agree (3.0	403 39%	41 38%	69 43%	61 37%	67 37%	71 43%	94 36%	110 41%	128 37%	165 39%
Tend to disagree (2.0	133 13%	9 9%	24 15%	24 14%	18 10%	23 14%	36 14%	33 12%	42 12%	58 14%
Strongly disagree (1.0	75 7%	7 7%	11 7%	9 5%	9 5%	12 8%	28 11% d	18 7%	17 5%	40 9% b
Don't know	104 10%	15 13% e	13 8%	19 12% e	15 9%	9 6%	33 13% e	27 10%	34 10%	43 10%
NET: Agree	722 70%	77 71%	112 70%	113 69%	136 76% f	121 73% f	162 63%	189 71%	250 73%	283 67%
NET: Disagree	208 20%	17 15%	34 22%	32 20%	27 15%	35 21%	63 25% d	51 19%	59 17%	98 23% b
Base for stats Mean Score	930 3.04	93 3.13	146 2.99	146 3.08	163 3.21 bef	156 3.01	225 2.90	240 3.04	309 3.15 c	381 2.95
Standard Deviation Standard Error Error variance	.900 .029 *	.885 .092 .01	.868 .075 .01	.872 .072 .01	.843 .067 *	.886 .069 *	.972 .063 *	.876 .058 *	.858 .049 *	.938 .047 *



Tend to agree (3.0) 403 347 53 37 6 8 1 1 1 Tend to disagree (2.0) 133 121 11 3 3 3 3 3 9% 13% 13% 13% 9% 13% 13% 13% 9% 13% 13% 13% 9% 13% 13% 13% 13% 9% 15% 17% 12% - 30% c	Γ			Die	t			
Significance Level: 95%		dairy and/ other anin	NET: Reduced intake of animal	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Total 1034 900 124 63 18 24 11 7 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	Significance Level: 95%		a b	С	*d	*e		*g
Strongly agree (4.0) 318 274 41 16 7 8 8 8 3 3 376 10 33% 74% 42% 25% 37% 33% 74% 42% 25% 37% 33% 74% 42% 25% 37% 33% 74% 42% 25% 37% 33% 74% 42% 25% 37% 33% 74% 42% 25% 37% 33% 74% 42% 25% 37% 33% 74% 42% 25% 37% 33% 74% 42% 25% 37% 33% 74% 42% 25% 37% 33% 74% 42% 25% 37% 33% 74% 42% 25% 35% 31% 9% 13% 25% 35% 31% 9% 13% 25% 35% 31% 9% 13% 25% 25% 37% 35% 31% 9% 13% 25% 25% 37% 35% 31% 9% 13% 25% 25% 37% 35% 31% 9% 13% 25% 25% 37% 35% 31% 9% 13% 25% 25% 35% 35% 31% 9% 13% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25	Unweighted Total	1037	01 126	65	18	24	11	8
Strongly agree (4.0) 318 31% 30% 33% 33% 25% 37% 33% 74% 42% Tend to agree (3.0) 403 347 53 39% 43% 58% 35% 31% 9% 13% ab Tend to disagree (2.0) 133 121 11 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 - 2 2 Strongly disagree (1.0) 75 66 8 8 35% 17% 12% - 30% 55% 55% 12% - 15% Don't know 104 91 11 5 1 3 3 2 - 1	Total							
Tend to agree (3.0) 403 347 53 37 6 8 1 1 1 1 1 3 3 2 5 1 1 3 3 2 5 5 1 1 3 3 2 5 5 1 1 3 3 7 4 6 42% 42% 42% 42% 43% 43% 43% 43% 43% 43% 43% 43% 43% 43								
Tend to disagree	Strongly agree (4.0)							3 42%
Tend to disagree (2.0) 133 121 11 3 3 3 3 3 - 2 2 13% 13% 9% 5% 17% 12% - 30% C Strongly disagree (1.0) 75 66 8 3 1 1 3 - 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Tend to agree (3.0)						1	
Strongly disagree (1.0) 75 66 8 3 1 3 - 15% Don't know 104 91 11 5 1 3 2 -		39%	39% 43%		35%	31%	9%	13%
Strongly disagree (1.0) 75 66 8 3 1 3 - 1 Tom't know 104 91 11 5 1 3 2 -	Tend to disagree (2.0)							2 30%
7% 7% 7% 5% 5% 12% - 15% Don't know 104 91 11 5 1 3 2 -			С					
	Strongly disagree (1.0)							1 15%
10% 10% 9% 8% 5% 12% 17% -	Don't know							
							17%	-
	NET: Agree			83%				4 55%
a				а				
	NET: Disagree		21% 16%					3 45%
c c								
Base for stats 930 809 113 59 18 21 9 7								
Mean Score 3.04 3.03 3.12 3.11 3.11 2.96 3.90 2.81 Standard Deviation .900 .904 .860 .724 .905 1.055 .326 1.227								
Standard Error .029 .032 .080 .094 .219 .230 .109 .434								
Error variance * * .01 .01 .05 .05 .01 .19								



Q3_3. Farmers and people living in rural areas should use non-lethal methods to keep wolves away: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

							France region	าร					
		î =					Basse	_					Pays de
	Total	Île-de-France	Champagne-Ardenne	Picardie	Haute-Normandie	Centre	Normandie	Bourgogne	Nord-Pas-de-Calais	Lorraine	Alsace	Franche-Comté	la Loire
Unweighted Total	1037	205	19	33	29	44	23	26	68	40	29	17	62
Total	1034	194	22	31	30	42	24	27	66	39	30	19	59
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.0	425	80	8	14	10	18	8	7	32	12	16	5	21
	41%	41%	37%	46%	34%	44%	34%	27%	48%	32%	53%	24%	35%
Tend to agree (3.0	386	71	6	13	15	20	10	15	21	17	6	9	22
	37%	36%	26%	43%	48%	47%	40%	54%	31%	45%	21%	47%	37%
Tend to disagree (2.0		15	1	1	2	1	2	1	5	4	5	1	9
	8%	8%	5%	3%	7%	3%	9%	4%	8%	10%	17%	5%	15%
Strongly disagree (1.0	40	7	2	-	2	1	1	2	3	2	-	2	2
	4%	4%	10%	-	7%	2%	4%	8%	5%	5%	-	12%	3%
Don't know	103	21	5	3	1	2	3	2	5	3	3	2	6
	10%	11%	21%	9%	4%	4%	13%	7%	8%	8%	9%	12%	10%
NET: Agree	811	151	14	28	25	38	18	22	53	30	22	14	43
	78%	78%	63%	88%	82%	91%	74%	81%	80%	77%	73%	71%	73%
NET: Disagree	120	22	3	1	4	2	3	3	9	6	5	3	11
	12%	11%	16%	3%	14%	5%	13%	12%	13%	15%	17%	17%	18%
Base for stats	931	173	17	29	29	40	21	25	61	36	28	17	53
Mean Score	3.28	3.29	3.13	3.47	3.13	3.38	3.19	3.08	3.33	3.12	3.39	2.95	3.16
Standard Deviation	.797	.792	1.053	.567	.854	.662	.818	.832	.852	.834	.802	.956	.822
Standard Error	.026	.059	.272	.104	.161	.102	.183	.170	.107	.137	.157	.247	.110
Error variance	*	*	.07	.01	.03	.01	.03	.03	.01	.02	.02	.06	.01



Q3_3. Farmers and people living in rural areas should use non-lethal methods to keep wolves away: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

								France regio	ons				Gen	der
		Total	Bretagne	Poitou Charentes	Aquitaine	Midi-Pyrénées	Limousin	Rhône-Alpes	Auvergne	Languedoc-Roussillon	Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur	Corse	Male	Female
Significance Level: 95%		10141	a	*b	С	d	*e	f	*g	h	i	*j	а	b
Unweighted Total		1037	56	21	55	43	6	104	23	46	83	5	487	547
Total		1034	53	29	53	48	12	103	22	44	81	5	500	534
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	425	22	13	20	23	6	41	9	21	37	1	216	209
		41%	42%	43%	38%	48%	50%	40%	41%	49%	46%	22%	43%	39%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	386	17	14	20	20	4	36	6	18	24	3	195	191
		37%	33%	48%	37%	42%	33%	35%	29%	42%	29%	58%	39%	36%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	80	6	1	7	1	-	8	1	-	7	-	38	42
		8%	11% h	5%	13% h	2%	-	8%	4%	-	9% h	-	8%	8%
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	40	1	_	3	_	2	4	2	1	3	_	20	20
Changy alongson	(1.0)	4%	2%	-	5%	-	16%	4%		2%	4%	-	4%	4%
Don't know		103	7	1	4	3	-	15	4	3	10	1	31	72
		10%	12%	5%	7%	7%	-	14%	17%	7%	12%	21%	6%	14% a
NET: Agree		811	39	26	40	43	10	76	15	40	61	4	412	400
		78%	74%	91%	75%	90%	84%	74%		91%	75%	79%	82%	75%
						afi				acfi			b	
NET: Disagree		120	7	1	10	1	2	12	3	1	10	-	58	62
		12%	13% h	5%	18% dh	2%	16%	12%	13%	2%	12%	-	12%	12%
Base for stats		931	46	28	50	44	12	88	18	41	71	4	469	462
Mean Score		3.28	3.31	3.40	3.16	3.50	3.17	3.28	3.22	3.48	3.34	3.27	3.30	3.27
						C				C				
Standard Deviation Standard Error		.797 .026	.778 .111	.593 .133	.879 .123	.555 .088	1.111 .454	.809 .086	.992 .227	.630 .096	.824 .096	.513 .256	.790 .037	.803 .037
Error variance		.026	.01	.02	.02	.086	.454	.086	.05	.096	.090	.256	.037	.037
										``				



Q3_3. Farmers and people living in rural areas should use non-lethal methods to keep wolves away: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

Error variance

	Total	18-24
Significance Level: 95%		а
Unweighted Total	1037	106
Total	1034 100%	108 100
Strongly agree (4.0)	425 41%	42 39
Tend to agree (3.0)	386 37%	36 33
Tend to disagree (2.0)	80 8%	7
Strongly disagree (1.0)	40 4%	4
Don't know	103 10%	19 18 bde
NET: Agree	811 78%	77 71
NET: Disagree	120 12%	11 11
Base for stats Mean Score	931 3.28	89 3.29
Standard Deviation Standard Error	.797 .026	.816 .088

			Age	•				Age	
Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
	а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
1037	106	145	165	173	174	274	251	338	448
1034 100%	108 100%	159 100%	165 100%	178 100%	165 100%	259 100%	267 100%	343 100%	424 100%
425 41%	42 39%	62 39%	68 41%	83 46%	66 40%	106 41%	103 39%	151 44%	172 40%
386 37%	36 33%	55 35%	52 32%	69 39%	69 42%	105 41%	91 34%	121 35%	174 41%
80 8%	7 7%	24 15% adef	17 10% f	9 5%	12 7%	10 4%	31 12% c	26 8%	23 5%
40 4%	4 4%	7 4%	9 5%	6 3%	7 4%	8 3%	11 4%	15 4%	14 3%
103 10%	19 18% bde	12 8%	19 11%	11 6%	12 7%	30 11%	31 12%	30 9%	41 10%
811 78%	77 71%	117 73%	120 73%	152 85% abc	134 81%	211 82% ac	194 73%	272 79%	345 81% a
120 12%	11 11%	30 19% df	26 16% df	15 9%	19 11%	18 7%	42 16% c	41 12%	37 9%
931 3.28	89 3.29	147 3.17	146 3.23	167 3.37 b	153 3.26	229 3.35 b	236 3.21	313 3.30	382 3.31
.797 .026 *	.816 .088 .01	.859 .074 .01	.878 .073 .01	.753 .059 *	.788 .062 *	.722 .046 *	.843 .057 *	.815 .046 *	.750 .037 *



Q3_3. Farmers and people living in rural areas should use non-lethal methods to keep wolves away: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

						Diet				
		Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian		Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%			а	b		С	*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total		1037	901	126		65	18	24	11	8
Total		1034 100%	900 100%	124 100%	,	63 100%	18 100%	24 100%	11 100%	7 100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	425 41%	362 40%	60 48%		32 50%	6 31%	14 59%	5 47%	3 45%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	386 37%	343 38%	38 31%		23 36%	5 27%	5 20%	3 27%	3 42%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	80 8%	70 8%	9 7%		5 8%	2 13%	1 4%		1 14%
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	40 4%	35 4%	4 3%		-	2 12%	1 5%	1 9%	-
Don't know		103 10%	90 10%	12 10%		4 6%	3 16%	3 13%	2 17%	-
NET: Agree		811 78%	705 78%	99 79%		54 86%	11 59%	19 79%	8 74%	6 86%
NET: Disagree		120 12%	105 12%	14 11%		5 8%	5 25%	2 8%	1 9%	1 14%
Base for stats Mean Score Standard Deviation Standard Error		931 3.28 .797 .026	810 3.27 .795 .028	112 3.38 .797 .075	.6	59 3.45 649 084	15 2.93 1.083 .280	21 3.53 .827 .181	9 3.35 .994 .331	7 3.31 .750 .265
Error variance		*	*	.01		.01	.08	.03	.11	.07



							France region	าร					
		â <u>-</u>					Basse						Pays de
	Total	Île-de-France	Champagne-Ardenne	Picardie	Haute-Normandie	Centre	Normandie	Bourgogne	Nord-Pas-de-Calais	Lorraine	Alsace	Franche-Comté	la Loire
Unweighted Total	1037	205	19	33	29	44	23	26	68	40	29	17	62
Total	1034	194	22	31	30	42	24	27	66	39	30	19	59
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.0) 497	85	7	14	16	25	8	14	36	15	15	7	26
	48%	44%	32%	45%	52%	59%	35%	50%	55%	39%	49%	35%	45%
Tend to agree (3.0) 381	73	9	14	11	13	9	11	23	17	12	9	23
	37%	38%	41%	43%	37%	32%	35%	42%	35%	45%	38%	47%	39%
Tend to disagree (2.0		20	-	1	-	1	5	2	2	2	1	1	3
	6%	10%	-	3%	-	2%	21%	8%	3%	5%	4%	6%	5%
Strongly disagree (1.0) 36	5	-	-	2	1	1	-	2	2	-	1	5
	3%	2%	-	-	7%	2%	4%	-	3%	5%	-	6%	8%
Don't know	63	12	6	3	1	2	1	-	3	2	3	1	2
	6%	6%	27%	9%	4%	4%	4%	-	5%	6%	9%	6%	3%
NET: Agree	878	158	16	28	27	38	17	25	59	32	27	16	49
	85%	81%	73%	88%	89%	91%	70%	92%	89%	84%	87%	82%	83%
NET: Disagree	93	24	-	1	2	2	6	2	4	4	1	2	8
	9%	12%	-	3%	7%	4%	26%	8%	6%	10%	4%	12%	13%
Base for stats	971	182	16	29	29	40	23	27	63	36	28	18	57
Mean Score	3.38	3.31	3.43	3.46	3.38	3.55	3.04	3.42	3.47	3.25	3.50	3.19	3.24
Standard Deviation	.759	.764	.512	.575	.845	.666	.897	.646	.724	.803	.583	.833	.905
Standard Error	.024	.055	.137	.105	.160	.103	.191	.127	.090	.130	.114	.208	.117
Error variance	*	*	.02	.01	.03	.01	.04	.02	.01	.02	.01	.04	.01



Significance Level: 95%									France region	ons				Gen	der
Significance Level: 95%			Total	Bretagne		Aquitaine	Midi-Pvrénées	Limousin	Rhône-Alpes	Auverane	Languedoc-Roussillon	Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur	Corse	Male	Female
Total 1034	Significance Level: 95%			<u> </u>	*b		d		f			i	*j		b
Strongly agree (4.0) 497 27 11 30 25 2 55 12 22 42 3 245 2 Tend to agree (3.0) 381 19 10 20 18 8 28 6 18 28 2 184 35% 37% 37% 34% 37% 38% 67% 27% 26% 41% 35% 39% 37% Tend to disagree (2.0) 57 4 3 2 1 - 6 2 - 2 - 31 6% 6% 8% 10% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3%	Unweighted Total		1037	56	21	55	43	6	104	23	46	83	5	487	547
Strongly agree (4.0) 497 27 11 30 25 2 55 12 22 42 3 245 2 Tend to agree (2.0) 57 4 3 2 1 1 2 8 8 8 8 8 8 10 8 10 8 10 8 10 8	Total		1034	53	29	53	48	12	103	22	44	81	5	500	534
Tend to agree (3.0) 381 19 10 20 18 8 28 6 18 28 2 184 37% 37% 34% 37% 38% 67% 27% 26% 41% 35% 39% 37% 37% 34% 37% 38% 67% 27% 26% 41% 35% 39% 37% 37% 38% 37% 38% 67% 27% 26% 41% 35% 39% 37% 37% 38% 37% 38% 37% 38% 67% 27% 26% 41% 35% 39% 37% 37% 38% 37% 38% 37% 38% 37% 38% 37% 38% 37% 38% 37% 38% 37% 38% 37% 38% 37% 38% 37% 38% 37% 38% 37% 38% 37% 38% 37% 38% 37% 38% 37% 38% 38% 38% 38% 38% 38% 38% 38% 38% 38			100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Tend to agree (3.0) 381 19 10 20 18 8 28 6 18 28 2 184 19 37% 37% 34% 37% 38% 67% 27% 26% 41% 35% 39% 37% 37% 38% 67% 27% 26% 41% 35% 39% 37% 37% 38% 67% 27% 26% 41% 35% 39% 37% 37% 38% 67% 27% 26% 41% 35% 39% 37% 38% 67% 27% 26% 41% 35% 39% 37% 38% 67% 67% 88% 10% 3% 3% - 6% 8% - 2% - 6% 6% 8% - 2% - 6% 6% 8% - 2% - 6% 6% 8% - 2% - 6% 6% 8% - 2% - 6% 6% 8% - 2% - 6% 6% 8% - 2% 5% - 4% 6% 67% 27% 26% 48% 29% 55% - 4% 67% 27% 26% 48% 29% 55% - 4% 67% 27% 26% 88% 10% 3% 4% 29% 55% - 4% 67% 27% 26% 88% 10% 3% 4% 29% 55% - 4% 67% 27% 26% 88% 10% 3% 4% 29% 55% - 4% 67% 27% 26% 88% 10% 39% 27% 10% 97% 10% 97% 10% 97% 10% 88% 10% 10% 10% 88% 10% 10% 10% 88% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10	Strongly agree	(4.0)	497	27	11	30	25	2	55	12	22	42	3	245	252
Tend to disagree (2.0) 57			48%	50%	38%	56%	52%	17%	54%	53%	50%	53%	61%	49%	47%
Tend to disagree (2.0) 57 4 3 2 11 - 66 2 - 2 2 - 31 Strongly disagree (1.0) 36 1 3 1 1 2 3 1 1 1 4 - 20 3% 2% 9% 2% 2% 16% 3% 4% 2% 5% - 4% Don't know 63 2 3 1 2 - 10 2 3 4 - 20 6% 4% 9% 2% 5% - 4% NET: Agree 878 46 21 50 43 10 83 17 40 70 5 429 48 85% 87% 72% 93% 90% 84% 81% 79% 91% 87% 100% 86% 100% 86%	Tend to agree	(3.0)	381	19	10	20	18	8	28	6	18	28	2	184	197
Strongly disagree (1.0) 6% 8% 10% 3% 3% -	-		37%	37%	34%	37%	38%	67%	27%	26%	41%	35%	39%	37%	37%
Strongly disagree (1.0) 36 1 3 1 1 2 3 1 1 1 4 - 20 3	Tend to disagree	(2.0)	57	4	3	2	1	-	6	2	-	2	-	31	27
3% 2% 9% 2% 2% 16% 3% 4% 2% 5% - 4%			6%	8%	10%	3%	3%	-	6%	8%	-	2%	-	6%	5%
Don't know 63 2 3 1 2 - 10 2 3 4 - 20 6% 4% 9% 2% 5% - 10% 9% 7% 5% - 4% NET: Agree 878 46 21 50 43 10 83 17 40 70 5 429 48 85% 87% 72% 93% 90% 84% 81% 79% 91% 87% 100% 86%	Strongly disagree	(1.0)	36	1		1	1	2	3	1	1	4	-	20	16
NET: Agree 878 46 21 50 43 10 83 17 40 70 5 429 4 85% 87% 72% 93% 90% 84% 81% 79% 91% 87% 100% 86%			3%	2%	9%	2%	2%	16%	3%	4%	2%	5%	-	4%	3%
NET: Agree 878 46 21 50 43 10 83 17 40 70 5 429 48 85% 87% 72% 93% 90% 84% 81% 79% 91% 87% 100% 86% f	Don't know		63	2		1			10		3	4	-	20	43
85% 87% 72% 93% 90% 84% 81% 79% 91% 87% 100% 86% f			6%	4%	9%	2%	5%	-	10%	9%	7%	5%	-	4%	8% a
85% 87% 72% 93% 90% 84% 81% 79% 91% 87% 100% 86% f													_		_
f	NET: Agree														449 84%
			0576	0770	1270	f	3070	0470	0170	1370	3170	01 70	10070	0076	0470
NET: Disagree 93 5 6 3 2 2 9 3 1 6 - 51	NET: Disagree		93	5	6	3	2	2	9	3	1	6	-	51	43
9% 10% 19% 5% 5% 16% 9% 12% 2% 7% - 10%			9%	10%	19%	5%	5%	16%	9%	12%	2%	7%	-	10%	8%
			971	51	27		45	12	93		41	76	5	479	492
				3.40											3.39
			.759	l											.736
	Standard Error			.099	.226	.090						.089		.036	.033
Error variance * .01 .05 .01 .01 .15 .01 .03 .01 .01 .01 .06 *	Error variance		*	.01	.05	.01	.01	.15	.01	.03	.01	.01	.06	*	*



				Age					Age	
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
Unweighted Total	1037	106	145	165	173	174	274	251	338	448
Total	1034 100%	108 100%	159 100%	165 100%	178 100%	165 100%	259 100%	267 100%	343 100%	424 100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	497 48%	51 47%	76 48%	68 41%	80 45%	83 50%	140 54% c	127 47%	148 43%	223 53% b
Tend to agree (3.0)	381 37%	35 33%	56 35%	67 40%	67 37%	64 39%	92 35%	91 34%	133 39%	156 37%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	57 6%	11 10% f	9 5%	11 7%	10 6%	9 5%	8 3%	19 7%	21 6%	17 4%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	36 3%	4 4%	7 4%	5 3%	6 3%	6 4%	8 3%	11 4%	11 3%	14 3%
Don't know	63 6%	7 7%	12 8% e	14 9% e	15 9% e	4 2%	11 4%	19 7% c	29 9% c	14 3%
NET: Agree	878 85%	86 80%	132 83%	134 82%	147 82%	147 89% a	232 90% abcd	218 82%	281 82%	379 89% ab
NET: Disagree	93 9%	15 14% f	15 10%	16 10%	17 9%	15 9%	16 6%	30 11%	33 10%	31 7%
Base for stats Mean Score	971 3.38	101 3.32	147 3.37	151 3.31	163 3.35	161 3.38	248 3.47 c	248 3.35	314 3.33	409 3.43
Standard Deviation Standard Error Error variance	.759 .024 *	.824 .083 .01	.789 .068 *	.752 .061 *	.766 .061 *	.756 .058 *	.713 .044 *	.802 .053 *	.758 .043 *	.731 .035 *



					Diet				
	Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian		Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%		a	b		С	*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total	1037	901	126		65	18	24	11	8
Total	1034 100%	900 100%	124 100%		63 100%	18 100%	24 100%	11 100%	7 100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	497 48%	447 50% bc	47 38%		23 37%	9 49%	10 40%	5 46%	-
Tend to agree (3.0)	381 37%	324 36%	54 44%		31 49% a	6 33%	8 34%	5 45%	4 54%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	57 6%	43 5%	13 10% a		6 10%	1 8%	3 13%	1 9%	1 15%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	36 3%	29 3%	6 4%		-	1 6%	2 9%	-	2 32%
Don't know	63 6%	56 6%	5 4%		3 4%	1 5%	1 5%	-	
NET: Agree	878 85%	771 86%	101 81%		55 86%	15 81%	18 74%	10 91%	4 54%
NET: Disagree	93 9%	73 8%	18 15% a		6 10%	3 14%	5 21%	1 9%	3 46%
Base for stats Mean Score	971 3.38	844 3.41 b	119 3.19	3	61 3.28	18 3.31	23 3.11	11 3.36	7 2.22
Standard Deviation Standard Error Error variance	.759 .024 *	.745 .026 *	.807 .073 .01	.0	640 081 .01	.896 .217 .05	.973 .203 .04	.680 .205 .04	.966 .342 .12



							France region	ıs					
		â <u>-</u>					Basse	_					Pays de
	Total	Île-de-France	Champagne-Ardenne	Picardie	Haute-Normandie	Centre	Normandie	Bourgogne	Nord-Pas-de-Calais	Lorraine	Alsace	Franche-Comté	la Loire
Unweighted Total	1037	205	19	33	29	44	23	26	68	40	29	17	62
Total	1034	194	22	31	30	42	24	27	66	39	30	19	59
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.0	412	63	8	15	11	15	6	8	35	11	10	3	22
	40%	32%	37%	48%	37%	36%	26%	31%	53%	30%	32%	17%	37%
Tend to agree (3.0	323	66	4	8	8	17	9	11	16	17	12	11	18
	31%	34%	20%	25%	27%	40%	39%	42%	24%	45%	41%	60%	31%
Tend to disagree (2.0	119	31	1	5	3	5	5	2	5	7	7	2	3
	12%	16%	5%	15%	11%	12%	22%	8%	8%	18%	22%	11%	5%
Strongly disagree (1.0	87	16	2	2	3	4	1	1	3	1	-	1	8
	8%	8%	11%	6%	10%	9%	4%	4%	5%	2%	-	6%	14%
Don't know	93	19	6	2	4	2	2	4	7	2	2	1	7
	9%	10%	27%	6%	14%	4%	9%	14%	11%	5%	6%	6%	13%
NET: Agree	735	129	13	23	19	31	16	20	51	29	22	15	40
	71%	66%	57%	73%	64%	75%	65%	73%	77%	74%	72%	77%	68%
NET: Disagree	207	47	3	7	7	9	6	3	8	8	7	3	11
	20%	24%	16%	21%	22%	20%	26%	12%	12%	20%	22%	17%	19%
Base for stats	941	175	16	29	26	40	22	23	59	37	29	18	52
Mean Score	3.12	3.00	3.14	3.23	3.06	3.07	2.96	3.17	3.40	3.07	3.11	2.94	3.04
Standard Deviation	.960	.946	1.099	.946	1.046	.940	.859	.804	.861	.784	.758	.763	1.077
Standard Error	.031	.070	.294	.170	.209	.145	.187	.171	.110	.127	.146	.191	.147
Error variance		<u> </u>	.09	.03	.04	.02	.04	.03	.01	.02	.02	.04	.02



								France regio	ns				Gen	der
		Total	Bretagne	Poitou Charentes	Aquitaine	Midi-Pyrénées	Limousin	Rhône-Alpes	Auvergne	Languedoc-Roussillon	Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur	Corse	Male	Female
Significance Level: 95%		Total	a	*b	C	d	*e	f f	*g	h	i	*i	a	b
ů .									-			,		
Unweighted Total		1037	56	21	55	43	6	104	23	46	83	5	487	547
Total		1034	53	29	53	48	12	103	22	44	81	5	500	534
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	412	27	11	23	23	6	46	7	21	38	2	222	189
		40%	50%	38%	42%	48%	50%	45%	30%	48%	47%	42%	44% b	35%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	323	15	10	21	15	2	23	8	10	19	2	153	170
		31%	28%	34%	40%	31%	16%	23%	35%	24%	23%	36%	31%	32%
					fi									
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	119	4	4	2	3	-	15	3	5	5	1	55	65
		12%	7%	14%	4%	7%	-	15%	13%	11%	6%	22%	11%	12%
								С						
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	87	7	1	5	3	2	11	2	4	10	-	33	55
		8%	13%	5%	9%	7%	16%	11%	9%	8%	13%	-	7%	10%
														а
Don't know		93	1	3	3	3	2	7	3	4	9	-	37	56
		9%	2%	9%	5%	7%	17%	7%	13%	8%	11%	-	7%	10%
											а			
NET: Agree		735	41	21	44	37	8	70	14	32	56	4	375	359
		71%	79%	72%	82%	78%	67%	68%	65%	72%	70%	78%	75%	67%
													b	
NET: Disagree		207	10	6	7	7	2	26	5	9	15	1	87	119
		20%	20%	19%	13%	14%		26%	22%	19%	19%	22%	18%	22%
Base for stats		941	52	26	51	44	10	96	19	40	71	5	463	478
Mean Score		3.12	3.19	3.16	3.22	3.28	3.21	3.09	2.99	3.22	3.17	3.21	3.22	3.03
						3.20	*-=-	2.00		0.22	0	*	b	
Standard Deviation		.960	1.041	.891	.910	.915	1.226	1.052	.982	.996	1.077	.861	.914	.994
Standard Error		.031	.140	.204	.126	.145	.548	.107	.220	.154	.125	.385	.043	.045
Error variance		*	.02	.04	.02	.02	.30	.01	.05	.02	.02	.15	*	*



				Age)	_			Age	
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
Unweighted Total	1037	106	145	165	173	174	274	251	338	448
Total	1034	108	159	165	178	165	259	267	343	424
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	412	33	51	69	72	69	118	84	141	187
	40%	31%	32%	42%	40%	42%	45% ab	31%	41% a	44% a
Tend to agree (3.0)	323	20	51	50	55	58	80	80	105	138
Teria to agree (3.0)	31%	29 27%	32%	31%	31%	35%	31%	30%	31%	33%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	119	16	34	15	21	14	19	50	36	33
(-14)	12%	15%	21%	9%	12%	9%	7%	19%	11%	8%
		f	cdef					bc		
Strongly disagree (1.0)	87 8%	15	13	15 9%	11	16 10%	18	28 11%	26	34 8%
	8%	14% df	8%	9%	6%	10%	7%	11%	7%	8%
Don't know	93	15	10	16	20	7	25	25	35	32
	9%	14%	6%	10%	11%	4%	10%	9%	10%	8%
		е			е		е			
NET: Agree	735 71%	62 57%	102 64%	119 72%	127 71%	128 77%	198 76%	164 61%	246 72%	325 77%
				а	а	ab	ab		а	a
NET: Disagree	207	32	47	30	32	30	36	79	62	66
	20%	29% cdef	30% cdef	18%	18%	18%	14%	29% bc	18%	16%
Base for stats Mean Score	941 3.12	93 2.86	149 2.94	149 3.16	159 3.19	158 3.15	234 3.27	242 2.91	308 3.17	391 3.22
				а	ab	а	ab		а	а
Standard Deviation	.960	1.084	.958	.971	.911	.959	.901	1.007	.939	.926
Standard Error Error variance	.031	.113 .01	.082 .01	.080 .01	.073 .01	.074 .01	.057	.067	.054	.046
Error variance		.01	.01	.01	.01	.01				



				Diet				
Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian		Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
	а	b		С	*d	*e	*f	*g
1037	901	126		65	18	24	11	8
1034 100%	900 100%	124 100%		63 100%	18 100%	24 100%	11 100%	7 100%
412 40%	374 42% bc	36 29%		18 28%	6 32%	8 33%	3 28%	1 16%
323 31%	274 30%	45 36%		27 42%	7 39%	6 26%	2 17%	3 41%
119 12%	103 11%	16 13%		7 12%	1 6%	4 17%	2 19%	1 15%
87 8%	76 8%	10 8%		3 4%	1 8%	4 16%	1 9%	1 15%
93 9%	74 8%	18 14% a		9 14%	3 15%	2 9%	3 27%	1 13%
735 71%	648 72%	81 65%		44 70%	13 72%	14 59%	5 46%	4 57%
207 20%	178 20%	26 21%		10 16%	2 13%	8 32%	3 28%	2 30%
941 3.12 .960 .031	826 3.15 .961 .033	106 3.00 .931 .090 .01		.817	16 3.14 .914 .236 .06	22 2.83 1.122 .239 .06	8 2.89 1.127 .399 .16	6 2.66 1.061 .401 .16
	1037 1034 100% 412 40% 323 31% 119 12% 87 8% 93 9% 735 71% 207 20% 941 3.12 960 .031	dairy and/or other animal products a 1037 901 1034 900 100% 100% 412 374 40% 42% bc 323 274 31% 30% 119 103 112% 111% 87 76 8% 8% 93 74 9% 8% 735 648 71% 72% 207 178 20% 20% 941 826 3.12 3.15 .960 .961 .031 .033	Description	Total Description of the ranimal products Total Description of the ranimal product Total Description of the r	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	Eat meat, fish, daily and/or other animal products NET: Reduced intake of animal products A



Q3_6. Humans should find a way to coexist with wolves: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? BASE: All respondents

		France regions												
						Basse							Pays de	
	Total	Île-de-France	Champagne-Ardenne	Picardie	Haute-Normandie	Centre	Normandie	Bourgogne	Nord-Pas-de-Calais	Lorraine	Alsace	Franche-Comté	la Loire	
Unweighted Total	1037	205	19	33	29	44	23	26	68	40	29	17	62	
Total	1034	194	22	31	30	42	24	27	66	39	30	19	59	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		100%	100%		
Strongly agree (4.0)	440	71	11	10	11	18	9	9	32	14	18	9	22	
	43%	37%	52%	33%	38%	43%	38%	35%	48%	37%	60%	47%	37%	
Tend to agree (3.0)	413	90	8	13	17	16	10	12	19	19	7	5	24	
	40%	46%	37%	43%	55%	39%	40%	46%	28%	49%	24%	24%	40%	
Tend to disagree (2.0)	75	14	-	5	1	2	4	3	9	1	2	1	4	
	7%	7%	-	15%	3%	5%	18%	12%	14%	2%	7%	6%	7%	
Strongly disagree (1.0)	44	9	-	1	1	3	1	1	2	2	1	3	4	
	4%	4%	-	3%	4%	7%	5%	4%	3%	5%	3%	18%	6%	
Don't know	62	11	2	2	-	3	-	1	4	2	2	1	6	
	6%	5%	11%	6%	-	7%	-	4%	6%	6%	6%	6%	9%	
NET: Agree	853	161	20	24	28	34	19	22	51	33	25	14	46	
	82%	83%	89%	76%	93%	82%	78%	81%	77%	86%	84%	71%	77%	
NET: Disagree	119	23	-	6	2	5	5	4	11	3	3	4	8	
	12%	12%	-	18%	7%	12%	22%	15%	17%	8%	10%	23%	13%	
Base for stats	972	184	20	30	30	39	24	26	62	36	29	18	54	
Mean Score	3.28	3.22	3.58	3.13	3.28	3.26	3.11	3.17	3.30	3.26	3.49	3.07	3.20	
Standard Deviation	.794	.779	.506	.799	.702	.869	.872	.793	.848	.777	.786	1.176	.859	
Standard Error	.025	.056	.123	.143	.130	.136	.182	.159	.106	.126	.151	.294	.115	
Error variance	*	*	.02	.02	.02	.02	.03	.03	.01	.02	.02	.09	.01	



Q3_6. Humans should find a way to coexist with wolves: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? BASE: All respondents

		France regions										Gender	
	Total	Bretagne	Poitou Charentes	Aquitaine	Midi-Pyrénées	Limousin	Rhône-Alpes	Auvergne	Languedoc-Roussillon	Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur	Corse	Male	Female
Significance Level: 95%	Total	a	*b	C	d	*e	f	*g	h	i	*i	а	b
Unweighted Total	1037	56	21	55	43	6	104	23	46	83	5	487	547
											_		
Total	1034 100%	53 100%	29 100%	53 100%	48 100%	12 100%	103 100%	22 100%	44 100%	81 100%	5 100%	500 100%	534 100%
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	440	25	13	21	21	8	48	8	20	38	1	216	224
	43%	47%	43%	40%	43%	67%	47%		46%	47%	22%	43%	42%
Tend to agree (3.0)	413	21	14	23	23	2	31	10	18	28	3	209	203
	40%	40%	47%	42%	47%	16%	30%	47%	41%	35%	60%	42%	38%
					f								
Tend to disagree (2.0)	75	3	3	5	1	-	10	1	3	3	1	35	40
	7%	6%	9%	9%	2%	-	10%	4%	6%	4%	19%	7%	8%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	44	3	-	3	-	2	4	1	1	3	-	17	27
	4%	6%	-	5%	-	16%	4%	4%	2%	4%	-	3%	5%
Don't know	62	1	-	2	3	-	10	2	2	9	-	23	39
	6%	2%	-	3%	7%	-	10%	9%	4%	11%	-	5%	7%
										а			
NET: Agree	853	46	26	44	43	10	79	18	38	66	4	425	428
	82%	87%	91%	82%	91%	84%	77%	83%	87%	82%	81%	85%	80%
												b	
NET: Disagree	119	6	3	8	1	2	14	2	4	6	1	52	67
	12%	11%	9%	14%	2%	16%	13%	8%	8%	7%	19%	10%	13%
				d			d						
Base for stats	972	52	29	52	44	12	93	20	42	72	5	477	495
Mean Score	3.28	3.31	3.34	3.22	3.44	3.34	3.33	3.26	3.37	3.41	3.03	3.31	3.26
Standard Deviation	.794	.823	.654	.830	.549	1.151	.831	.762	.715	.753	.706	.758	.827
Standard Error	.025	.111	.143	.114	.087	.470	.086	.166	.108	.088	.316	.035	.037
Error variance	*	.01	.02	.01	.01	.22	.01	.03	.01	.01	.10	*	*



Q3_6. Humans should find a way to coexist with wolves: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? BASE: All respondents

				Age						
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
Unweighted Total	1037	106	145	165	173	174	274	251	338	448
Total	1034	108	159	165	178	165	259	267	343	424
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.0)		47	70	66	90	72	95	116	156	168
	43%	43%	44%	40%	50% f	44%	37%	44%	46%	40%
Tend to agree (3.0)		36	62	70	61	77	107	97	131	185
	40%	33%	39%	42%	34%	47% ad	42%	36%	38%	44%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	75 7%	6 6%	14 9%	11 7%	12 7%	7 4%	24 9%	20 8%	23 7%	32 8%
	1%	0%	9%	1%	1%	4%	9%	8%	1%	8%
Strongly disagree (1.0)		7	7	8	5	6	11	14	13	18
	4%	7%	4%	5%	3%	4%	4%	5%	4%	4%
Don't know	62	12	8	10	10	2	20	20	20	22
	6%	11%	5%	6%	6%	1%	8%	7%	6%	5%
		е	е	е	е		е			
NET: Agree	853	82	131	136	151	150	203	213	287	352
	82%	76%	82%	83%	85%	91% abcf	78%	80%	84%	83%
NET: Disagree	119 12%	13 12%	20 13%	19 11%	17 10%	14 8%	36 14%	34 13%	36 11%	49 12%
Base for stats	972	96	152	155	168	163	239	247	323	402
Mean Score	3.28	3.27	3.28	3.26	3.40 f	3.32	3.20	3.28	3.33	3.25
Standard Deviation	.794	.886	.804	.800	.752	.739	.807	.835	.778	.781
Standard Error	.025	.091	.068	.064	.059	.056	.051	.055	.044	.038
Error variance	*	.01	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*



Q3_6. Humans should find a way to coexist with wolves: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? BASE: All respondents

						Diet				
			Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal	NET: Reduced intake of animal						
		Total	products	products	Flexetarian/reducetarian		Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%			а	b		С	*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total		1037	901	126		65	18	24	11	8
Total		1034	900	124		63	18	24	11	7
		100%	100%	100%		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	440	381	56		28	5	14	6	3
		43%	42%	45%		44%	27%	59%	56%	42%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	413	368	42		24	7	4	3	4
		40%	41%	33%		37%	39%	16%	27%	58%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	75	62	12		5	3	3	1	-
		7%	7%	10%		8%	18%	12%	9%	-
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	44	38	5		4	-	1	-	-
		4%	4%	4%		7%	-	4%	-	-
Don't know		62	51	9		3	3	2	1	-
		6%	6%	7%		4%	16%	9%	9%	-
NET: Agree		853	749	98		51	12	18	9	7
		82%	83%	79%		81%	66%	75%	82%	100%
NET: Disagree		119	100	18		9	3	4	1	-
		12%	11%	14%		15%	18%	16%	9%	-
Base for stats		972	849	115		61	15	22	10	7
Mean Score		3.28	3.29	3.29		3.23	3.10	3.42	3.51	3.42
Standard Deviation		.794	.786	.837		.884	.753	.906	.704	.531
Standard Error		.025	.027	.077		.112	.194	.193	.223	.188
Error variance		*	*	.01		.01	.04	.04	.05	.04



Q4 Summary: To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable? BASE: All respondents

Unweighted Total
Killing wolves to control their population size
Killing wolves that have attacked farm animals
Killing wolves to decrease the pressure on populations of other animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)
Killing wolves for their fur
Killing wolves for leisure

Total	Always acceptable	Sometimes acceptable	Rarely acceptable	Never acceptable	Don't know	NET: At least sometimes acceptable	NET: Rarely/never acceptable
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1034	84	299	247	327	78	383	573
100%	8%	29%	24%	32%	8%	37%	55%
1034	97	262	315	272	87	359	587
100%	9%	25%	30%	26%	8%	35%	57%
1034	57	263	278	315	121	320	593
100%	5%	25%	27%	30%	12%	31%	57%
1034	20	32	57	902	24	52	959
100%	2%	3%	5%	87%	2%	5%	93%
1034	18	28	51	911	26	46	962
100%	2%	3%	5%	88%	3%	4%	93%



Q4 Nets summary (At least sometimes acceptable): To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable?

BASE: All respondents

		-			-		France region	ıs		
	Total	Île-de-France	Champagne-Ardenne	Picardie	Haute-Normandie	Centre	Basse Normandie	Bourgogne	Nord-Pas-de-Calais	Lorraine
Unweighted Total	1037	205	19	33	29	44	23	26	68	40
Total	1034 100%	194 100%	22 100%	31 100%	30 100%	42 100%	24 100%	27 100%	66 100%	39 100%
Killing wolves to control their population size	383 37%	84 43%	3 16%	13 43%	10 33%	20 48%	7 30%	6 23%	20 30%	18 47%
Killing wolves that have attacked farm animals	359 35%	74 38%	3 16%	14 45%	8 26%	17 41%	6 26%	7 27%	22 34%	11 27%
Killing wolves to decrease the pressure on populations of other animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	320 31%	69 36%	2 11%	9 30%	9 30%	15 36%	5 22%	6 23%	17 26%	11 28%
Killing wolves for their fur	52 5%	18 9%	1 5%	-	-	1 2%	1 5%	1 4%	3 5%	1 2%
Killing wolves for leisure	46 4%	19 10%	1 5%	-	-	1 2%	1 5%	1 4%	5 8%	3 7%



Pays de

la Loire

62

100%

14

24% 17

29%

12

21%

2%

Alsace

100%

12

14

48%

11

35%

10%

Franche-Comté

17

19

100%

6

29%

8

41%

6

29%

6%

6%

Significance Level: 95%
Unweighted Total
Total
Killing wolves to control their population size
Killing wolves that have attacked farm animals
Killing wolves to decrease the pressure on populations of other animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares) Killing wolves for their fur
Killing wolves for leisure

		France regions												
	Total	Bretagne	Poitou Charentes	Aquitaine	Midi-Pyrénées	Limousin	Rhône-Alpes	Auvergne	Languedoc-Roussillon	Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur	Corse	Male	Female	
		а	*b	С	d	*e	f	*g	h	i	*j	а	b	
	1037	56	21	55	43	6	104	23	46	83	5	487	547	
	1034	53	29	53	48	12	103	22	44	81	5	500	534	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
	383	21	17	18	21	6	42	7	12	22	1	241	142	
	37%	41%	57%	34%	45% i	50%	41% i	33%	28%	27%	21%	48% b	27%	
	359	20	13	18	19	8	39	7	10	20	3	206	153	
	35%	37%	43%	35%	40%	67%	38% i	34%	23%	24%	57%	41% b	29%	
	320	21	6	22	22	6	34	6	6	20	4	209	111	
'	31%	40% h	19%	42% hi	45% hi	50%	33% h	26%	13%	25%	78%	42% b	21%	
	52	2	3	4	-	-	4	1	3	3	-	33	19	
	5%	4%	10%	8%	-	-	4%	4%	6%	4%	-	7% b	4%	
	46	1	1	4	1	-	1	1	2	2	-	25	21	
	4%	2%	5%	8% f	2%	-	1%	4%	4%	3%	-	5%	4%	



Significance Level:	95%
Unweighted Total	
Total	
Killing wolves to cor	ntrol their population size
Killing wolves that h	ave attacked farm animals
	crease the pressure on populations of other animals I chain (e.g. deer or hares)
Killing wolves for the	eir fur
Killing wolves for lei	sure

			Age					Age	
Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
	а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
1037	106	145	165	173	174	274	251	338	448
1034	108	159	165	178	165	259	267	343	424
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
383	21	41	47	69	73	131	62	116	205
37%	19%	26%	28%	39%	44%	51%	23%	34%	48%
				abc	abc	abcd		а	ab
359	25	46	50	57	61	120	72	106	181
35%	24%	29%	30%	32%	37%	46%	27%	31%	43%
					а	abcd			ab
320	27	35	46	55	55	102	63	101	157
31%	25%	22%	28%	31%	33%	39%	23%	29%	37%
					b	abc			ab
52	6	17	11	6	8	4	23	17	11
5%	6%	11%	6%	4%	5%	1%	9%	5%	3%
	f	def	f		f		С		
46	7	12	14	7	3	3	19	21	6
4%	7%	7%	8%	4%	2%	1%	7%	6%	1%
	ef	ef	ef	f			С	С	



Significance Level: 95%
Unweighted Total
Total
Killing wolves to control their population size
Killing wolves that have attacked farm animals
Killing wolves to decrease the pressure on populations of other animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)
Killing wolves for their fur
Killing wolves for leisure

				Diet				
Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian		Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
	а	b		С	*d	*e	*f	*g
1037	901	126		65	18	24	11	8
1034	900	124		63	18	24	11	7
100%	100%	100%		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
383 37% 359 35% 320 31%	337 37% 317 35% 282 31%	44 35% 40 32% 34 28%		21 33% 19 30% 16 25%	9 47% 8 41% 6 34%	8 34% 9 38% 8 34%	4 36% 2 18% 3 28%	2 29% 2 29% 1 14%
52	40	12		3	3	4	2	-
5%	4%	9% a		5%	17%	15%	18%	-
46	32	14		4	3	3	-	3
4%	4%	11%		6%	18%	13%	-	43%
		а						



							France region	ns					
	Total	Île-de-France	Champagne-Ardenne	Picardie	Haute-Normandie	Centre	Basse Normandie	Bourgogne	Nord-Pas-de-Calais	Lorraine	Alsace	Franche-Comté	Pays de la Loire
Unweighted Total	1037	205	19	33	29	44	23	26	68	40	29	17	62
Total	1034	194	22	31	30	42	24	27	66	39	30	19	59
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Killing wolves for leisure	962	169	20	30	29	41	23	26	60	35	30	17	56
	93%	87%	89%	97%	96%	98%	95%	96%	91%	90%	100%	88%	95%
Killing wolves to control their population size	573	103	14	17	18	20	16	20	43	20	18	11	38
	55%	53%	63%	54%	60%	47%	66%	73%	65%	53%	59%	59%	65%
Killing wolves that have attacked farm animals	587	100	16	15	19	25	15	18	41	26	15	9	37
	57%	51%	74%	49%	64%	59%	61%	66%	62%	68%	49%	47%	63%
Killing wolves for their fur	959	173	20	30	30	41	22	24	61	38	27	17	56
	93%	89%	89%	97%	100%	98%	91%	89%	92%	98%	90%	88%	95%
Killing wolves to decrease the pressure on populations of other animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	593	104	15	19	20	23	17	18	43	27	16	10	37
	57%	53%	68%	61%	67%	55%	70%	66%	65%	70%	52%	53%	63%



			France regions											
	Total	Bretagne	Poitou Charentes	Aquitaine	Midi-Pyrénées	Limousin	Rhône-Alpes	Auvergne	Languedoc-Roussillon	Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur	Corse	Male	Female	
Significance Level: 95%		а	*b	С	d	*e	f	*g	h	i	*j	а	b	
Unweighted Total	1037	56	21	55	43	6	104	23	46	83	5	487	547	
Total	1034	53	29	53	48	12	103	22	44	81	5	500	534	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Killing wolves for leisure	962	51	28	48	45	12	101	20	41	74	5	463	499	
	93%	96%	95%	91%	95%	100%	98% c	91%	94%	92%	100%	93%	93%	
Killing wolves to control their population size	573	27	11	31	20	6	49	12	24	51	4	229	345	
	55%	50%	38%	58%	43%	50%	48%	54%	54%	63% df	79%	46%	64% a	
Killing wolves that have attacked farm animals	587	30	15	32	20	4	55	10	29	53	2	259	328	
	57%	58%	52%	60%	43%	33%	53%	45%	66%	66%	43%	52%	61%	
									d	d			а	
Killing wolves for their fur	959	51	26	48	46	12	96	20	40	74	5	456	503	
	93%	96%	90%	91%	98%	100%	93%	91%	92%	92%	100%	91%	94%	
Killing wolves to decrease the pressure on	593	29	19	25	20	6	54	11	30	48	1	249	344	
populations of other animals lower down the food	57%	55%	66%	47%	43%	50%	52%	49%	70%	60%	22%	50%	64%	
chain (e.g. deer or hares)									cdf				а	



Significance Level: 95%
Unweighted Total
Total
Killing wolves for leisure
Killing wolves to control their population size
Killing wolves that have attacked farm animals
Killing wolves for their fur
Killing wolves to decrease the pressure on populations of other animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)

			Age)				Age	
Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
	а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
1037	106	145	165	173	174	274	251	338	448
1034	108	159	165	178	165	259	267	343	424
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
962	94	143	144	167	161	253	237	311	414
93%	87%	90%	88%	94%	97% abc	98% abcd	89%	91%	98% ab
573	77	107	103	99	81	106	184	202	187
55%	71%	67%	63%	56%	49%	41%	69%	59%	44%
	def	def	ef	f			bc	С	
587	76	100	101	105	89	116	176	206	205
57%	71%	63%	61%	59%	54%	45%	66%	60%	48%
	def	f	f	f			С	С	
959	96	138	149	169	156	251	234	318	407
93%	89%	87%	91%	95%	94%	97%	88%	93%	96%
				b	b	abc		а	ab
593	70	106	96	105	96	121	176	200	217
57%	65%	67%	58%	59%	58%	47%	66%	58%	51%
	f	f	f	f	f		С	С	



Significance Level: 95%
Unweighted Total
Total
Killing wolves for leisure
Killing wolves to control their population size
Killing wolves that have attacked farm animals
Killing wolves for their fur
Killing wolves to decrease the pressure on populations of other animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)

				Diet				
Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal	NET: Reduced intake of animal	Flexetarian/reducetarian		Deinstea	Pescatarian	Venetories	Veren
Total	products	products b	Flexetarian/reducetarian		Dairy-free *d	*e	Vegetarian *f	Vegan
	а	D		С	ď	е	į.	*g
1037	901	126		65	18	24	11	8
1034	900	124		63	18	24	11	7
100%	100%	100%		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
962	849	105		58	14	19	10	4
93%	94%	85%		92%	77%	78%	91%	57%
	b							
573	494	74		37	10	15	7	5
55%	55%	59%		58%	53%	61%	64%	71%
587	508	74		41	8	13	8	4
57%	56%	60%		65%	44%	53%	73%	56%
959	842	108		59	14	20	8	7
93%	94%	87%		94%	77%	80%	73%	100%
	b							
593	511	78		43	10	12	7	6
57%	57%	63%		68%	56%	49%	63%	86%



Q4_1. Killing wolves for leisure: To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable or not? BASE: All respondents

								France region	ns					
		T	î	01 1	D: "			Basse		N 15 1 0 1 :			F 1 0 11	Pays de
		Total	Île-de-France	Champagne-Ardenne	Picardie	Haute-Normandie	Centre	Normandie	Bourgogne	Nord-Pas-de-Calais	Lorraine	Alsace	Franche-Comté	la Loire
Unweighted Total		1037	205	19	33	29	44	23	26	68	40	29	17	62
Total		1034	194	22	31	30	42	24	27	66	39	30	19	59
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Always acceptable	(4.0)	18	8	-	-	-	1	-	1	2	-	-	-	-
		2%	4%	-	-	-	2%	-	4%	3%	-	-	-	-
Sometimes acceptable	(3.0)	28	11	1	-	-	-	1	-	3	3	-	1	-
		3%	6%	5%	-	-	-	5%	-	5%	7%	-	6%	-
Rarely acceptable	(2.0)	51	8	-	1	1	3	1	1	2	2	1	2	3
		5%	4%	-	3%	3%	7%	5%	4%	3%	5%	3%	11%	5%
Never acceptable	(1.0)	911	161	20	29	28	38	22	25	58	33	29	15	53
		88%	83%	89%	94%	93%	91%	91%	92%	88%	85%	97%	77%	90%
Don't know		26	6	1	1	1	-	-	-	1	1	-	1	3
		3%	3%	5%	3%	4%	-	-	-	2%	2%	-	6%	5%
NET: At least sometimes acceptable		46	19	1	-	-	1	1	1	5	3	-	1	-
		4%	10%	5%	-	-	2%	5%	4%	8%	7%	-	6%	-
NET: Rarely/never acceptable		962	169	20	30	29	41	23	26	60	35	30	17	56
		93%	87%	89%	97%	96%	98%	95%	96%	91%	90%	100%	88%	95%
Base for stats		1008	188	21	30	29	42	24	27	65	38	30	18	56
Mean Score		1.16	1.29	1.11	1.03	1.03	1.14	1.14	1.15	1.22	1.20	1.03	1.24	1.05
Standard Deviation		.544	.764	.475	.182	.179	.508	.474	.590	.682	.563	.184	.569	.223
Standard Error		.017	.054	.112	.032	.034	.077	.099	.116	.083	.090	.034	.142	.029
Error variance		*	*	.01	*	*	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	*	.02	*



								France regio	ns				Gen	der
		Total	Bretagne	Poitou Charentes	Aquitaine	Midi-Pyrénées	Limousin	Rhône-Alpes	Auvergne	Languedoc-Roussillon	Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur	Corse	Male	Female
Significance Level: 95%		TOTAL	a	*b	Aquitaine C	d d	*e	f f	*g	Languedoc-Roussillon h	i Froverice-Aipes-Cote d'Azui	*i	a	b
Harrishte d Tatal		4007		0.4		40		404	_	40	99	-	407	5.47
Unweighted Total		1037	56	21	55	43	6	104	23	46	83	5	487	547
Total		1034	53	29	53	48	12	103	22	44	81	5	500	534
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Always acceptable	(4.0)	18	1	_	1	-	-	1	1	1	1	_	8	10
	` ′	2%	2%	-	2%	-	-	1%	4%	2%	1%	-	2%	2%
Sometimes acceptable	(3.0)	28	-	1	3	1	-	-	-	1	1	-	16	11
		3%	-	5%	6% f	2%	-	-	-	2%	1%	-	3%	2%
Davida a caratable	(2.0)	F.4				2		0			0		0.4	00
Rarely acceptable	(2.0)	51 5%	4 7%	4 14%	2 4%	2 5%	-	9 9%	-		3 4%	21%	31 6%	20 4%
		070	1 /0	1470	470	370		h			470	2170	0,0	470
Never acceptable	(1.0)	911	47	24	46	43	12	92	20	41	71	4	433	479
		88%	89%	81%	87%	90%	100%	89%	91%	94%	88%	79%	87%	90%
Don't know		26	1	-	1	1	-	1	1	1	4	-	11	15
		3%	2%	-	2%	2%	-	1%	5%	2%	5%	-	2%	3%
NET: At least sometimes acceptable		46	1	1	4	1	-	1	1	2	2	-	25	21
		4%	2%	5%	8% f	2%	-	1%	4%	4%	3%	-	5%	4%
NET: Rarely/never acceptable		962 93%	51 96%	28 95%	48 91%	45 95%	12 100%	101 98%	20 91%	41 94%	74 92%	5 100%	463 93%	499 93%
		93%	90%	95%	9176	93%	100%	96% C	9176	94%	92%	100%	93%	93%
Base for stats		1008	52	29	52	46	12	102	21	43	76	5	488	520
Mean Score		1.16	1.13	1.24	1.21	1.10	1.00	1.12	1.13	1.11	1.11	1.21	1.18	1.14
Standard Deviation		.544	.488	.535	.637	.378	-	.407	.619	.524	.461	.450	.566	.523
Standard Error		.017	.066	.117	.087	.058	-	.040	.132	.078	.052	.201	.026	.023
Error variance		*	*	.01	.01	*	-	*	.02	.01	*	.04	*	*



Q4_1. Killing wolves for leisure: To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable or not? BASE: All respondents

	[Age)	_			Age	
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%			а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
Unweighted Total		1037	106	145	165	173	174	274	251	338	448
Total		1034	108	159	165	178	165	259	267	343	424
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Always acceptable	(4.0)	18	3	5	5	3	1	1	8	8	2
		2%	3%	3%	3%	2%	1%	*	3%	2%	*
			f	f	f				С	С	
Sometimes acceptable	(3.0)	28	4	7	9	4	2	2	11	13	4
		3%	4%	4%	5%	2%	1%	1%	4%	4%	1%
			f	t	ef				С	С	
Rarely acceptable	(2.0)	51	4	10	10	8	6	12	14	18	18
		5%	4%	6%	6%	5%	4%	5%	5%	5%	4%
Never acceptable	(1.0)	911	90	133	134	159	155	241	223	293	396
		88%	83%	83%	81%	89%	94%	93%	83%	85%	93%
						С	abc	abc			ab
Don't know		26	6	5	7	4	2	3	11	11	4
		3%	6%	3%	4%	2%	1%	1%	4%	3%	1%
			ef		T				С	С	
NET: At least sometimes acceptable		46	7	12	14	7	3	3	19	21	6
		4%	7% ef	7% ef	8% ef	4% f	2%	1%	7% c	6%	1%
			ei		ei	•				С	
NET: Rarely/never acceptable		962	94	143	144	167	161	253	237	311	414
		93%	87%	90%	88%	94%	97% abc	98% abcd	89%	91%	98% ab
Base for stats		1008	102	155	158	174	163	256	256	332	420
Mean Score		1.16	1.22 ef	1.25 ef	1.27 ef	1.15	1.08	1.07	1.24 c	1.20 c	1.07
Standard Deviation		.544	.668	.695	.702	.525	.354	.326	.683	.618	.337
Standard Error		.017	.067	.059	.056	.040	.027	.020	.044	.034	.016
Error variance		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*



Q4_1. Killing wolves for leisure: To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable or not? BASE: All respondents

						Diet				
		Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian		Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%			а	b		С	*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total		1037	901	126		65	18	24	11	8
Total		1034 100%	900 100%	124 100%		63 100%	18 100%	24 100%	11 100%	7 100%
Always acceptable	(4.0)	18 2%	15 2%	3 3%		1 2%	1 6%	1 4%	-	-
Sometimes acceptable	(3.0)	28 3%	17 2%	10 8% a		3 5%	2 12%	2 9%	-	3 43%
Rarely acceptable	(2.0)	51 5%	42 5%	9 7%		1 2%	1 8%	3 14%	2 18%	1 15%
Never acceptable	(1.0)	911 88%	807 90% b	97 78%		57 90% b	13 70%	16 64%	8 73%	3 42%
Don't know		26 3%	19 2%	5 4%		1 2%	1 5%	2 9%	1 9%	-
NET: At least sometimes acceptable		46 4%	32 4%	14 11% a		4 6%	3 18%	3 13%		3 43%
NET: Rarely/never acceptable		962 93%	849 94% b	105 85%		58 92%	14 77%	19 78%	10 91%	4 57%
Base for stats Mean Score		1008 1.16	881 1.14	119 1.33 a		62 1.16	18 1.51	22 1.48	10 1.20	7 2.01
Standard Deviation Standard Error Error variance		.544 .017 *	.510 .017 *	.747 .068 *		.581 .073 .01	.960 .233 .05	.866 .185 .03	.420 .133 .02	.990 .350 .12



Q4_2. Killing wolves to control their population size: To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable or not? BASE: All respondents

								France region	ns					
			î . –					Basse	_					Pays de
		Total	Île-de-France	Champagne-Ardenne	Picardie	Haute-Normandie	Centre	Normandie	Bourgogne	Nord-Pas-de-Calais	Lorraine	Alsace	Franche-Comté	la Loire
Unweighted Total		1037	205	19	33	29	44	23	26	68	40	29	17	62
Total		1034	194	22	31	30	42	24	27	66	39	30	19	59
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Always acceptable	(4.0)	84	16	-	-	3	2	3	2	8	2	2	3	1
		8%	8%	-	-	10%	4%	13%	8%	11%	5%	7%	17%	2%
Sometimes acceptable	(3.0)	299	68	3	13	7	18	4	4	13	16	10	2	13
		29%	35%	16%	43%	23%	44%	18%	15%	19%	43%	34%	12%	22%
Rarely acceptable	(2.0)	247	44	3	6	7	12	6	5	14	10	7	5	17
		24%	23%	15%	19%	25%	29%	27%	19%	21%	25%	24%	24%	29%
Never acceptable	(1.0)	327	59	11	11	11	7	9	14	29	11	11	7	21
		32%	30%	48%	35%	36%	18%	39%	54%	44%	27%	36%	36%	36%
Don't know		78	8	5	1	2	2	1	1	3	-	-	2	7
		8%	4%	21%	3%	7%	5%	4%	4%	5%	-	-	12%	11%
NET: At least sometimes acceptable		383	84	3	13	10	20	7	6	20	18	12	6	14
		37%	43%	16%	43%	33%	48%	30%	23%	30%	47%	41%	29%	24%
NET: Rarely/never acceptable		573	103	14	17	18	20	16	20	43	20	18	11	38
		55%	53%	63%	54%	60%	47%	66%	73%	65%	53%	59%	59%	65%
Base for stats		956	186	17	30	28	40	23	26	63	39	30	17	52
Mean Score		2.15	2.22	1.59	2.08	2.08	2.36	2.04	1.76	1.97	2.25	2.12	2.12	1.88
Standard Deviation		.993	.988	.822	.911	1.044	.847	1.079	1.010	1.077	.923	.994	1.177	.850
Standard Error		.032	.071	.212	.161	.201	.131	.230	.202	.134	.146	.185	.304	.115
Error variance		*	*	.05	.03	.04	.02	.05	.04	.02	.02	.03	.09	.01



Q4_2. Killing wolves to control their population size: To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable or not?

	[France region	ns				Gen	der
		Total	Bretagne	Poitou Charentes	Aquitaine	Midi-Pyrénées	Limousin	Rhône-Alpes	Auvergne	Languedoc-Roussillon	Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur	Corse	Male	Female
Significance Level: 95%			а	*b	С	d	*e	f	*g	h	i	*j	а	b
Unweighted Total		1037	56	21	55	43	6	104	23	46	83	5	487	547
Total		1034	53	29	53	48	12	103	22	44	81	5	500	534
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Always acceptable	(4.0)	84	6	1	5	5	4	13	2	2	6	-	62	23
		8%	11%	5%	9%	10%	33%	13%	9%	4%	7%	-	12% b	4%
Sometimes acceptable	(3.0)	299	16	15	14	17	2	29	5	10	16	1	179	119
	(2-2)	29%	30%	53%	25%	35%	17%	28%	25%	24%	20%	21%	36%	22%
													b	
Rarely acceptable	(2.0)	247 24%	13 25%	8 29%	16 29%	7 14%	2 17%	19 18%	6 27%	11 24%	25 30%	4 79%	114 23%	133 25%
		2470	2570	2570	2570	1470	17 70	1070	21 70	2470	df	7570	2570	2570
Never acceptable	(1.0)	327	13	3	15	14	4	30	6	13	26	-	115	212
		32%	25%	10%	29%	29%	33%	30%	27%	30%	33%	-	23%	40% a
Don't know		78	5	1	4	6	_	12	3	8	8	_	30	48
		8%	9%	5%	7%	12%	-	11%	13%	17%	10%	-	6%	9%
NET: At least sometimes acceptable		383	21	17	18	21	6	42	7	12	22	1	241	142
		37%	41%	57%	34%	45% i	50%	41% i	33%	28%	27%	21%	48% b	27%
NET: Rarely/never acceptable		573	27	11	31	20	6	49	12	24	51	4	229	345
		55%	50%	38%	58%	43%	50%	48%	54%	54%	63% df	79%	46%	64% a
Base for stats		956	48	28	49	42	12	91	19	36	73	5	470	487
Mean Score		2.15	2.29	2.55	2.16	2.30	2.49	2.27	2.17	2.02	2.01	2.21	2.40	1.90
													b	
Standard Deviation Standard Error		.993 .032	1.005 .141	.752 .168	.985 .138	1.053 .171	1.311 .535	1.079 .112	1.004 .225	.945 .153	.950 .110	.450 .201	.996 .047	.927 .042
Error variance		.032	.02	.03	.02	.03	.29	.01	.05	.02	.01	.04	.047	.042
	L		.02		.02	.00	.20			.02		.0.		



Q4_2. Killing wolves to control their population size: To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable or not?

					Age		_			Age	
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%			а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
Unweighted Total		1037	106	145	165	173	174	274	251	338	448
Total		1034	108	159	165	178	165	259	267	343	424
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Always acceptable	(4.0)	84	4	9	9	12	17	33	13	21	50
		8%	4%	5%	5%	7%	10%	13%	5%	6%	12%
								abc			ab
Sometimes acceptable	(3.0)	299	17	32	38	57	56	99	49	95	155
		29%	15%	20%	23%	32% ab	34% abc	38% abc	18%	28% a	37% ab
Rarely acceptable	(2.0)	247 24%	29 27%	45 28%	50 31%	38 22%	38 23%	47 18%	74 28%	89 26%	84 20%
		2470	21 /0	f	f	22/0	2570	1070	C	C	2070
Never acceptable	(1.0)	327	48	62	53	61	43	60	110	114	103
		32%	44%	39%	32%	34%	26%	23%	41%	33%	24%
			cef	ef	f	f			bc	С	
Don't know		78	10	11	15	10	11	21	22	25	32
		8%	10%	7%	9%	6%	7%	8%	8%	7%	7%
NET: At least sometimes acceptable		383	21	41	47	69	73	131	62	116	205
		37%	19%	26%	28%	39%	44%	51%	23%	34%	48%
						abc	abc	abcd		а	ab
NET: Rarely/never acceptable		573	77	107	103	99	81	106	184	202	187
		55%	71%	67%	63%	56%	49%	41%	69%	59%	44%
			def	def	ef	f			bc	С	
Base for stats		956	98	148	150	168	154	238	246	318	392
Mean Score		2.15	1.77	1.92	2.02	2.12	2.31	2.44	1.86	2.07	2.39
Standard Deviation		.993	.888	.932	a .922	a .991	abc 1.002	abcd 1.015	.916	a .959	ab 1.010
Standard Deviation Standard Error		.032	.888 .091	.080	.922 .075	.078	.079	.064	.916	.959	.050
Error variance		.032	.091	.080	.075	.076	.01	.064	.000	.034	.030
	L										



Q4_2. Killing wolves to control their population size: To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable or not?

						Diet				
		Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian		Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%			а	b		С	*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total		1037	901	126		65	18	24	11	8
Total		1034 100%	900 100%	124 100%		63 100%	18 100%	24 100%	11 100%	7 100%
Always acceptable	(4.0)	84 8%	71 8%	11 9%		2 3%	3 18%	4 17%	2 18%	-
Sometimes acceptable	(3.0)	299 29%	265 29%	32 26%		19 30%	5 28%	4 17%	2 17%	2 29%
Rarely acceptable	(2.0)	247 24%	221 25%	24 19%		12 18%	2 11%	6 24%	2 19%	2 29%
Never acceptable	(1.0)	327 32%	272 30%	50 40% a		25 40%	8 43%	9 37%	5 46%	3 42%
Don't know		78 8%	70 8%	7 6%		6 9%		1 5%		-
NET: At least sometimes acceptable		383 37%	337 37%	44 35%		21 33%	9 47%	8 34%	4 36%	2 29%
NET: Rarely/never acceptable		573 55%	494 55%	74 59%		37 58%	10 53%	15 61%	7 64%	5 71%
Base for stats Mean Score Standard Deviation		956 2.15 .993	830 2.16 .982	117 2.04 1.047		58 1.96 .959	18 2.22 1.213	23 2.14 1.141	11 2.08 1.224	7 1.87 .896
Standard Error Error variance		.032	.034	.096 .01		.125 .02	.286 .08	.238 .06	.369 .14	.317 .10



Q4_3. Killing wolves that have attacked farm animals: To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable or not? BASE: All respondents

								France region	ns					
		T.	î	0	D: "			Basse		N 15 1 01:			F 1 0 11	Pays de
		Total	Île-de-France	Champagne-Ardenne	Picardie	Haute-Normandie	Centre	Normandie	Bourgogne	Nord-Pas-de-Calais	Lorraine	Alsace	Franche-Comté	la Loire
Unweighted Total		1037	205	19	33	29	44	23	26	68	40	29	17	62
Total		1034	194	22	31	30	42	24	27	66	39	30	19	59
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Always acceptable	(4.0)	97	25	1	3	2	4	3	2	6	-	3	3	3
		9%	13%	5%	9%	6%	9%	13%	8%	9%	-	11%	17%	5%
Sometimes acceptable	(3.0)	262	49	2	11	6	13	3	5	17	11	11	5	14
		25%	25%	11%	36%	19%	32%	13%	19%	25%	27%	37%	24%	24%
Rarely acceptable	(2.0)	315	57	8	6	10	19	12	10	19	14	6	6	16
		30%	29%	37%	19%	32%	45%	49%	38%	28%	35%	21%	29%	27%
Never acceptable	(1.0)	272	43	8	10	10	6	3	8	22	13	8	3	21
		26%	22%	37%	30%	32%	13%	12%	28%	33%	33%	28%	18%	36%
Don't know		87	21	2	2	3	-	3	2	3	2	1	2	5
		8%	11%	10%	6%	11%	-	13%	7%	5%	5%	4%	12%	8%
NET: At least sometimes acceptable		359	74	3	14	8	17	6	7	22	11	14	8	17
		35%	38%	16%	45%	26%	41%	26%	27%	34%	27%	48%	41%	29%
NET: Rarely/never acceptable		587	100	16	15	19	25	15	18	41	26	15	9	37
		57%	51%	74%	49%	64%	59%	61%	66%	62%	68%	49%	47%	63%
Base for stats		947	174	20	30	27	42	21	25	63	37	29	17	54
Mean Score		2.19	2.32	1.83	2.25	2.00	2.37	2.30	2.07	2.10	1.94	2.32	2.46	1.97
Standard Deviation		.969	1.002	.883	1.032	.944	.836	.906	.929	.994	.804	1.024	1.054	.934
Standard Error		.031	.074	.214	.185	.185	.126	.203	.190	.123	.130	.194	.272	.124
Error variance		*	.01	.05	.03	.03	.02	.04	.04	.02	.02	.04	.07	.02



Q4_3. Killing wolves that have attacked farm animals: To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable or not?

								France regio	ns				Gen	der
		Total	Bretagne	Poitou Charentes	Aquitaine	Midi-Pyrénées	Limousin	Rhône-Alpes	Auvergne	Languedoc-Roussillon	Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur	Corse	Male	Female
Significance Level: 95%			а	*b	С	d	*e	f	*g	h	i	*j	а	b
Unweighted Total		1037	56	21	55	43	6	104	23	46	83	5	487	547
Total		1034	53	29	53	48	12	103	22	44	81	5	500	534
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Always acceptable	(4.0)	97	7	1	7	5	2	11	2	1	6	1	55	42
		9%	14% h	5%	13%	9%	16%	11%	8%	2%	7%	21%	11%	8%
Sometimes acceptable	(3.0)	262	12	11	12	15	6	28	6	9	14	2	151	111
		25%	23%	38%	22%	31%	50%	27%	26%	21%	17%	36%	30% b	21%
Rarely acceptable	(2.0)	315	13	13	20	8	-	29	4	13	32	2	148	167
		30%	25%	43%	38% d	17%	-	28%	17%	29%	40% d	43%	30%	31%
Never acceptable	(1.0)	272	17	3	12	12	4	26	6	16	21	-	111	161
		26%	32%	10%	22%	26%	33%	25%	28%	38%	26%	-	22%	30% a
Don't know		87	3	1	3	8	-	9	5	5	8	-	35	53
		8%	5%	5%	5%	17%	-	9%	21%	11%	10%	-	7%	10%
NET: At least sometimes acceptable		359 35%	20 37%	13 43%	18 35%	19 40%	8 67%	39 38%	7 34%	10 23%	20 24%	3 57%	206 41%	153 29%
		35%	3176	43%	33%	4076	07 %	i	34%	23%	2476	37 76	b	29%
NET: Rarely/never acceptable		587	30	15	32	20	4	55	10	29	53	2	259	328
		57%	58%	52%	60%	43%	33%	53%	45%	66% d	66% d	43%	52%	61% a
Base for stats		947	50	28	51	40	12	94	17	39	72	5	465	482
Mean Score		2.19	2.20	2.40	2.27 h	2.28	2.50	2.26 h	2.18	1.86	2.06	2.77	2.32 b	2.07
Standard Deviation		.969	1.079	.751	.974	1.043	1.166	.995	1.063	.866	.896	.854	.968	.955
Standard Error		.031	.148	.168	.135	.174	.476	.102	.251	.135	.103	.382	.045	.043
Error variance		*	.02	.03	.02	.03	.23	.01	.06	.02	.01	.15	*	*



Q4_3. Killing wolves that have attacked farm animals: To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable or not?

	ſ				Age		_			Age	
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%			а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
Unweighted Total		1037	106	145	165	173	174	274	251	338	448
Total		1034	108	159	165	178	165	259	267	343	424
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Always acceptable	(4.0)	97	7	15	15	12	16	33	22	27	49
		9%	6%	10%	9%	7%	10%	13% d	8%	8%	12%
Sometimes acceptable	(3.0)	262	19	31	35	45	45	87	50	80	132
·	` '	25%	17%	19%	21%	25%	27%	34%	19%	23%	31%
								abc			ab
Rarely acceptable	(2.0)	315	31	55	55	53	56	65	86	108	120
		30%	29%	35% f	33%	30%	34% f	25%	32%	32%	28%
							'				
Never acceptable	(1.0)	272 26%	45 42%	45 28%	46 28%	52 29%	33 20%	51 20%	90 34%	98 29%	85 20%
		20%	bcdef	20%	20%	29% ef	20%	20%	34% C	29% C	2076
Don't know		87	6	13	14	16	15	23	19	30	38
DOLLKIOW		8%	6%	8%	8%	9%	9%	9%	7%	9%	9%
NET: At least sometimes acceptable		359	25	46	50	57	61	120	72	106	181
1121.7 K loast sometimes acceptable		35%	24%	29%	30%	32%	37%	46%	27%	31%	43%
							а	abcd			ab
NET: Rarely/never acceptable		587	76	100	101	105	89	116	176	206	205
		57%	71%	63%	61%	59%	54%	45%	66%	60%	48%
			def	t	Ť	Ť			С	С	
Base for stats		947	102	146	151	162	150	236	248	313	386
Mean Score		2.19	1.87	2.12	2.12	2.10	2.29	2.43	2.01	2.11	2.38
Standard Deviation		.969	.936	.965	a .959	.942	a .931	abcd .982	.959	.949	ab .963
Standard Error		.031	.094	.084	.078	.075	.074	.062	.063	.054	.048
Error variance		*	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	*	*	*	*
	_	•									



Q4_3. Killing wolves that have attacked farm animals: To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable or not?

						Diet				
		Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian		Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%			а	b		С	*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total		1037	901	126		65	18	24	11	8
Total		1034 100%	900 100%	124 100%		63 100%	18 100%	24 100%	11 100%	7 100%
Always acceptable	(4.0)	97 9%	81 9%	15 12%		4 7%	3 17%	4 18%	2 18%	1 16%
Sometimes acceptable	(3.0)	262 25%	236 26%	25 20%		15 23%	4 24%	5 20%	-	1 13%
Rarely acceptable	(2.0)	315 30%	284 32%	29 23%		18 28%	3 18%	4 15%	3 29%	1 14%
Never acceptable	(1.0)	272 26%	224 25%	45 37% a		24 37% a	5 26%	9 37%	5 45%	3 42%
Don't know		87 8%	75 8%	10 8%		3 5%	3 15%	2 9%	1 9%	1 15%
NET: At least sometimes acceptable		359 35%	317 35%	40 32%		19 30%	8 41%	9 38%	2 18%	2 29%
NET: Rarely/never acceptable		587 57%	508 56%	74 60%		41 65%	8 44%	13 53%	8 73%	4 56%
Base for stats Mean Score Standard Deviation Standard Error		947 2.19 .969 .031	825 2.21 .952 .033	114 2.08 1.070 .099		61 1.99 .968 .123	16 2.39 1.158 .299	22 2.21 1.202 .256	10 1.91 1.190 .376	6 2.04 1.299 .491
Error variance		*	*	.01		.02	.09	.07	.14	.24



								France region	ns					
			î –					Basse	_					Pays de
		Total	Île-de-France	Champagne-Ardenne	Picardie	Haute-Normandie	Centre	Normandie	Bourgogne	Nord-Pas-de-Calais	Lorraine	Alsace	Franche-Comté	la Loire
Unweighted Total		1037	205	19	33	29	44	23	26	68	40	29	17	62
Total		1034	194	22	31	30	42	24	27	66	39	30	19	59
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Always acceptable	(4.0)	20	5	1	-	-	-	-	1	2	-	-	1	1
		2%	3%	5%	-	-	-	-	4%	3%	-	-	6%	2%
Sometimes acceptable	(3.0)	32	13	-	-	-	1	1	-	1	1	3	-	-
		3%	7%	-	-	-	2%	5%	-	1%	2%	10%	-	-
Rarely acceptable	(2.0)	57	13	-	2	2	2	2	-	3	1	1	2	3
		5%	6%	-	7%	7%	5%	9%	-	5%	2%	3%	12%	5%
Never acceptable	(1.0)	902	161	20	28	28	39	20	24	58	37	26	15	53
		87%	83%	89%	90%	93%	93%	82%	89%	87%	95%	86%	76%	90%
Don't know		24	3	1	1	-	-	1	2	2	-	-	1	2
		2%	1%	5%	3%	-	-	4%	8%	3%	-	-	6%	3%
NET: At least sometimes acceptable		52	18	1	-	-	1	1	1	3	1	3	1	1
		5%	9%	5%	-	-	2%	5%	4%	5%	2%	10%	6%	2%
NET: Rarely/never acceptable		959	173	20	30	30	41	22	24	61	38	27	17	56
		93%	89%	89%	97%	100%	98%	91%	89%	92%	98%	90%	88%	95%
Base for stats		1010	192	21	30	30	42	23	25	64	39	30	18	57
Mean Score		1.18	1.28	1.17	1.07	1.07	1.10	1.19	1.11	1.17	1.07	1.24	1.31	1.10
Standard Deviation		.572	.711	.712	.259	.255	.367	.516	.585	.610	.345	.637	.791	.435
Standard Error		.018	.050	.168	.046	.047	.055	.110	.119	.075	.055	.118	.198	.056
Error variance		*	*	.03	*	*	*	.01	.01	.01	*	.01	.04	*



								France regio	ns				Gen	der
		Total	Bretagne	Poitou Charentes	Aquitaine	Midi-Pyrénées	Limousin	Rhône-Alpes	Auvergne	Languedoc-Roussillon	Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur	Corse	Male	Female
Significance Level: 95%	-	Total	a	*b	C	d d	*e	f f	*g	Langueuoc-Roussillon h	i	*i	a	b
			_						-			,		
Unweighted Total		1037	56	21	55	43	6	104	23	46	83	5	487	547
Total		1034	53	29	53	48	12	103	22	44	81	5	500	534
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	(4.0)									_			4.0	
Always acceptable	(4.0)	20 2%	-	1 5%	2 4%	-	-	1 1%	1 4%	1 2%	2 3%	-	12 2%	8 1%
		270	-	3%	476	-	-	1 70	470	270	3%	-	270	170
Sometimes acceptable	(3.0)	32	2	1	2	-	-	3	-	2	1	-	21	11
		3%	4%	5%	4%	-	-	3%	-	4%	1%	-	4%	2%
Rarely acceptable	(2.0)	57	7	1	-	1	-	9	2	-	4	1	38	19
, '	` ′	5%	13%	5%	-	2%	-	8%	9%	-	5%	22%	8%	4%
			ch					ch					b	
Never acceptable	(1.0)	902	44	25	48	45	12	87	18	40	70	4	418	484
		87%	83%	86%	91%	95%	100%	84%	82%	92%	87%	78%	84%	91%
														а
Don't know		24	-	-	1	1	-	3	1	1	3	-	12	12
		2%	-	-	2%	2%	-	3%	5%	2%	4%	-	2%	2%
NET: At least sometimes acceptable		52	2	3	4	-	-	4	1	3	3	-	33	19
		5%	4%	10%	8%	-	-	4%	4%	6%	4%	-	7%	4%
													b	
NET: Rarely/never acceptable		959	51	26	48	46	12	96	20	40	74	5	456	503
		93%	96%	90%	91%	98%	100%	93%	91%	92%	92%	100%	91%	94%
Base for stats		1010	53	29	52	46	12	100	21	43	77	5	488	522
Mean Score		1.18	1.21	1.29	1.19	1.02	1.00	1.18	1.22	1.15	1.16	1.22	1.24	1.12
			d										b	
Standard Deviation		.572	.494	.787	.689	.153	-	.528	.668	.591	.577	.459	.642	.492
Standard Error		.018	.066	.172	.094	.024	-	.053	.143	.088	.064	.205	.029	.021
Error variance	l			.03	.01				.02	.01		.04		



	ſ				Age	9				Age	
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%			а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
Unweighted Total		1037	106	145	165	173	174	274	251	338	448
Total		1034	108	159	165	178	165	259	267	343	424
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Always acceptable	(4.0)	20	3	8	4	-	2	3	11	4	5
		2%	3%	5%	2%	-	1%	1%	4%	1%	1%
			d	def	d				bc		
Sometimes acceptable	(3.0)	32	3	9	7	6	6	1	12	13	7
		3%	3%	6%	4%	4%	3%	*	5%	4%	2%
			f	f	f	f	f		С	С	
Rarely acceptable	(2.0)	57	12	13	14	6	8	5	25	20	12
		5%	11%	8%	8%	3%	5%	2%	9%	6%	3%
			def	f	f				С		
Never acceptable	(1.0)	902	85	125	136	163	148	247	209	298	395
		87%	78%	78%	82%	91%	90%	95%	78%	87%	93%
						abc	ab	abce		а	ab
Don't know		24	5	5	5	3	2	4	10	8	6
		2%	5%	3%	3%	2%	1%	1%	4%	2%	1%
									С		
NET: At least sometimes acceptable		52	6	17	11	6	8	4	23	17	11
		5%	6%	11%	6%	4%	5%	1%	9%	5%	3%
			f	def	f		f		С		
NET: Rarely/never acceptable		959	96	138	149	169	156	251	234	318	407
		93%	89%	87%	91%	95%	94%	97%	88%	93%	96%
						b	b	abc		а	ab
Base for stats		1010	103	155	160	175	163	255	257	335	418
Mean Score		1.18	1.27	1.35	1.24	1.11	1.15	1.06	1.32	1.17	1.10
			df	def	df		f		bc	С	
Standard Deviation		.572	.673	.811	.644	.412	.524	.358	.759	.539	.432
Standard Error Error variance		.018	.067	.068	.051	.032	.040	.022	.049	.030	.021
ETIOI VAIIANCE			•			·					



						Diet				
			Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal	NET: Reduced intake of animal						
0	-	Total	products	products	Flexetarian/reducetarian		Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%			а	b		С	*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total		1037	901	126		65	18	24	11	8
Total		1034	900	124		63	18	24	11	7
		100%	100%	100%		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Always acceptable	(4.0)	20	13	7		-	2	3	2	-
		2%	1%	5% a		-	12%	11%	18%	-
Sometimes acceptable	(3.0)	32	27	5		3	1	1	-	-
		3%	3%	4%		5%	5%	4%	-	-
Rarely acceptable	(2.0)	57	42	15		6	4	4	-	1
	, ,	5%	5%	12%		10%	19%	17%	-	15%
				а						
Never acceptable	(1.0)	902	800	93		53	11	15	8	6
		87%	89% b	75%		84%	58%	63%	73%	85%
Don't know		24	18	4		1	1	1	1	-
		2%	2%	3%		2%	6%	5%	9%	-
NET: At least sometimes acceptable		52	40	12		3	3	4	2	-
		5%	4%	9% a		5%	17%	15%	18%	-
NET: Rarely/never acceptable		959	842	108		59	14	20	8	7
		93%	94% b	87%		94%	77%	80%	73%	100%
Base for stats		1010	882	120		62	17	23	10	7
Mean Score		1.18	1.15	1.37		1.20	1.69	1.60	1.59	1.15
				а						
Standard Deviation		.572	.530	.809		.510	1.069	1.017	1.261	.389
Standard Error Error variance		.018	.018	.073 .01		.064	.259 .07	.212 .05	.399 .16	.137 .02
LITOT VARIABLE	L			.01			.07	.05	.10	.02



								France region	ıs					
								Basse						Pays de
		Total	Île-de-France	Champagne-Ardenne	Picardie	Haute-Normandie	Centre	Normandie	Bourgogne	Nord-Pas-de-Calais	Lorraine	Alsace	Franche-Comté	la Loire
Unweighted Total		1037	205	19	33	29	44	23	26	68	40	29	17	62
Total		1034	194	22	31	30	42	24	27	66	39	30	19	59
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Always acceptable	(4.0)	57	13	-	1	1	3	-	2	2	1	2	2	4
		5%	7%	-	4%	3%	7%	-	8%	3%	2%	8%	11%	6%
Sometimes acceptable	(3.0)	263	57	2	8	8	12	5	4	15	10	8	3	9
·	, ,	25%	29%	11%	26%	27%	30%	22%	15%	23%	26%	28%	18%	15%
Rarely acceptable	(2.0)	278	48	7	9	8	18	6	4	16	14	5	7	18
тагету ассертавле	(2.0)	27%	25%	31%	28%	28%	44%	26%	15%	24%	37%	17%	35%	31%
Never acceptable	(1.0)	315	55	8	10	12	5	11	14	27	12	11	3	19
		30%	29%	37%	33%	39%	11%	44%	51%	41%	32%	36%	18%	32%
Don't know		121	21	5	3	1	4	2	3	6	1	4	3	10
		12%	11%	21%	9%	3%	9%	8%	11%	9%	2%	12%	18%	16%
NET: At least sometimes acceptable		320	69	2	9	9	15	5	6	17	11	11	6	12
		31%	36%	11%	30%	30%	36%	22%	23%	26%	28%	35%	29%	21%
NET: Rarely/never acceptable		593	104	15	19	20	23	17	18	43	27	16	10	37
INE 1. Italely/lievel acceptable		57%	53%	68%	61%	67%	55%	70%	66%	65%	70%	52%	53%	63%
		0770	3070	0070	0170	0170	0070	7070	0070	0070	7070	0270	0070	0070
Base for stats		913	173	17	29	29	38	22	24	60	38	27	16	49
Mean Score		2.07	2.15	1.67	2.01	1.94	2.34	1.76	1.77	1.87	1.98	2.08	2.27	1.94
Standard Deviation		.937	.961	.723	.914	.911	.797	.830	1.042	.908	.842	1.051	.984	.934
Standard Error		.031	.071	.187	.167	.172	.126	.181	.217	.115	.135	.210	.263	.130
Error variance		*	.01	.03	.03	.03	.02	.03	.05	.01	.02	.04	.07	.02



								France region	ns				Gen	der
		Total	Bretagne	Poitou Charentes	Aquitaine	Midi-Pyrénées	Limousin	Rhône-Alpes	Auvergne	Languedoc-Roussillon	Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur	Corse	Male	Female
Significance Level: 95%		. otal	a	*b	С	d	*e	f	*g	h	i	*j	а	b
Unweighted Total		1037	56	21	55	43	6	104	23	46	83	5	487	547
Total		1034	53	29	53	48	12	103	22	44	81	5	500	534
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Always acceptable	(4.0)	57	4	-	6	-	4	7	1	1	4	-	37	20
		5%	8%	-	10% d	-	33%	7%	4%	2%	5%	-	7% b	4%
Sometimes acceptable	(3.0)	263	17	6	17	22	2	27	5	5	17	4	172	91
		25%	32% h	19%	31% h	45% fhi	17%	27% h	21%	11%	21%	78%	34% b	17%
	(0.0)	070								40				440
Rarely acceptable	(2.0)	278 27%	16 30%	8 28%	11 20%	11 23%	-	25 25%	6 27%	16 36%	23 29%	1 22%	130 26%	148 28%
Never acceptable	(1.0)	315	13	11	15	9	6	28	5	15	25	_	119	196
. Novo, accopiant	()	30%	25%	38%	27%	19%	50%	28%	22%	34%	31%	-	24%	37% a
Don't know		121	3	4	6	6		15	6	7	12	_	41	79
DOTT KNOW		12%	5%	14%	11%	12%	-	14%	25%	17%	15%		8%	15%
														а
NET: At least sometimes acceptable		320 31%	21 40%	6 19%	22 42%	22 45%	6 50%	34 33%	6 26%	6 13%	20 25%	4 78%	209 42%	111 21%
		3176	40% h	1976	42% hi	hi	30%	h	20%	1376	25%	70%	42% b	2176
NET: Rarely/never acceptable		593	29	19	25	20	6	54	11	30	48	1	249	344
		57%	55%	66%	47%	43%	50%	52%	49%	70% cdf	60%	22%	50%	64% a
Base for stats		913	50	25	48	42	12	88	17	36	68	5	458	455
Mean Score		2.07	2.23	1.79	2.28	2.30	2.33	2.14	2.10	1.77	1.99	2.78	2.28	1.86
			h		h	h		h					b	
Standard Deviation Standard Error		.937	.944	.805	1.033 .148	.814	1.433 .585	.968	.916 .222	.782 .127	.918	.459 .205	.939	.887
Error variance		.031	.130 .02	.190 .04	.148	.132 .02	.585	.103 .01	.222	.02	.109 .01	.205 .04	.044	.041
ETIOI VAIIANCE			.02	.04	.02	.02	.34	.01	.05	.02	.01	.04		



			Age								
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%			а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
Unweighted Total		1037	106	145	165	173	174	274	251	338	448
Total		1034 100%	108 100%	159 100%	165 100%	178 100%	165 100%	259 100%	267 100%	343 100%	424 100%
		10070	10070	10070	10070	10070	10070	10070	10070	10070	10070
Always acceptable	(4.0)	57	3	9	6	7	9	22	12	13	32
		5%	3%	5%	4%	4%	6%	9% ac	4%	4%	7% b
Sometimes acceptable	(3.0)	263	24	27	40	47	45	80	51	88	125
		25%	22%	17%	24%	27%	27%	31%	19%	26%	29%
						b	b	b			а
Rarely acceptable	(2.0)	278 27%	26 24%	50 32%	42 25%	42 24%	52 31%	66 25%	77 29%	84 24%	117 28%
Never acceptable	(1.0)	315 30%	44 41%	56 35%	54 33%	62 35%	44 27%	55 21%	100 37%	116 34%	99 23%
		30%	ef	55% f	55% f	55% f	2176	2176	37 % C	34% C	23%
Don't know		121	10	18	23	19	15	36	28	42	50
		12%	10%	11%	14%	11%	9%	14%	11%	12%	12%
NET: At least sometimes acceptable		320	27	35	46	55	55	102	63	101	157
		31%	25%	22%	28%	31%	33% b	39% abc	23%	29%	37% ab
NET: Rarely/never acceptable		593 57%	70 65%	106 67%	96 58%	105 59%	96 58%	121 47%	176 66%	200 58%	217 51%
		0.70	f	f	f	f	f	,	c	C	0170
Base for stats		913	98	141	142	159	150	223	239	301	373
Mean Score		2.07	1.86	1.92	1.99	2.00	2.13	2.31	1.89	1.99	2.24
Standard Deviation		.937	.899	.909	.915	.935	ab .913	abcd .956	.904	.924	ab .942
Standard Error		.031	.092	.080	.077	.075	.073	.062	.060	.054	.047
Error variance		*	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	*	*	*	*
	L								L		



			Diet								
		Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian		Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan	
Significance Level: 95%			а	b		С	*d	*e	*f	*g	
Unweighted Total		1037	901	126		65	18	24	11	8	
Total		1034	900	124		63	18	24	11	7	
		100%	100%	100%		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Always acceptable	(4.0)	57	52	4		1	-	2	1	-	
		5%	6%	3%		1%	-	8%	9%	-	
Sometimes acceptable	(3.0)	263	230	31		15	6	6	2	1	
		25%	26%	25%		23%	34%	26%	19%	14%	
Rarely acceptable	(2.0)	278	239	37		21	2	8	4	2	
		27%	27%	30%		33%	11%	32%	36%	32%	
Never acceptable	(1.0)	315	272	41		22	8	4	3	4	
		30%	30%	33%		35%	45%	17%	27%	55%	
Don't know		121	107	12		5	2	4	1	-	
		12%	12%	9%		8%	10%	17%	9%	-	
NET: At least sometimes acceptable		320	282	34		16	6	8	3	1	
		31%	31%	28%		25%	34%	34%	28%	14%	
NET: Rarely/never acceptable		593	511	78		43	10	12	7	6	
		57%	57%	63%		68%	56%	49%	63%	86%	
Base for stats		913	793	112		59	16	20	10	7	
Mean Score		2.07	2.08	1.97		1.91	1.88	2.31	2.10	1.59	
Standard Deviation		.937	.943	.882		.832	.960	.920	.988	.771	
Standard Error		.031	.033	.083		.107	.240	.206	.313	.273	
Error variance		*	*	.01		.01	.06	.04	.10	.07	



Q5 Summary: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

	Total	Strongly agree	Tend to agree	Tend to disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know	NET: Agree	NET: Disagree
Unweighted Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wolves are beneficial to the ecosystem in which they live	1034	330	456	93	41	115	786	134
	100%	32%	44%	9%	4%	11%	76%	13%
Wolves help to control populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	1034	222	448	126	62	176	670	188
	100%	21%	43%	12%	6%	17%	65%	18%
Wolves improve the overall health of populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares) by killing off those that are diseased, weak or old	1034	217	445	114	55	203	662	169
	100%	21%	43%	11%	5%	20%	64%	16%
Wolves help to improve the genetic diversity of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	1034	236	415	117	52	215	651	169
	100%	23%	40%	11%	5%	21%	63%	16%
Wolves in the wild are an opportunity for tourism in rural and natural areas	1034	171	345	206	112	200	516	318
	100%	17%	33%	20%	11%	19%	50%	31%



Q5 Nets summary (Agree): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

		France regions											
	Total	Île-de-France	Champagne-Ardenne	Picardie	Haute-Normandie	Centre	Basse Normandie	Bourgogne	Nord-Pas-de-Calais	Lorraine	Alsace	Franche-Comté	Pays de la Loire
Unweighted Total	1037	205	19	33	29	44	23	26	68	40	29	17	62
Total	1034	194	22	31	30	42	24	27	66	39	30	19	59
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Wolves are beneficial to the ecosystem in which they live	786	151	17	20	26	31	22	22	53	31	25	11	40
	76%	78%	79%	64%	86%	75%	91%	81%	81%	79%	81%	59%	68%
Wolves help to control populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	670	128	11	23	24	29	17	19	50	28	19	12	34
	65%	66%	52%	73%	79%	69%	68%	70%	75%	72%	62%	65%	58%
Wolves improve the overall health of populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares) by killing off those that are diseased, weak or old	662 64%	131 68%	10 47%	23 73%	24 79%	29 70%	17 70%	23 85%	40 61%	30 79%	21 71%	7 36%	34 58%
Wolves help to improve the genetic diversity of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	651	127	14	23	20	27	16	19	39	27	17	11	36
	63%	65%	63%	73%	66%	64%	65%	69%	58%	70%	56%	59%	60%
Wolves in the wild are an opportunity for tourism in rural and natural areas	516	103	11	17	16	20	14	16	37	22	18	10	24
	50%	53%	52%	53%	52%	48%	57%	58%	55%	57%	60%	53%	41%



Q5 Nets summary (Agree): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

		France regions											Gender		
	Total	Bretagne	Poitou Charentes	Aquitaine	Midi-Pyrénées	Limousin	Rhône-Alpes	Auvergne	Languedoc-Roussillon	Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur	Corse	Male	Female		
Significance Level: 95%		а	*b	С	d	*e	f	*g	h	i	*j	а	b		
Unweighted Total	1037	56	21	55	43	6	104	23	46	83	5	487	547		
Total	1034 100%	53 100%	29 100%	53 100%	48 100%	12 100%	103 100%	22 100%	44 100%	81 100%	5 100%	500 100%	534 100%		
Wolves are beneficial to the ecosystem in which they live	786 76%	38 73%	21 72%	41 77%	38 79%	10 84%	68 66%	18 79%	34 77%	64 79%	4 79%	388 78%	397 74%		
Wolves help to control populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	670 65%	30 57%	19 66%	31 58%	27 57%	8 67%	60 59%	15 66%	28 64%	53 66%	4 78%	345 69% b	325 61%		
Wolves improve the overall health of populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares) by killing off those that are diseased, weak or old	662 64%	33 62%	18 62%	26 50%	35 74% c	8 67%	59 57%	16 70%	26 59%	46 57%	4 83%	349 70% b	314 59%		
Wolves help to improve the genetic diversity of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	651 63%	31 59%	21 71%	35 66%	32 67%	8 67%	53 51%	14 62%	30 68%	49 61%	4 83%	330 66%	321 60%		
Wolves in the wild are an opportunity for tourism in rural and natural areas	516 50%	26 49%	17 57%	23 44%	23 48%	8 67%	38 37%	8 35%	22 51%	41 51%	2 43%	269 54% b	247 46%		



Q5 Nets summary (Agree): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? BASE: All respondents

			Age							
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
Unweighted Total	1037	106	145	165	173	174	274	251	338	448
Total	1034	108	159	165	178	165	259	267	343	424
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Wolves are beneficial to the ecosystem in which they live	786	84	117	127	143	137	178	201	270	315
	76%	78%	73%	77%	80% f	83% bf	69%	75%	79%	74%
Wolves help to control populations of animals lower down the food chain	670	63	94	108	122	126	157	157	230	283
(e.g. deer or hares)	65%	58%	59%	66%	68%	77% abcf	61%	59%	67% a	67% a
Makes in a second be a second be able of a second discount of a six all become decision.	662	66	00	0.7	400		470	454	218	
Wolves improve the overall health of populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares) by killing off those that are diseased,	64%	61%	89 56%	97 59%	120 68%	120 73%	170 66%	154 58%	218 63%	290 68%
weak or old	04%	0176	30%	39%	b	abc	b	36%	03%	a
Wolves help to improve the genetic diversity of animals lower down the	651	70	97	94	121	116	154	167	214	270
food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	63%	64%	61%	57%	68%	70%	60%	62%	63%	64%
					С	cf				
Wolves in the wild are an opportunity for tourism in rural and natural areas	516	53	87	84	97	94	100	140	181	194
	50%	49%	54% f	51% f	54% f	57% f	39%	52%	53%	46%
			·	•						



Q5 Nets summary (Agree): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

				Die	et			
	Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	С	*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total	1037	901	126	65	18	24	11	8
Total	1034 100%	900 100%	124 100%	63 1009		24 100%	11 100%	7 100%
Wolves are beneficial to the ecosystem in which they live	786 76%	687 76%	91 74%	52 83 ⁹		16 66%	8 73%	4 55%
Wolves help to control populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	670 65%	589 65%	76 61%	41 649	8 % 42%	13 52%	9 82%	6 85%
Wolves improve the overall health of populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares) by killing off those that are diseased, weak or old	662 64%	574 64%	84 68%	43 689		15 62%	9 83%	5 68%
Wolves help to improve the genetic diversity of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	651 63%	571 63%	76 61%	42 679		14 59%	8 73%	2 29%
Wolves in the wild are an opportunity for tourism in rural and natural areas	516 50%	439 49%	71 58%	35 559		14 57%	7 64%	4 57%



							France region	าร					
	Total	Île-de-France	Champagne-Ardenne	Picardie	Haute-Normandie	Centre	Basse Normandie	Bourgogne	Nord-Pas-de-Calais	Lorraine	Alsace	Franche-Comté	Pays de la Loire
Unweighted Total	1037	205	19	33	29	44	23	26	68	40	29	17	62
Total	1034	194	22	31	30	42	24	27	66	39	30	19	59
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Wolves are beneficial to the ecosystem in which they live	134 13%	23 12%	1 5%	10 30%	3 11%	6	2 9%	2 8%	6 9%	4 11%	3 10%	3 17%	8 14%
Wolves help to control populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	188	36	4	4	2	7	6	3	10	8	10	4	10
	18%	19%	16%	12%	7%	16%	23%	11%	15%	20%	32%	23%	16%
Wolves in the wild are an opportunity for tourism in rural and natural areas	318	57	6	11	8	15	6	8	14	13	7	4	16
	31%	29%	27%	36%	27%	37%	25%	31%	21%	33%	24%	23%	27%
Wolves help to improve the genetic diversity of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	169	25	2	4	5	6	5	4	21	10	6	3	6
	16%	13%	11%	13%	18%	13%	22%	16%	32%	25%	21%	17%	9%
Wolves improve the overall health of populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares) by killing off those that are diseased, weak or old	169	31	2	5	4	8	3	3	12	5	7	7	9
	16%	16%	11%	15%	14%	19%	14%	11%	18%	13%	23%	35%	14%



							France region	ns				Gen	der
			Poitou										
2	Total	Bretagne	Charentes	Aquitaine	Midi-Pyrénées	Limousin	Rhône-Alpes	Auvergne	Languedoc-Roussillon	Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur	Corse	Male	Female
Significance Level: 95%		а	*b	С	d	*e	Ť	*g	h	ı	*J	а	b
Unweighted Total	1037	56	21	55	43	6	104	23	46	83	5	487	547
Total	1034	53	29	53	48	12	103	22	44	81	5	500	534
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Wolves are beneficial to the ecosystem in which they	134	12	6	7	3	2	17	4	3	9	1	71	62
live	13%	22% dh	19%	13%	7%	16%	16%	17%	6%	11%	21%	14%	12%
Wolves help to control populations of animals lower	188	14	6	13	8	2	20	5	7	11	1	99	89
down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	18%	27% i	19%	24%	17%	16%	19%	21%	15%	13%	22%	20%	17%
Wolves in the wild are an opportunity for tourism in	318	19	11	19	17	2	41	7	12	22	2	159	159
rural and natural areas	31%	35%	38%	37%	36%	16%	40%	31%	28%	27%	36%	32%	30%
Wolves help to improve the genetic diversity of	169	9	6	9	2	2	18	3	5	16	1	88	81
animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or	16%	18%	19%	17%	5%	16%	18%	13%	11%	20%	17%	18%	15%
hares)							d			d			
Wolves improve the overall health of populations of	169	14	6	14	2	2	16	2	6	11	1	83	86
animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or	16%	26%	19%	25%	5%	16%	15%	8%	13%	14%	17%	17%	16%
hares) by killing off those that are diseased, weak or old		d		d									



				Age				Age		
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
Unweighted Total	1037	106	145	165	173	174	274	251	338	448
Total	1034 100%	108 100%	159 100%	165 100%	178 100%	165 100%	259 100%	267 100%	343 100%	424 100%
Wolves are beneficial to the ecosystem in which they live	134 13%	12 11%	26 16% e	20 12%	21 12%	14 9%	40 15% e	38 14%	41 12%	54 13%
Wolves help to control populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	188 18%	24 22% e	39 25% ce	24 15%	32 18% e	17 10%	51 20% e	63 24% bc	57 17%	68 16%
Wolves in the wild are an opportunity for tourism in rural and natural areas	318 31%	32 30%	48 30%	43 26%	44 25%	45 27%	107 41% abcde	79 30%	87 25%	152 36% b
Wolves help to improve the genetic diversity of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	169 16%	17 15%	34 21% e	31 19%	28 16%	21 13%	38 15%	51 19%	59 17%	59 14%
Wolves improve the overall health of populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares) by killing off those that are diseased, weak or old	169 16%	18 16%	42 26% cdef	26 16%	24 14%	20 12%	40 15%	59 22% bc	50 15%	59 14%



				D	iet			
	Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%	70101	а	b		c *d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total	1037	901	126	68	5 18	24	11	8
Total	1034 100%	900 100%	124 100%	63 100			11 100%	7 100%
Wolves are beneficial to the ecosystem in which they live	134 13%	110 12%	23 18%		5 6 3% 359	5 % 21%	3 27%	3 45%
Wolves help to control populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	188 18%	159 18%	28 23%	12 20	2 6 0% 359	7 % 31%	1 9%	1 15%
Wolves in the wild are an opportunity for tourism in rural and natural areas	318 31%	280 31%	34 27%	18 29	3 4 9% 229	7 % 31%	2 18%	2 29%
Wolves help to improve the genetic diversity of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	169 16%	144 16%	24 19% c		5 7 38% 36°	6 % 25%	1 9%	5 71%
Wolves improve the overall health of populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares) by killing off those that are diseased, weak or old	169 16%	147 16%	20 16%	9 14	9 2 4% 119	7 % 29%	- -	2 32%



		France regions												
							Basse						Pays de	
	Total	Île-de-France	Champagne-Ardenne	Picardie	Haute-Normandie	Centre	Normandie	Bourgogne	Nord-Pas-de-Calais	Lorraine	Alsace	Franche-Comté	la Loire	
Unweighted Total	1037	205	19	33	29	44	23	26	68	40	29	17	62	
Total	1034	194	22	31	30	42	24	27	66	39	30	19	59	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Strongly agree (4.		60	7	4	9	13	8	6	30	10	11	6	21	
	32%	31%	32%	12%	31%	30%	34%	23%	45%	27%	38%	29%	36%	
Tend to agree (3.) 456	91	10	16	17	19	14	15	23	20	13	6	19	
· ·	44%	47%	47%	52%	55%	45%	57%	57%	35%	52%	43%	29%	33%	
Tend to disagree (2.	93	14	1	9	3	3	2	1	4	2	1	2	6	
	9%	7%	5%	27%	11%	7%	9%	4%	6%	5%	3%	12%	10%	
Strongly disagree (1.) 41	9	-	1	-	3	-	1	2	2	2	1	3	
,	4%	4%	-	3%	-	7%	-	4%	3%	6%	6%	5%	5%	
Don't know	115	20	3	2	1	5	_	3	7	4	3	5	10	
	11%	11%	16%	6%	3%	12%	-	11%	10%	10%	9%	24%	17%	
NET: Agree	786	151	17	20	26	31	22	22	53	31	25	11	40	
	76%	78%	79%	64%	86%	75%	91%	81%	81%	79%	81%	59%	68%	
NET: Disagree	134	23	1	10	3	6	2	2	6	4	3	3	8	
	13%	12%	5%	30%	11%	13%	9%	8%	9%	11%	10%	17%	14%	
Base for stats	919	174	18	30	29	37	24	24	59	35	28	15	49	
Mean Score	3.17	3.17	3.31	2.78	3.21	3.11	3.25	3.13	3.37	3.12	3.24	3.09	3.20	
Standard Deviation	.781	.776	.602	.713	.634	.857	.623	.706	.762	.779	.833	.938	.866	
Standard Error	.026	.057	.151	.128	.120	.137	.130	.147	.098	.130	.163	.260	.121	
Error variance	*	*	.02	.02	.01	.02	.02	.02	.01	.02	.03	.07	.01	
					·				·			·		



								France regio	ns				Gene	der
		Total	Bretagne	Poitou Charentes	Aquitaine	Midi-Pyrénées	Limousin	Rhône-Alpes	Auvergne	Languedoc-Roussillon	Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur	Corse	Male	Female
Significance Level: 95%			a	*b	С	d	*e	f	*g	h	i	*j	а	b
Unweighted Total		1037	56	21	55	43	6	104	23	46	83	5	487	547
Total		1034	53	29	53	48	12	103	22	44	81	5	500	534
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	330	19	11	14	14	2	32	8	16	25	1	159	171
		32%	36%	38%	27%	29%	17%	31%	36%	37%	31%	22%	32%	32%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	456	19	10	27	24	8	36	10	17	39	3	229	226
		44%	36%	34%	50%	50%	67%	35%	43%	40%	48%	58%	46%	42%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	93	12	6	3	2	-	11	3	3	6	-	52	40
		9%	22%	19%	6%	5%	-	11%	13%	6%	7%	-	10%	8%
			cdhi											
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	41	-	-	4	1	2	6	1	-	3	1	19	22
		4%	-	-	7% a	2%	16%	5%	4%	-	4%	21%	4%	4%
					_									
Don't know		115	3	3	6	7	-	18	1	7	8	-	40	75
		11%	5%	9%	10%	14%	-	17% a	4%	17%	10%	-	8%	14% a
NET: Agree		786 76%	38 73%	21 72%	41 77%	38 79%	10 84%	68 66%	18 79%	34 77%	64 79%	4 79%	388 78%	397 74%
		76%	13%	12%	11%	79%	84%	00%	79%	11%	19%	79%	78%	74%
NET: Disagree		134	12	6	7	3	2	17	4	3	9	1	71	62
		13%	22%	19%	13%	7%	16%	16%	17%	6%	11%	21%	14%	12%
			dh											
Base for stats		919	50	27	48	41	12	85	21	36	73	5	460	459
Mean Score		3.17	3.15	3.21	3.08	3.23	2.84	3.12	3.16	3.37	3.19	2.81	3.15	3.19
Standard Deviation		.781	.778	.781	.833	.685	.933	.876	.831	.629	.745	1.116	.777	.786
Standard Error		.026	.107	.179	.119	.113	.381	.095	.177	.102	.086	.499	.037	.036
Error variance		*	.01	.03	.01	.01	.15	.01	.03	.01	.01	.25	*	*



				Age			Age			
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
Unweighted Total	1037	106	145	165	173	174	274	251	338	448
Total	1034 100%	108 100%	159 100%	165 100%	178 100%	165 100%	259 100%	267 100%	343 100%	424 100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	330 32%	39 36%	56 35%	41 25%	65 36% cf	61 37% cf	68 26%	95 35%	106 31%	129 30%
Tend to agree (3.0)	456 44%	46 42%	60 38%	85 52% b	79 44%	76 46%	110 42%	106 40%	164 48%	186 44%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	93 9%	8 8%	20 12% e	14 9%	17 9%	8 5%	26 10% e	28 10%	31 9%	34 8%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	41 4%	4 4%	6 4%	6 4%	4 2%	6 4%	14 5%	11 4%	10 3%	20 5%
Don't know	115 11%	11 10%	17 10%	18 11%	14 8%	14 8%	41 16% de	28 10%	32 9%	55 13%
NET: Agree	786 76%	84 78%	117 73%	127 77%	143 80% f	137 83% bf	178 69%	201 75%	270 79%	315 74%
NET: Disagree	134 13%	12 11%	26 16% e	20 12%	21 12%	14 9%	40 15% e	38 14%	41 12%	54 13%
Base for stats Mean Score	919 3.17	97 3.23	143 3.17	147 3.10	164 3.24 f	151 3.27 f	218 3.06	239 3.19	311 3.18	369 3.15
Standard Deviation Standard Error Error variance	.781 .026 *	.778 .080 .01	.830 .073 .01	.728 .060 *	.736 .058 *	.746 .059	.830 .055 *	.809 .054 *	.734 .042 *	.802 .041 *



					Diet				
	Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian		Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%		а	b		С	*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total	1037	901	126		65	18	24	11	8
Total	1034 100%	900 100%	124 100%		63 100%	18 100%	24 100%	11 100%	7 100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	330 32%	280 31%	47 38%		25 39%	5 27%	11 46%	4 36%	2 29%
Tend to agree (3.0)	456 44%	408 45% b	44 35%		27 43%	6 32%	5 20%	4 37%	2 26%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	93 9%	78 9%	15 12%		4 6%	5 30%	2 8%	1 9%	2 29%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	41 4%	32 4%	8 6%		1 1%	1 5%	3 13%	2 18%	1 16%
Don't know	115 11%	102 11%	10 8%		6 10%	1 6%	3 13%	-	-
NET: Agree	786 76%	687 76%	91 74%		52 83%	11 59%	16 66%	8 73%	4 55%
NET: Disagree	134 13%	110 12%	23 18%		5 8%	6 35%	5 21%	3 27%	3 45%
Base for stats Mean Score Standard Deviation Standard Error	919 3.17 .781 .026	798 3.17 .762 .027	114 3.15 .899 .084		57 3.34 .682 .090	17 2.87 .918 .223	21 3.15 1.114 .243	11 2.91 1.132 .341	7 2.68 1.143 .404
Error variance	*	*	.01		.01	.05	.06	.12	.16



Q5_2. Wolves help to control populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

	[France region	ıs					
			î –					Basse	_					Pays de
		Total	Île-de-France	Champagne-Ardenne	Picardie	Haute-Normandie	Centre	Normandie	Bourgogne	Nord-Pas-de-Calais	Lorraine	Alsace	Franche-Comté	la Loire
Unweighted Total		1037	205	19	33	29	44	23	26	68	40	29	17	62
Total		1034	194	22	31	30	42	24	27	66	39	30	19	59
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	222	45	3	3	7	10	5	4	18	6	7	3	16
		21%	23%	16%	9%	24%	23%	21%	15%	27%	15%	24%	18%	28%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	448	84	8	20	17	19	11	15	32	22	12	9	18
		43%	43%	36%	64%	55%	46%	47%	55%	48%	57%	38%	47%	31%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	126	20	4	2	1	4	6	1	5	6	6	3	7
		12%	10%	16%	7%	4%	9%	23%	4%	7%	15%	21%	18%	11%
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	62	16	-	2	1	3	-	2	5	2	3	1	3
		6%	8%	-	6%	3%	7%	-	8%	8%	6%	11%	5%	5%
Don't know		176	30	7	5	4	6	2	5	7	3	2	2	15
		17%	15%	32%	15%	14%	15%	8%	19%	10%	8%	6%	12%	25%
NET: Agree		670	128	11	23	24	29	17	19	50	28	19	12	34
		65%	66%	52%	73%	79%	69%	68%	70%	75%	72%	62%	65%	58%
NET: Disagree		188	36	4	4	2	7	6	3	10	8	10	4	10
		18%	19%	16%	12%	7%	16%	23%	11%	15%	20%	32%	23%	16%
Base for stats		858	164	15	27	26	35	22	22	60	36	29	17	44
Mean Score		2.97	2.96	2.99	2.90	3.15	3.00	2.97	2.95	3.05	2.88	2.81	2.87	3.09
Standard Deviation		.833	.882	.710	.677	.689	.841	.711	.795	.861	.748	.962	.823	.890
Standard Error		.028	.067	.197	.128	.138	.138	.155	.174	.110	.123	.185	.213	.131
Error variance	Į	*	*	.04	.02	.02	.02	.02	.03	.01	.02	.03	.05	.02



Q5_2. Wolves help to control populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

	[France region	ons				Gen	der
		Total	Bretagne	Poitou Charentes	Aquitaine	Midi-Pyrénées	Limousin	Rhône-Alpes	Auvergne	Languedoc-Roussillon	Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur	Corse	Male	Female
Significance Level: 95%			а	*b	С	d	*e	f	*g	h	i	*j	а	b
Unweighted Total		1037	56	21	55	43	6	104	23	46	83	5	487	547
Total		1034 100%	53 100%	29 100%	53 100%	48 100%	12 100%	103 100%	22 100%	44 100%	81 100%	5 100%	500 100%	534 100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	222 21%	11 22%	10 33%	9 16%	8 17%	2 17%	23 22%	6 27%	11 24%	15 19%	-	108 22%	113 21%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	448 43%	19 36%	10 33%	22 42%	19 40%	6 50%	38 37%	9 39%	17 39%	38 47%	4 78%	236 47% b	212 40%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	126 12%	11 20% i	4 15%	7 13%	8 17%	-	15 15%	5 21%	5 11%	6 8%	1 22%	66 13%	60 11%
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	62 6%	4 7%	1 5%	6 11% d	-	2 16%	5 4%	-	2 4%	5 6%	-	33 7%	30 6%
Don't know		176 17%	8 15%	4 14%	10 18%	12 26%	2 17%	23 22%	3 13%	9 21%	17 21%	-	56 11%	120 22% a
NET: Agree		670 65%	30 57%	19 66%	31 58%	27 57%	8 67%	60 59%	15 66%	28 64%	53 66%	4 78%	345 69% b	325 61%
NET: Disagree		188 18%	14 27% i	6 19%	13 24%	8 17%	2 16%	20 19%	5 21%	7 15%	11 13%	1 22%	99 20%	89 17%
Base for stats Mean Score Standard Deviation Standard Error Error variance		858 2.97 .833 .028	45 2.85 .912 .133 .02	25 3.11 .894 .211 .04	44 2.77 .931 .139 .02	35 3.00 .684 .121 .01	10 2.80 1.029 .460 .21	80 2.98 .843 .094	19 3.06 .759 .170 .03	34 3.07 .822 .137 .02	64 2.99 .798 .098 .01	5 2.78 .459 .205 .04	443 2.95 .828 .040	415 2.99 .839 .041



Q5_2. Wolves help to control populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

					Age)				Age	
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%			а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
Unweighted Total		1037	106	145	165	173	174	274	251	338	448
Total		1034 100%	108 100%	159 100%	165 100%	178 100%	165 100%	259 100%	267 100%	343 100%	424 100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	222 21%	29 27% bc	27 17%	28 17%	44 24%	42 25%	53 20%	56 21%	71 21%	94 22%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	448 43%	33 31%	67 42%	81 49% a	78 44% a	85 51% af	104 40%	101 38%	159 46% a	188 44%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	126 12%	14 13% e	29 18% e	17 10%	24 14% e	10 6%	32 12% e	43 16% c	41 12%	41 10%
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	62 6%	9 9%	11 7%	7 4%	8 5%	7 4%	19 7%	20 8%	15 5%	27 6%
Don't know		176 17%	21 20%	26 16%	32 19%	24 14%	22 13%	51 20%	47 18%	56 16%	73 17%
NET: Agree		670 65%	63 58%	94 59%	108 66%	122 68%	126 77% abcf	157 61%	157 59%	230 67% a	283 67% a
NET: Disagree		188 18%	24 22% e	39 25% ce	24 15%	32 18% e	17 10%	51 20% e	63 24% bc	57 17%	68 16%
Base for stats Mean Score		858 2.97	87 2.96	133 2.82	133 2.97	154 3.02	143 3.12 bf	208 2.92	220 2.88	287 3.00	351 3.00
Standard Deviation Standard Error Error variance		.833 .028 *	.973 .106 .01	.845 .076 .01	.747 .065 *	.811 .066 *	.742 .061 *	.880 .059 *	.897 .063 *	.781 .046 *	.832 .043 *



Q5_2. Wolves help to control populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

						Diet				
		Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian		Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%			а	b		С	*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total		1037	901	126		65	18	24	11	8
Total		1034 100%	900 100%	124 100%		63 100%	18 100%	24 100%	11 100%	7 100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	222 21%	193 21%	25 21%		13 20%	3 15%	5 20%	4 36%	1 15%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	448 43%	396 44%	51 41%		28 44%	5 27%	8 32%	5 46%	5 70%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	126 12%	109 12%	17 14%		10 16%	1 5%	4 18%		1 15%
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	62 6%	50 6%	12 9%		2 3%	6 30%	3 13%	1 9%	-
Don't know		176 17%	153 17%	20 16%		10 16%	4 23%	4 17%	1 9%	-
NET: Agree		670 65%	589 65%	76 61%		41 64%	8 42%	13 52%	9 82%	6 85%
NET: Disagree		188 18%	159 18%	28 23%		12 20%	6 35%	7 31%	1 9%	1 15%
Base for stats Mean Score Standard Deviation Standard Error		858 2.97 .833 .028	748 2.98 .819 .030	104 2.86 .915 .089	2 .7	53 2.97 777 107	14 2.36 1.223 .327	20 2.72 1.018 .228	10 3.20 .914 .289	7 2.99 .591 .209
Error variance		.026	.030	.01		.01	.11	.05	.08	.04



Q5_3. Wolves in the wild are an opportunity for tourism in rural and natural areas: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? BASE: All respondents

	Γ							France region	ns					
								Basse						Pays de
		Total	Île-de-France	Champagne-Ardenne	Picardie	Haute-Normandie	Centre	Normandie	Bourgogne	Nord-Pas-de-Calais	Lorraine	Alsace	Franche-Comté	la Loire
Unweighted Total		1037	205	19	33	29	44	23	26	68	40	29	17	62
Total		1034	194	22	31	30	42	24	27	66	39	30	19	59
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	4.0)	171	28	5	3	7	4	4	6	13	7	9	5	9
		17%	15%	21%	10%	25%	9%	17%	22%	19%	17%	28%	24%	15%
Tend to agree	3.0)	345	75	7	13	8	16	10	10	24	15	10	6	16
-		33%	38%	31%	43%	28%	39%	40%	36%	36%	40%	32%	30%	27%
Tend to disagree	2.0)	206	35	4	10	7	11	4	4	10	12	5	2	10
		20%	18%	16%	33%	24%	25%	17%	15%	15%	30%	17%	12%	18%
Strongly disagree	1.0)	112	22	2	1	1	5	2	4	4	1	2	2	5
		11%	11%	10%	3%	3%	12%	8%	15%	6%	3%	7%	11%	9%
Don't know		200	35	5	4	6	6	4	3	16	4	5	5	19
		19%	18%	21%	12%	21%	15%	18%	11%	24%	10%	16%	24%	32%
NET: Agree		516	103	11	17	16	20	14	16	37	22	18	10	24
		50%	53%	52%	53%	52%	48%	57%	58%	55%	57%	60%	53%	41%
NET: Disagree		318	57	6	11	8	15	6	8	14	13	7	4	16
		31%	29%	27%	36%	27%	37%	25%	31%	21%	33%	24%	23%	27%
Base for stats		834	160	17	28	24	35	20	24	50	35	25	15	40
Mean Score		2.69	2.69	2.80	2.67	2.93	2.53	2.81	2.73	2.91	2.80	2.97	2.86	2.68
Standard Deviation		.945	.920	1.008	.724	.895	.868	.896	1.046	.870	.792	.948	1.057	.969
Standard Error		.033	.071	.260	.134	.187	.143	.206	.218	.121	.132	.193	.293	.150
Error variance	L	*	.01	.07	.02	.03	.02	.04	.05	.01	.02	.04	.09	.02



Q5_3. Wolves in the wild are an opportunity for tourism in rural and natural areas: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? BASE: All respondents

		France regions								Gen	der			
		Total	Bretagne	Poitou Charentes	Aquitaine	Midi-Pyrénées	Limousin	Rhône-Alpes	Auvergne	Languedoc-Roussillon	Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur	Corse	Male	Female
Significance Level: 95%			а	*b	С	d	*e	f	*g	h	i	*j	а	b
Unweighted Total		1037	56	21	55	43	6	104	23	46	83	5	487	547
Total		1034	53	29	53	48	12	103	22	44	81	5	500	534
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		100%	100%		100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	171	7	6	6	6	2	20	5	9	12	1	83	87
		17%	13%	19%	11%	12%	17%	19%	22%	20%	14%	22%	17%	16%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	345	19	11	18	17	6	18	3	13	29	1	185	160
	` '	33%	36%	38%	33%	36%	50%	18%	12%	31%	37%	22%	37%	30%
			f		f	f					f		b	
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	206	11	8	10	12	-	23	5	7	13	1	109	97
		20%	21%	29%	18%	26%	-	23%	23%	17%	16%	17%	22%	18%
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	112	7	3	10	5	2	18	2	5	10	1	50	62
	` ,	11%	14%	9%	18%	10%	16%	17%		11%	12%	19%	10%	
Don't know		200	8	1	10	8	2	24	8	9	17	1	72	128
		19%	16%	5%	20%	17%	17%	23%	34%	22%	22%	21%	14%	
														а
NET: Agree		516	26	17	23	23	8	38	8	22	41	2	269	247
		50%	49%	57%	44%	48%	67%	37%	35%	51%	51%	43%	54%	46%
													b	
NET: Disagree		318	19	11	19	17	2	41	7	12	22	2	159	159
		31%	35%	38%	37%	36%	16%	40%	31%	28%	27%	36%	32%	30%
Base for stats		834	44	28	43	40	10	79	15	34	63	4	428	406
Mean Score		2.69	2.56	2.70	2.45	2.60	2.80	2.52	2.73	2.76	2.68	2.59	2.71	2.67
Standard Deviation		.945	.951	.912	.992	.881	1.029	1.101	1.102	.995	.948	1.289	.913	.979
Standard Error		.033	.139	.204	.150	.147	.460	.123	.285	.166	.118	.644	.045	.048
Error variance		*	.02	.04	.02	.02	.21	.02	.08	.03	.01	.42	*	*



Q5_3. Wolves in the wild are an opportunity for tourism in rural and natural areas: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? BASE: All respondents

BASE: All respondents

Significance Level: 95%
Unweighted Total

Total

Strongly agree (4.0)

Tend to agree (3.0)

Tend to disagree (2.0)

Strongly disagree (1.0)

NET: Agree

NET: Disagree

Base for stats Mean Score Standard Deviation Standard Error Error variance

			Age)	_			Age	
Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
	а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
1037	106	145	165	173	174	274	251	338	448
1034	108	159	165	178	165	259	267	343	424
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
171	18	27	23	32	35	35	45	55	70
17%	16%	17%	14%	18%	21% f	14%	17%	16%	17%
345	36	59	61	65	60	65	95	126	124
33%	33%	37%	37%	36%	36%	25%	36%	37%	29%
		f	f	f	f			С	
206	18	37	33	30	28	61	55	62	88
20%	17%	23%	20%	17%	17%	23%	21%	18%	21%
112	14	11	10	14	17	47	24	24	64
11%	13%	7%	6%	8%	10%	18%	9%	7%	15%
						bcde			ab
200	23	25	38	37	26	52	48	75	78
19%	21%	16%	23%	21%	16%	20%	18%	22%	18%
516	53	87	84	97	94	100	140	181	194
50%	49%	54%	51%	54%	57%	39%	52%	53%	46%
		f	f	f	f				
318	32	48	43	44	45	107	79	87	152
31%	30%	30%	26%	25%	27%	41%	30%	25%	36%
						abcde			b
834	85	134	127	141	139	207	219	268	346
2.69	2.67	2.77	2.77	2.82	2.81	2.43	2.73	2.79	2.58
		f	f	f	f			С	
.945	.984	.866	.836	.905	.954	1.020	.913	.872	1.009
.033	.107	.078	.074	.077	.079	.069	.064	.054	.053
*	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	*	*	*	*



Q5_3. Wolves in the wild are an opportunity for tourism in rural and natural areas: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? BASE: All respondents

		Diet							
	Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian		Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%		а	b		С	*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total	1037	901	126		65	18	24	11	8
Total	1034 100%	900 100%	124 100%		63 100%	18 100%	24 100%	11 100%	7 100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	171 17%	143 16%	25 20%		8 13%	5 26%	8 33%	3 27%	1 15%
Tend to agree (3.0)	345 33%	296 33%	46 37%		26 42%	7 39%	6 24%	4 37%	3 42%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	206 20%	182 20%	22 18%		12 18%	1 6%	5 22%	2 18%	2 29%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	112 11%	98 11%	12 10%		7 11%	3 16%	2 9%		-
Don't know	200 19%	181 20%	18 15%		10 16%	2 12%	3 12%	2 18%	1 15%
NET: Agree	516 50%	439 49%	71 58%		35 55%	12 65%	14 57%	7 64%	4 57%
NET: Disagree	318 31%	280 31%	34 27%		18 29%	4 22%	7 31%	2 18%	2 29%
Base for stats Mean Score Standard Deviation Standard Error	834 2.69 .945 .033	719 2.67 .944 .035	106 2.80 .932 .090		53 2.68 .898 .122	16 2.85 1.078 .270	21 2.93 1.030 .225	9 3.11 .778 .259	6 2.83 .759 .287
Error variance	.033	.035	.090		.01	.07	.05	.07	.08



								France region	ns					
								Basse						Pays de
		Total	Île-de-France	Champagne-Ardenne	Picardie	Haute-Normandie	Centre	Normandie	Bourgogne	Nord-Pas-de-Calais	Lorraine	Alsace	Franche-Comté	la Loire
Unweighted Total		1037	205	19	33	29	44	23	26	68	40	29	17	62
Total		1034	194	22	31	30	42	24	27	66	39	30	19	59
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	4.0)	236	46	7	6	5	7	6	4	20	7	7	6	14
		23%	23%	32%	19%	17%	18%	25%	15%	30%	17%	25%	30%	24%
Tend to agree	3.0)	415	81	7	17	15	19	10	15	18	20	10	6	22
		40%	42%	31%	54%	49%	46%	40%	55%	28%	53%	32%	30%	36%
Tend to disagree	2.0)	117	17	1	3	2	4	4	1	14	8	5	3	5
		11%	9%	6%	10%	7%	9%	18%	4%	21%	20%	17%	17%	8%
Strongly disagree	1.0)	52	9	1	1	3	2	1	3	7	2	1	-	1
		5%	4%	5%	3%	10%	4%	5%	12%	10%	5%	3%	-	1%
Don't know		215	42	6	5	5	9	3	4	7	2	7	5	18
		21%	22%	26%	15%	16%	23%	12%	15%	10%	5%	23%	24%	30%
NET: Agree		651	127	14	23	20	27	16	19	39	27	17	11	36
		63%	65%	63%	73%	66%	64%	65%	69%	58%	70%	56%	59%	60%
NET: Disagree		169	25	2	4	5	6	5	4	21	10	6	3	6
		16%	13%	11%	13%	18%	13%	22%	16%	32%	25%	21%	17%	9%
Base for stats		819	152	16	27	25	32	21	23	60	37	23	15	41
Mean Score		3.02	3.08	3.21	3.03	2.87	3.00	2.98	2.85	2.87	2.86	3.01	3.17	3.19
Standard Deviation		.827	.797	.893	.697	.891	.768	.859	.891	1.019	.777	.860	.793	.720
Standard Error		.029	.063	.239	.132	.182	.132	.192	.190	.130	.126	.183	.220	.110
Error variance		*	*	.06	.02	.03	.02	.04	.04	.02	.02	.03	.05	.01



		France regions									Gen	der	
	T		Poitou		M. P. D. / /		DIA AI			D 41 00: 114	0		
Significance Level: 95%	Total	Bretagne	Charentes *b	Aquitaine	Midi-Pyrénées d	Limousin *e	Rhône-Alpes	Auvergne	Languedoc-Roussillon h	Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur	Corse	Male	Female b
Significance Level: 95%		а	D	С	d	е	ļ	*g	n	ı	J	а	ь
Unweighted Total	1037	56	21	55	43	6	104	23	46	83	5	487	547
Total	1034	53	29	53	48	12	103	22	44	81	5	500	534
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	236	14	8	8	10	2	23	5	12	19	-	113	123
	23%	27%	28%	14%	22%	17%	22%	23%	26%	24%	-	23%	23%
Tend to agree (3.0)	415	17	12	28	21	6	30	9	18	30	4	216	198
	40%	32%	43%	52%	45%	50%	29%	39%	41%	37%	83%	43%	37%
				af								b	
Tend to disagree (2.0)	117	7	6	4	2	-	14	2	4	11	1	63	54
	11%	12%	19%	8%	5%	-	13%	8%	8%	14%	17%	13%	10%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	52	3	-	5	-	2	5	1	1	5	-	25	27
	5%	5%	-	9% d	-	16%	5%	4%	2%	6%	-	5%	5%
				_									
Don't know	215	12	3	9	14	2	32	6	9	16	-	82	132
	21%	23%	10%	17%	29%	17%	31%	26%	22%	19%	-	16%	25% a
NET: Agree	651	31	21	35	32	8	53	14	30	49	4	330	321
	63%	59%	71%	66%	67%		51%	62%	68%	61%		66%	60%
NET: Disagree	169	9	6	9	2	2	18	3	5	16	1	88	81
	16%	18%	19%	17%	5%	16%	18%	13%	11%	20%	17%	18%	15%
							d			d			
Base for stats	819	41	26	44	34	10	71	16	34	65	5	417	402
Mean Score	3.02	3.05	3.10	2.86	3.24 c	2.80	3.00	3.08	3.17	2.98	2.83	3.00	3.04
Standard Deviation	.827	.900	.733	.835	.571	1.029	.890	.823	.734	.875	.422	.814	.841
Standard Error	.029	.137	.168	.124	.103	.460	.106	.200	.122	.107	.189	.040	.042
Error variance	*	.02	.03	.02	.01	.21	.01	.04	.01	.01	.04	*	*



		Age							Age	
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
Unweighted Total	1037	106	145	165	173	174	274	251	338	448
Total	1034 100%	108 100%	159 100%	165 100%	178 100%	165 100%	259 100%	267 100%	343 100%	424 100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	236 23%	29 27%	34 21%	26 16%	48 27%	44 27% c	54 21%	63 23%	75 22%	99 23%
Tend to agree (3.0)	415 40%	c 41 38%	63 40%	67 41%	c 72 40%	71 43%	100 39%	104 39%	140 41%	171 40%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	117 11%	12 12%	28 17% ef	21 13%	20 11%	14 9%	21 8%	40 15% c	41 12%	36 8%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	52 5%	4 4%	6 4%	10 6%	8 5%	6 4%	17 6%	11 4%	18 5%	23 5%
Don't know	215 21%	22 20%	28 18%	40 24%	30 17%	29 17%	66 26% de	50 19%	70 20%	95 22%
NET: Agree	651 63%	70 64%	97 61%	94 57%	121 68% c	116 70% cf	154 60%	167 62%	214 63%	270 64%
NET: Disagree	169 16%	17 15%	34 21% e	31 19%	28 16%	21 13%	38 15%	51 19%	59 17%	59 14%
Base for stats Mean Score	819 3.02	86 3.09	131 2.95	125 2.88	148 3.08 c	136 3.12 c	192 3.00	217 3.01	273 2.99	329 3.05
Standard Deviation Standard Error Error variance	.827 .029 *	.821 .090 .01	.817 .075 .01	.829 .074 .01	.822 .069 *	.780 .065 *	.863 .061 *	.820 .057 *	.830 .051 *	.831 .045 *



		Diet						
	Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	С	*d	*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total	1037	901	126	65	18	24	11	8
Total	1034	900	124	63	18	24	11	7
	100%	100%	100%	100		100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.		196	38	21		9	3	1
	23%	22%	30% a	33 [,] a		37%	27%	15%
Tend to agree (3.)) 415	374	38	21	6	5	5	1
	40%	42% b	31%	33'	% 33%	22%	46%	15%
Tend to disagree (2.)) 117	98	19	3		3	1	5
	11%	11%	15% c	51	% 36%	12%	9%	71%
Strongly disagree (1.		46	5	2		3	-	-
	5%	5%	4%	3	-	13%	-	-
Don't know	215 21%	186 21%	24 19%	16 25'		4 16%	2 18%	-
NET: Agree	651 63%	571 63%	76 61%	42 67'		14 59%	8 73%	2 29%
NET: Disagree	169 16%	144 16%	24 19%	5 8'		6 25%	1 9%	5 71%
	1076	1076	C		70 3070	25/6	978	7176
Base for stats	819	714	100	47	16	20	9	7
Mean Score	3.02	3.01	3.09	3.30 a		2.99	3.22	2.44
Standard Deviation	.827	.818	.875	.773	.803	1.118	.665	.792
Standard Error	.029	.031	.088	.113	.201	.250	.222	.280
Error variance	*	*	.01	.01	.04	.06	.05	.08



Q5_5. Wolves improve the overall health of populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares) by killing off those that are diseased, weak or old: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

							France region	ıs					
		2					Basse	_					Pays de
	Total	Île-de-France	Champagne-Ardenne	Picardie	Haute-Normandie	Centre	Normandie	Bourgogne	Nord-Pas-de-Calais	Lorraine	Alsace	Franche-Comté	la Loire
Unweighted Total	1037	205	19	33	29	44	23	26	68	40	29	17	62
Total	1034	194	22	31	30	42	24	27	66	39	30	19	59
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.0		41	5	6	9	7	4	5	18	7	10	5	10
	21%	21%	21%	18%	31%	18%	17%	19%	27%	17%	32%	24%	17%
Tend to agree (3.0) 445	91	6	17	14	22	13	18	23	24	12	2	24
	43%	47%	26%	55%	48%	53%	53%	66%	34%	62%	39%	12%	41%
Tend to disagree (2.0) 114	19	2	4	4	2	3	1	10	3	6	5	7
	11%	10%	11%	12%	14%	5%	14%	4%	15%	8%	20%	23%	11%
Strongly disagree (1.0) 55	12	-	1	-	6	-	2	2	2	1	2	2
	5%	6%	-	3%	-	14%	-	8%	3%	5%	3%	12%	3%
Don't know	203	32	9	4	2	5	4	1	14	3	2	6	16
	20%	16%	42%	12%	7%	11%	17%	4%	21%	8%	6%	29%	27%
NET: Agree	662	131	10	23	24	29	17	23	40	30	21	7	34
-	64%	68%	47%	73%	79%	70%	70%	85%	61%	79%	71%	36%	58%
NET: Disagree	169	31	2	5	4	8	3	3	12	5	7	7	9
	16%	16%	11%	15%	14%	19%	14%	11%	18%	13%	23%	35%	14%
Base for stats	831	163	13	28	28	37	20	26	52	36	29	14	43
Mean Score	2.99	2.98	3.17	3.00	3.18	2.83	3.04	3.00	3.06	2.98	3.06	2.68	3.00
Standard Deviation	.815	.819	.754	.700	.687	.936	.619	.756	.837	.720	.841	1.145	.760
Standard Error	.028	.063	.227	.130	.132	.150	.142	.151	.114	.118	.162	.331	.113
Error variance	*	*	.05	.02	.02	.02	.02	.02	.01	.01	.03	.11	.01



Q5_5. Wolves improve the overall health of populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares) by killing off those that are diseased, weak or old: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? BASE: All respondents

		France regions						Gen	der				
	To	al Bretagn	Poitou Charentes	Aquitaine	Midi-Pyrénées	Limousin	Rhône-Alpes	Auvergne	Languedoc-Roussillon	Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur	Corse	Male	Female
Significance Level: 95%			a *b	С	d	*e	f	*g	h	i	*j	а	b
Unweighted Total	10	7 5	3 21	55	43	6	104	23	46	83	5	487	547
Total	10	4 5 0% 10		53 5 100%	48 100%	12 100%	103 100%	22 100%	44 100%	81 100%	5 100%	500 100%	534 100%
Strongly agree (.0) 2		3 10 5% 33%	11 20%	9 19%	2 17%	22 21%	6 27%	10 22%	13 17%	1 22%	112 22%	105 20%
Tend to agree (3		5 2 3% 4	4 8 5% 28%	16 29%	26 54% cf	6 50%	37 36%	10 44%	16 38%	33 41%	3 61%	237 47% b	208 39%
Tend to disagree (:	.0) 1		7 6 3% 19%	9 16%	2 5%	-	10 10%	1 4%	5 11%	7 9%	1 17%	60 12%	54 10%
Strongly disagree (.0)	5% 1	7 - 2% - d	5 9% d	-	2 16%	6 5%	1 4%	1 2%	4 5%	-	23 5%	32 6%
Don't know	2		6 2% 19%	13 25%	10 21%	2 17%	28 27% a	5 21%	12 28% a	23 29% a	-	68 14%	135 25% a
NET: Agree		2 3	3 18 2% 62%	26 50%	35 74% c	8 67%	59 57%	16 70%	26 59%	46 57%	4 83%	349 70% b	314 59%
NET: Disagree	1	6% 2	1 6 6% 19% 1	14 25% d	2 5%	2 16%	16 15%	2 8%	6 13%	11 14%	1 17%	83 17%	86 16%
Base for stats Mean Score	8 2.	9 2.7	3.18	40 2.81	37 3.18 a	10 2.80	75 3.00	17 3.18	32 3.09	57 2.98	5 3.04	431 3.02	400 2.97
Standard Deviation Standard Error Error variance	.0		.195	.984 .154 .02	.531 .091 .01	1.029 .460 .21	.859 .099 .01	.779 .184 .03	.760 .132 .02	.801 .104 .01	.695 .311 .10	.781 .038 *	.850 .042 *



Q5_5. Wolves improve the overall health of populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares) by killing off those that are diseased, weak or old: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Age							Age	
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
Unweighted Total	1037	106	145	165	173	174	274	251	338	448
Total	1034	108	159	165	178	165	259	267	343	424
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	217	17	29	29	43	46	53	46	72	99
	21%	16%	19%	18%	24%	28% ac	21%	17%	21%	23%
Tend to agree (3.0)	445	49	59	69	77	75	116	108	146	191
	43%	45%	37%	42%	43%	45%	45%	40%	43%	45%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	114	12	30	20	18	13	20	42	38	33
	11%	11%	19% def	12%	10%	8%	8%	16% c	11%	8%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	55	5	12	6	6	7	19	17	12	27
,	5%	5%	7%	3%	3%	4%	7%	6%	3%	6%
Don't know	203	25	29	42	34	25	49	54	75	74
	20%	23%	18%	25% e	19%	15%	19%	20%	22%	18%
NET: Agree	662	66	89	97	120	120	170	154	218	290
	64%	61%	56%	59%	68% b	73% abc	66% b	58%	63%	68% a
NET: Disagree	169	18	42	26	24	20	40	59	50	59
NET. Disagree	16%	16%	26%	16%	14%	12%	15%	22%	15%	14%
			cdef					bc		
Base for stats	831	83	130	123	145	140	209	214	268	350
Mean Score	2.99	2.93	2.82	2.98	3.09 b	3.13 b	2.97	2.86	3.04 a	3.04 a
Standard Deviation	.815	.777	.887	.764	.766	.779	.851	.846	.766	.826
Standard Error	.028	.086	.081	.069	.065	.064	.057	.060	.047	.043
Error variance	*	.01	.01	*	*	*	*	*	*	*



Q5_5. Wolves improve the overall health of populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares) by killing off those that are diseased, weak or old: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

				Di	et			
	Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
Significance Level: 95%	rotai	а	b	C		*e	*f	*g
Unweighted Total	1037	901	126	65	18	24	11	8
Total	1034 100%	900 100%	124 100%	63 100		24 100%	11 100%	7 100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	217 21%	186 21%	30 24%	14 22		6 24%	3 27%	1 15%
Tend to agree (3.0)	445 43%	388 43%	54 44%	29 46		9 38%	6 55%	4 54%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	114 11%	103 11%	10 8%	3 5	- % -	4 17%		2 32%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	55 5%	44 5%	10 8%	5 8	2 % 11%	3 13%		
Don't know	203 20%	179 20%	20 16%	12 18		2 9%	2 17%	
NET: Agree	662 64%	574 64%	84 68%	43 68		15 62%	9 83%	5 68%
NET: Disagree	169 16%	147 16%	20 16%	9 14		7 29%		2 32%
Base for stats Mean Score Standard Deviation Standard Error Error variance	831 2.99 .815 .028	721 2.99 .803 .030	104 3.00 .882 .086 .01	52 3.01 .868 .120 .01	3.13 1.011 .270	22 2.80 1.001 .213 .05	9 3.33 .499 .166 .03	7 2.83 .710 .251 .06



GenderBASE: All respondents

Unweighted Total
Total
Mala
Male
Female
Non-binary
In some other way
Prefer not to say

						France region	ıs					
Total	Île-de-France	Champagne-Ardenne	Picardie	Haute-Normandie	Centre	Basse Normandie	Bourgogne	Nord-Pas-de-Calais	Lorraine	Alsace	Franche-Comté	Pays de la Loire
1037	205	19	33	29	44	23	26	68	40	29	17	62
1034 100%	194 100%	22 100%	31 100%	30 100%	42 100%	24 100%	27 100%	66 100%	39 100%	30 100%	19 100%	59 100%
500 48%	104 54%	2 11%	22 71%	10 32%	22 53%	10 41%	5 20%	35 53%	21 55%	19 61%	12 65%	21 36%
534 52%	90 46%	20 89%	9 29%	21 68%	20 47%	14 59%	22 80%	31 47%	17 45%	12 39%	7 35%	38 64%
		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-		-		-	-	-		-	-		-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-



Prefer not to say

GenderBASE: All respondents

Significance Level: 95% Unweighted Total Total Male Female Non-binary In some other way

						France regio	ns				Gen	der
Total	Bretagne	Poitou Charentes	Aquitaine	Midi-Pyrénées	Limousin	Rhône-Alpes	Auvergne	Languedoc-Roussillon	Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur	Corse	Male	Female
	а	*b	С	d	*e	f	*g	h	i	*j	а	b
1037	56	21	55	43	6	104	23	46	83	5	487	547
1034	53	29	53	48	12	103	22	44	81	5	500	534
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
500	22	15	26	22	10	48	9	17	42	4	500	-
48%	41%	53%	48%	46%	83%	47%	41%	38%	52%	83%	100%	-
											b	
534	31	14	27	26	2	55	13	27	39	1	-	534
52%	59%	47%	52%	54%	17%	53%	59%	62%	48%	17%	-	100%
												а
_	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	_	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
_	_									_		_
	_	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
_	· ·	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
l -	l -	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	l <u>.</u>	_ '



GenderBASE: All respondents

Significance Level: 95%
Unweighted Total
Total
Male
Female
Non-binary
. Con Sandary
In some other way
Desta and to any
Prefer not to say

			Ag					Age	
Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
	а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
1037	106	145	165	173	174	274	251	338	448
1034	108	159	165	178	165	259	267	343	424
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
500	56	49	64	86	83	162	105	150	245
48%	51%	31%	39%	48%	50%	63%	39%	44%	58%
	bc			b	bc	abcde			ab
534	52	110	101	93	82	96	162	193	179
52%	49%	69%	61%	52%	50%	37%	61%	56%	42%
	f	adef	aef	f	f		С	С	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-



GenderBASE: All respondents

Significance Level: 95%
Unweighted Total
Total
Male
Female
Non-binary
In some other way
Prefer not to say

				Diet						Awareness of 'new	v category meat'			of trying 'new ry meat'
Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian		Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan	NET: Heard of	Heard of and understands	Heard of, but doesn't understand	Not heard of	NET: Likely	NET: Unlikely
	а	b		С	*d	*e	*f	*g	а	b	С	d	а	b
1037	901	126		65	18	24	11	8	471	205	266	516	355	527
1034 100%	900 100%	124 100%		63 00%	18 100%	24 100%	11 100%	7 100%	474 100%	207 100%	267 100%	509 100%	358 100%	521 100%
500 48%	453 50% bc	43 34%		21 33%	8 46%	8 35%	3 28%	2 29%	279 59% d	121 58% d	158 59% d	195 38%	179 50%	263 50%
534 52%	447 50%	81 66% a		43 67% a	10 54%	16 65%	8 72%	5 71%	195 41%	86 42%	109 41%	314 62% abc	179 50%	258 50%
-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	_	-		_	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	_	_	-
-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-



							France region	ıs					
							Basse						Pays de
	Total	Île-de-France	Champagne-Ardenne	Picardie	Haute-Normandie	Centre	Normandie	Bourgogne	Nord-Pas-de-Calais	Lorraine	Alsace	Franche-Comté	la Loire
Unweighted Total	1037	205	19	33	29	44	23	26	68	40	29	17	62
Total	1034	194	22	31	30	42	24	27	66	39	30	19	59
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
18-24	108	24	5	2	3	2	1	1	8	3	-	2	13
	10%	12%	21%	6%	11%	5%	5%	4%	13%	8%	-	12%	22%
25-34	159	34	8	2	7	7	5	5	13	5	4	2	7
	15%	17%	38%	7%	22%	16%	19%	17%	19%	14%	12%	12%	12%
35-44	165	34	2	2	4	6	3	2	11	7	3	5	8
	16%	18%	10%	6%	14%	13%	13%	8%	16%	17%	11%	24%	13%
45-54	178	31	5	9	6	5	4	4	14	9	7	-	7
	17%	16%	21%	29%	21%	11%	18%	16%	21%	23%	22%	-	11%
55-64	165	30	2	6	6	6	9	6	10	4	9	6	6
	16%	15%	10%	20%	19%	15%	36%	22%	15%	10%	30%	29%	11%
65+	259	42	-	10	4	16	2	9	10	11	8	4	18
	25%	22%	-	31%	13%	39%	8%	32%	15%	28%	26%	23%	30%
NET: 18-34	267	57	13	4	10	9	6	6	21	8	4	5	20
	26%	30%	59%	13%	33%	21%	24%	21%	32%	22%	12%	24%	34%
NET: 35-54	343	65	7	11	11	10	8	7	25	16	10	5	14
	33%	33%	31%	35%	35%	25%	31%	24%	37%	40%	33%	24%	24%
NET: 55+	424	72	2	16	10	23	11	15	20	15	17	10	24
	41%	37%	10%	52%	32%	54%	45%	55%	30%	38%	56%	52%	41%
Base for stats	1034	194	22	31	30	42	24	27	66	39	30	19	59
Mean Score	48.18	46.83	35.33	53.79	43.44	52.96	47.69	53.25	44.35	48.89	53.82	49.57	46.05
Standard Deviation	16.973	17.139	11.775	14.500	15.573	16.203	15.213	16.233	15.970	16.638	13.325	18.713	19.476
Standard Error	.527	1.197	2.701	2.524	2.892	2.443	3.172	3.184	1.937	2.631	2.474	4.538	2.473
Error variance	.28	1.43	7.30	6.37	8.36	5.97	10.06	10.14	3.75	6.92	6.12	20.60	6.12



Significance Level: 95%
Unweighted Total
Total
18-24
25-34
35-44
45-54
55-64
65+
NET: 18-34
NET: 35-54
NET: 55+
Base for stats Mean Score
Standard Deviation Standard Error Error variance

						France region	ns		-		Gen	der
Total	Bretagne	Poitou Charentes	Aquitaine	Midi-Pyrénées	Limousin	Rhône-Alpes	Auvergne	Languedoc-Roussillon	Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur	Corse	Male	Female
	а	*b	С	d	*e	f	*g	h	i	*j	а	b
1037	56	21	55	43	6	104	23	46	83	5	487	547
1034	53	29	53	48	12	103	22	44	81	5	500	534
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
108	9	1	8	-	-	6	2	4	13	-	56	52
10%	17%	5%	16%	-	-	5%	9%	9%	16%	-	11%	10%
	df		df					d	df			
159	7	6	4	7	-	13	4	4	13	2	49	110
15%	14%	20%	8%	15%	-	13%	20%	10%	16%	43%	10%	21% a
165	8	3	16	2	2	19	3	10	14	1	64	101
16%	16%	10%	29%	5%	17%	19%	13%	22%	17%	21%	13%	19%
			d			d		d				а
178	13	7	6	8	6	21	3	6	8	-	86	93
17%	25% i	24%	11%	17%	50%	20%	13%	13%	10%	-	17%	17%
165	5	3	8	9	-	13	6	8	10	2	83	82
16%	10%	10%	15%	18%	-	13%	28%	19%	12%	36%	17%	15%
259	10	10	11	21	4	31	4	12	23	-	162	96
25%	18%	33%	20%	45%	33%	30%	17%	27%	29%	-	33%	18%
				ac							b	
267	16	7	13	7	-	19	6	8	25	2	105	162
26%	31%	24%	24%	15%	-	18%	29%	19%	32%	43%	21%	30%
									df			а
343	22	10	22	10	8	40	6	16	22	1	150	193
33%	41%	33%	40%	22%	67%	39%	26%	35%	27%	21%	30%	36%
	d											а
424	15	12	19	30	4	44	10	20	33	2	245	179
41%	28%	42%	36%	63%	33%	43%	45%	46%	42%	36%	49%	33%
				acfi							b	
1034	53	29	53	48	12	103	22	44	81	5	500	534
48.18	44.76	51.12	45.54	55.57	54.61	50.45	48.46	50.24	46.40	40.83	51.02	45.53
16.973	16.383	17.596	17.366	aci 15.231	13.237	a 16.520	17.134	16.864	18.411	17.835	b 17.262	16.272
.527	2.189	3.840	2.342	2.323	5.404	1.620	3.573	2.486	2.021	7.976	.782	.696
.28	4.79	14.74	5.48	5.40	29.20	2.62	12.76	6.18	4.08	63.61	.61	.48



Significance Level: 95%
Unweighted Total
Total
18-24
25.04
25-34
35-44
45-54
55-64
55-04
65+
NET: 18-34
NET: 35-54
NET: 55+
Base for stats
Mean Score
Standard Deviation Standard Error
Error variance

			Age					Age	
Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
	а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
1037	106	145	165	173	174	274	251	338	448
1034	108	159	165	178	165	259	267	343	424
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
108	108	-	-	-	-	-	108	-	-
10%	100% bcdef	-	-	-	-	-	40% bc	-	-
159	-	159	-	-	-	-	159	-	-
15%	-	100% acdef	-	-	-	-	60% bc	-	-
165	-	-	165	-	-	-	-	165	-
16%	-	-	100% abdef	-	-	-	-	48% ac	-
178	-	-	-	178	-	-	-	178	-
17%	-	-	-	100% abcef	-	-	-	52% ac	-
165	-	-	-	-	165	-	-	-	165
16%	-	-	-	-	100% abcdf	-	-	-	39% ab
259	-	-	-	-	-	259	-	-	259
25%	-	-	-	-	-	100% abcde	-	-	61% ab
267	108	159	-	-	-	-	267	-	-
26%	100% cdef	100% cdef	-	-	-	-	100% bc	-	-
343	-	-	165	178	-	-	-	343	-
33%	-	-	100% abef	100% abef	-	-	-	100% ac	-
424	-	-	-	-	165	259	-	-	424
41%	-	-	-	-	100% abcd	100% abcd	-	-	100% ab
1034	108	159	165	178	165	259	267	343	424
48.18	20.51	29.28	39.38	48.91	59.53	69.20	25.74	44.34	65.43
16.973	2.019	a 2.677	ab 3.105	abc 2.894	abcd 2.939	abcde 3.733	4.946	a 5.631	ab 5.844
.527	.196	.222	.242	.220	.223	.226	.312	.306	.276
.28	.04	.05	.06	.05	.05	.05	.10	.09	.08



					Diet			-		,	Awareness of 'new	category meat'			of trying 'new
	Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian		Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan	NET: Heard of	Heard of and understands	Heard of, but doesn't understand	Not heard of	NET: Likely	NET: Unlikely
Significance Level: 95%		a	b		С	*d	*e	*f	*g	а	b	С	d	a	b
Unweighted Total	1037	901	126		65	18	24	11	8	471	205	266	516	355	527
Total	1034 100%	900 100%	124 100%		63 100%	18 100%	24 100%	11 100%	7 100%	474 100%	207 100%	267 100%	509 100%	358 100%	521 100%
18-24	108 10%	85 9%	20 16% a		9 15%	4 23%	4 17%	1 9%	1 15%	54 11%	26 12%	28 11%	45 9%	57 16% b	33 6%
25-34	159 15%	128 14%	32 25% a		14 22%	4 23%	10 41%	1 10%	2 32%	73 15%	38 18%	35 13%	78 15%	65 18% b	66 13%
35-44	165 16%	140 16%	23 18%		9 14%	3 18%	4 16%	4 37%	3 39%	72 15%	28 14%	44 16%	80 16%	63 17%	77 15%
45-54	178 17%	165 18% b	13 11%		9 15%	1 5%	1 4%	1 9%	1 15%	86 18%	34 16%	52 19%	85 17%	63 17%	89 17%
55-64	165 16%	148 16%	16 13%		13 20%	-	2 8%	1 9%	-	72 15%	27 13%	45 17%	90 18%	49 14%	92 18%
65+	259 25%	235 26% bc	21 17%		9 14%	6 31%	4 15%	3 27%	-	118 25%	55 26%	63 24%	132 26%	62 17%	164 32% a
NET: 18-34	267 26%	212 24%	51 41% a		23 37% a	9 47%	14 58%	2 19%	3 46%	127 27%	64 31%	63 24%	123 24%	122 34% b	99 19%
NET: 35-54	343 33%	305 34%	36 29%		18 29%	4 23%	5 20%	5 45%	4 54%	158 33%	62 30%	96 36%	165 32%	125 35%	166 32%
NET: 55+	424 41%	383 43% b	37 29%		22 34%	6 31%	5 22%	4 36%	-	189 40%	81 39%	108 40%	222 44%	111 31%	256 49% a
Base for stats Mean Score	1034 48.18	900 48.96 bc	124 42.87	4	63 4.38	18 42.67	24 39.48	11 46.86	7 35.73	474 47.97	207 47.11	267 48.64	509 48.92	358 44.14	521 51.46 a
Standard Deviation Standard Error Error variance	16.973 .527 .28	16.769 .559 .31	17.181 1.531 2.34	2	.998 .108 4.45	21.423 5.050 25.50	16.520 3.372 11.37	15.019 4.528 20.51	11.271 3.985 15.88	17.143 .790 .62	17.559 1.226 1.50	16.815 1.031 1.06	16.632 .732 .54	16.778 .891 .79	16.364 .713 .51



							France region	S					
	Total	Île-de-France	Champagne-Ardenne	Picardie	Haute-Normandie	Centre	Basse Normandie	Bourgogne	Nord-Pas-de-Calais	Lorraine	Alsace	Franche-Comté	Pays de la Loire
Unweighted Total	1037	205	19	33	29	44	23	26	68	40	29	17	62
Total	1034 100%	194 100%	22 100%	31 100%	30 100%	42 100%	24 100%	27 100%	66 100%	39 100%	30 100%	19 100%	59 100%
Île-de-France	194 19%	194 100%	-	- -	-	-	-	- -	-	-	- -	-	-
Champagne-Ardenne	22 2%	-	22 100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Picardie	31 3%	-	-	31 100%	- -	-	-	-	-	-	-	- -	-
Haute-Normandie	30 3%	-	-	-	30 100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Centre	42 4%	-	-	-	-	42 100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Basse Normandie	24 2%	-	-	-	-	-	24 100%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bourgogne	27 3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	27 100%	-	-	-	-	-
Nord-Pas-de-Calais	66 6%	-	-	-	- -	-	-	-	66 100%	-	-	- -	-
Lorraine	39 4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	39 100%	-	-	-
Alsace	30 3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30 100%	-	-
Franche-Comté	19 2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19 100%	-
Pays de la Loire	59 6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	59 100%
Bretagne	53 5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Poitou Charentes	29 3%	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Aquitaine	53 5%	-	-	-	- -	-	-	-	-	-	-	- -	-
Midi-Pyrénées	48 5%	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-



Unweighted Total
Total
Limousin
Rhône-Alpes
Auvergne
Languedoc-Roussillon
Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur
Corse

						France region	าร					
Total	Île-de-France	Champagne-Ardenne	Picardie	Haute-Normandie	Centre	Basse Normandie	Bourgogne	Nord-Pas-de-Calais	Lorraine	Alsace	Franche-Comté	Pays de la Loire
1037	205	19	33	29	44	23	26	68	40	29	17	62
1034 100%	194 100%	22 100%	31 100%	30 100%	42 100%	24 100%	27 100%	66 100%	39 100%	30 100%	19 100%	59 100%
12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
103	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
81	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-
1%	-	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	-



							France region	ons				Gen	der
	Total	Bretagne	Poitou Charentes	Aquitaine	Midi-Pyrénées	Limousin	Rhône-Alpes	Auvergne	Languedoc-Roussillon	Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur	Corse	Male	Female
Significance Level: 95%	Total	a	*b	С	d	*e	f	*g	h	i	*j	а	b
Unweighted Total	1037	56	21	55	43	6	104	23	46	83	5	487	547
Total	1034 100%	53 100%	29 100%	53 100%	48 100%	12 100%	103 100%	22 100%	44 100%	81 100%	5 100%	500 100%	534 100%
Île-de-France	194 19%	- -	-	-		-	-	-	- -		-	104 21%	90 17%
Champagne-Ardenne	22 2%	- -	-	-	-	-	-	-	:	÷	-	2	20 4% a
Picardie	31 3%	- -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22 4% b	9 2%
Haute-Normandie	30 3%	- -	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	10 2%	21 4%
Centre	42 4%	- -	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	22 4%	20 4%
Basse Normandie	24 2%	- -	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	10 2%	14 3%
Bourgogne	27 3%	- -	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	5 1%	22 4% a
Nord-Pas-de-Calais	66 6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	35 7%	31 6%
Lorraine	39 4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	21 4%	17 3%
Alsace	30 3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- -	-	19 4%	12 2%
Franche-Comté	19 2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- -	-	12 2%	7 1%
Pays de la Loire	59 6%	- -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	21 4%	38 7%
Bretagne	53 5%	53 100% cdfhi	-	-	-	-	-	-	:	Ξ.	-	22 4%	31 6%
Poitou Charentes	29 3%	- -	29 100%	-	-	-	-	-			-	15 3%	14 3%
Aquitaine	53 5%	- -	-	53 100% adfhi	-	-	-	-	-	:	-	26 5%	27 5%
Midi-Pyrénées	48 5%	-	-	-	48 100% acfhi	- -	-	- -	-	-		22 4%	26 5%



Region: France. Which one of these regions do you live in?

Corse

Significance Level: 95%	
Unweighted Total	
Total	
Limousin	
Rhône-Alpes	
Auvergne	
Languedoc-Roussillon	
Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur	

						France regio	ns		_		Gen	der
Total	Bretagne	Poitou Charentes	Aquitaine	Midi-Pyrénées	Limousin	Rhône-Alpes	Auvergne	Languedoc-Roussillon	Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur	Corse	Male	Female
	а	*b	С	d	*e	f	*g	h	i	*j	а	b
1037	56	21	55	43	6	104	23	46	83	5	487	547
1034	53	29	53	48	12	103	22	44	81	5	500	534
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
12 1%	- -	-	-	-	12 100%	-	-	-	- -	-	10 2% b	2
103	-	-	-	-	-	103	-	-	-	-	48	55
10%	-	-	-	-	-	100% acdhi	-	-	-	-	10%	10%
22	-	-	-	-	-	_	22	-	-	-	9	13
2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	2%	2%
44	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	44	<u>-</u>	-	17	27
4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100% acdfi	-	-	3%	5%
81	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	-	81	-	42	39
8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100% acdfh	-	8%	7%
5	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	5	4	1
1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100%	1%	*



Significance Level: 95%
Unweighted Total
Total
Île-de-France
Champagne-Ardenne
Picardie
Haute-Normandie
Centre
Basse Normandie
Bourgogne
Nord-Pas-de-Calais
Lorraine
Alsace
Franche-Comté
Pays de la Loire
•
Bretagne
Poitou Charentes
Aquitaine
•

			Age					Age 35-54 b 338 343 100% 65 19% 7 2% 11 3% 10 3% 8 2% 7 2% 25 7% 16 5% 10 3% 5 11% 14 4% 22 6% 10 3%	
Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55-
	а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	
1037	106	145	165	173	174	274	251	338	
1034	108	159	165	178	165	259	267	343	
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		
194	24	34	34	31	30	42	57	65	
19%	22%	21%	21%	17%	18%	16%	22%	19%	
22	5	8	2	5	2	-	13	7	
2%	4%	5%	1%	3%	1%	-	5%	2%	
	f	ef		f			С		
31	2	2	2	9	6	10	4	11	
3%	2%	1%	1%	5%	4%	4%	2%	3%	
				С					
30	3	7	4	6	6	4	10	11	
3%	3%	4%	3%	4%	4%	1%	4%	3%	
42	2	7	6	5	6	16	9	10	
4%	2%	4%	3%	3%	4%	6%	3%		
24	1	5	3	4	9	2	6	8	
2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	5%	1%	2%		
					f				
27	1	5	2	4	6	9	6	7	
3%	1%	3%	1%	2%	4%	3%	2%	2%	
66	8	13	11	14	10	10	21	25	
6%	8%	8%	6%	8%	6%	4%	8%	7%	
39	3	5	7	9	4	11	8	16	
4%	3%	3%	4%	5%	2%	4%	3%		
30	-	4	3	7	9	8	4	10	
3%	-	2%	2%	4%	5%	3%	1%		
				а	а				
19	2	2	5		6	4	5	5	
2%	2%	1%	3%	-	3%	2%	2%		
			d		d				
59	13	7	8	7	6	18	20	14	
6%	12%	5%	5%	4%	4%	7%	8%	4%	
	bcde								
53	9	7	8	13	5	10	16	22	
5%	8%	4%	5%	7%	3%	4%	6%	6%	
29	1	6	3	7	3	10	7	10	
3%	1%	4%	2%	4%	2%	4%	3%		
53	8	4	16	6	8	11	13	22	
5%	8%	3%	10%	3%	5%	4%	5%	6%	
			bdf						



Significance Level: 95%	
Unweighted Total	
Total	
Midi-Pyrénées	
Limousin	
Rhône-Alpes	
Auvergne	
Languedoc-Roussillon	
Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur	
Corse	

Total 1037 1034 100% 48 5% 12 1% 103 10% 22 2% 44 4% 81 8%		Age							
Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
	а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	C
1037	106	145	165	173	174	274	251	338	448
1034	108	159	165	178	165	259	267	343	424
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100
48	-	7	2	8	9	21	7	10	30
5%	-	5%	1%	5%	5%	8%	3%	3%	7
		а		а	ac	ac			al
12	-	-	2	6	-	4	-	8	
1%	-	-	1%	3%	-	2%	-	2%	
				be				а	
	6	13	19	21	13	31	19	40	4
10%	5%	8%	12%	12%	8%	12%	7%	12%	1
						а			
22	2	4	3	3	6	4	6	6	1
2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	4%	1%	2%	2%	
44	4	4	10	6	8	12	8	16	2
4%	4%	3%	6%	3%	5%	4%	3%	5%	
81	13	13	14	8	10	23	25	22	3
8%	12%	8%	8%	4%	6%	9%	10%	6%	
	d								
5	-	2	1	-	2	-	2	1	
1%	-	1%	1%	-	1%	-	1%	*	



				Die	t					Awareness of 'nev	v category meat			of trying 'new ory meat'
	Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan	NET: Heard of	Heard of and understands	Heard of, but doesn't understand	Not heard of	NET: Likely	NET: Unlikely
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	С	*d	*e	*f	*g	а	b	С	d	а	b
Unweighted Total	1037	901	126	65	18	24	11	8	471	205	266	516	355	527
Total	1034 100%	900 100%	124 100%	63 100%	18 100%	24 100%	11 100%	7 100%	474 100%	207 100%	267 100%	509 100%	358 100%	521 100%
Île-de-France	194 19%	159 18%	32 26% a	14 22%	4 21%	10 40%	4 36%	1 14%	98 21%	50 24% d	48 18%	86 17%	68 19%	101 19%
Champagne-Ardenne	22 2%	21 2%	1 1%	1 2%	- -	-	-	-	6 1%	6 3% c	-	14 3% c	6 2%	7 1%
Picardie	31 3%	30 3%	2 1%	1 1%	1 5%		-	-	17 4%	6 3%	11 4%	15 3%	10 3%	15 3%
Haute-Normandie	30 3%	27 3%	3 3%	1 2%	-	2 8%	-	-	14 3%	4 2%	9 3%	15 3%	9 3%	15 3%
Centre	42 4%	37 4%	4 3%	3 5%	1 5%	-	-	-	23 5%	10 5%	13 5%	18 3%	13 4%	23 4%
Basse Normandie	24 2%	22 2%	2 2%	2 3%	- -	-	-	-	9 2%	2 1%	7 3%	14 3%	7 2%	12 2%
Bourgogne	27 3%	23 3%	4 3%	2 3%	1 5%	1 4%	-	-	8 2%	5 3%	2 1%	18 4% c	7 2%	14 3%
Nord-Pas-de-Calais	66 6%	58 6%	8 7%	4 6%	2 12%	2 8%	-	-	29 6%	14 7%	15 6%	31 6%	32 9% b	24 5%
Lorraine	39 4%	34 4%	5 4%	3 5%	- -	1 4%	-	1 13%	21 4%	9 4%	12 5%	17 3%	14 4%	20 4%
Alsace	30 3%	27 3%	3 3%	2 4%			-	1 15%	14 3%	3 1%	11 4%	15 3%	12 3%	14 3%
Franche-Comté	19 2%	17 2%	2 2%	2 4%	-	-	-	-	10 2%	3 2%	7 3%	7 1%	4 1%	13 3%
Pays de la Loire	59 6%	52 6%	7 5%	3 5%	10%	-	2 17%	-	22 5%	6 3%	16 6%	32 6%	21 6%	29 5%
Bretagne	53 5%	46 5%	7 6%	6 9%	-	1 4%	-	-	25 5%	10 5%	14 5%	27 5%	12 3%	38 7% a



		Diet									Awareness of 'new	category meat'		Likeliness of trying 'new category meat'		
	Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian		Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan	NET: Heard of	Heard of and understands	Heard of, but doesn't understand	Not heard of	NET: Likely	NET: Unlikely	
Significance Level: 95%		a	b		С	*d	*e	*f	*g	а	b	С	d	a	b	
Unweighted Total	1037	901	126		65	18	24	11	8	471	205	266	516	355	527	
Total	1034 100%	900 100%	124 100%		63 100%	18 100%	24 100%	11 100%	7 100%	474 100%	207 100%	267 100%	509 100%	358 100%	521 100%	
Poitou Charentes	29 3%	24 3%	4 3%		1 2%	1 8%	1 6%	-	-	12 3%	6 3%	7 3%	17 3%	11 3%	17 3%	
Aquitaine	53 5%	46 5%	7 6%		3 4%	2 11%	1 4%	1 10%	-	29 6%	11 5%	18 7%	24 5%	21 6%	29 6%	
Midi-Pyrénées	48 5%	42 5%	6 5%		1 2%	1 6%	1 5%	1 10%	1 16%	23 5%	11 5%	12 4%	23 4%	16 4%	25 5%	
Limousin	12 1%	12 1%	-		-	-	-	-	-	6 1%	2 1%	4 2%	6 1%	4 1%	6 1%	
Rhône-Alpes	103 10%	90 10%	10 8%		6 10%	2 11%	-	1 9%	1 15%	47 10%	28 13% c	19 7%	50 10%	38 11%	49 9%	
Auvergne	22 2%	19 2%	3 2%		1 1%	-	2 9%	-	-	10 2%	3 2%	7 3%	11 2%	7 2%	10 2%	
Languedoc-Roussillon	44 4%	39 4%	5 4%		2 3%	-	- -	2 18%	1 13%	23 5%	8 4%	15 6%	20 4%	15 4%	23 4%	
Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur	81 8%	72 8%	8 6%		4 6%	1 6%	2 8%	-	1 15%	27 6%	8 4%	19 7%	47 9% ab	29 8%	35 7%	
Corse	5 1%	4	1 1%		1 2%			-	-	2 *	1 *	1 *	3 1%	2 1%	3 1%	



		France regions													
	Total	Île-de-France	Champagne-Ardenne	Picardie	Haute-Normandie	Centre	Basse Normandie	Bourgogne	Nord-Pas-de-Calais	Lorraine	Alsace	Franche-Comté	Pays de la Loire		
Unweighted Total	1037	205	19	33	29	44	23	26	68	40	29	17	62		
Total	1034 100%	194 100%	22 100%	31 100%	30 100%	42 100%	24 100%	27 100%	66 100%	39 100%	30 100%	19 100%	59 100%		
I eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	900 87%	159 82%	21 95%	30 94%	27 90%	37 89%	22 91%	23 86%	58 88%	34 87%	27 89%	17 88%	52 89%		
Flexitarian or reducetarian (I do not eat meat or fish for at least half of my meals)	63 6%	14 7%	1 5%	1 3%	1 4%	3 7%	2 9%	2 7%	4 6%	3 8%	2 7%	2 12%	3 5%		
Dairy-free (I do not eat dairy)	18 2%	4 2%	-	1 3%	-	1 2%	-	1 4%	2 3%	-	-	-	2 3%		
Pescatarian (I do not eat fish)	24 2%	10 5%	-	-	2 7%	-	-	1 4%	2 3%	1 2%	-	-	-		
Vegetarian (I do not eat meat or fish)	11 1%	4 2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2 3%		
Vegan (I do not eat meat, fish, diary or other animal products)	7 1%	1 1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 2%	1 4%		-		
Other	10 1%	4 2%	-	-	-	1 2%	-	-	-	-	-		-		
NET: Reduced intake of animal products	124 12%	32 17%	1 5%	2 6%	3 10%	4 9%	2 9%	4 14%	8 12%	5 13%	3 11%	2 12%	7 11%		



		France regions												
	Total	Bretagne	Poitou Charentes	Aquitaine	Midi-Pyrénées	Limousin	Rhône-Alpes	Auvergne	Languedoc-Roussillon	Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur	Corse	Male	Female	
Significance Level: 95%	Total	a	*b	C	d d	*e	f f	*g	h	i	*j	а	b	
Unweighted Total	1037	56	21	55	43	6	104	23	46	83	5	487	547	
Total	1034	53	29	53	48	12	103	22	44	81	5	500	534	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		100%		100%	100%		100%	100%	
I eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	900	46	24	46	42	12	90	19	39	72	4	453	447	
	87%	87%	81%	87%	88%	100%	87%	86%	89%	89%	81%	91% b	84%	
Flexitarian or reducetarian (I do not eat meat or fish	63	6	1	3	1	-	6	1	2	4	1	21	43	
for at least half of my meals)	6%	11%	5%	5%	2%	-	6%	4%	4%	5%	19%	4%	8%	
													a	
Dairy-free (I do not eat dairy)	18	-	1	2	1	-	2	-	-	1	-	8	10	
	2%	-	5%	4%	2%	-	2%	-	-	1%	-	2%	2%	
Pescatarian (I do not eat fish)	24	1	1	1	1	-	-	2	-	2	-	8	16	
	2%	2%	5%	2%	2%	-	-	10%	-	2%	-	2%	3%	
Vegetarian (I do not eat meat or fish)	11	-	-	1	1	-	1	-	2	-	-	3	8	
	1%	-	-	2%	2%	-	1%	-	4%	-	-	1%	1%	
Vegan (I do not eat meat, fish, diary or other animal	7	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	1	1	-	2	5	
products)	1%	-	-	-	2%	-	1%	-	2%	1%	-	*	1%	
Other	10	-	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	1	-	4	6	
	1%	-	5%	-	-	-	3%	-	-	1%	-	1%	1%	
NET: Reduced intake of animal products	124	7	4	7	6	-	10	3	5	8	1	43	81	
	12%	13%	14%	13%	12%	-	10%	14%	11%	10%	19%	9%	15% a	
		l												



	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	Age 35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
Unweighted Total	1037	106	145	165	173	174	274	251	338	448
Total	1034	108	159	165	178	165	259	267	343	424
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
I eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	900	85	128	140	165	148	235	212	305	383
	87%	79%	80%	85%	93%		91%	80%	89%	90%
					abc	ab	ab		а	а
Flexitarian or reducetarian (I do not eat meat or fish for at least half of my	63	9	14	9	9	13	9	23	18	22
meals)	6%	9%	9%	5%	5%	8%	3%	9%	5%	5%
		f	f			f				
Dairy-free (I do not eat dairy)	18	4	4	3	1	-	6	9	4	6
	2%	4%	3%	2%	1%	-	2%	3%	1%	1%
		de	е				е			
Pescatarian (I do not eat fish)	24	4	10	4	1	2	4	14	5	5
	2%	4%	6%	2%	1%	1%	1%	5%	1%	1%
		d	def					bc		
Vegetarian (I do not eat meat or fish)	11	1	1	4	1	1	3	2	5	4
	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Vegan (I do not eat meat, fish, diary or other animal products)	7	1	2	3	1	-	-	3	4	-
	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	-	-	1%		-
			f	f				С	С	
Other	10	3	-	2	-	2	3	3	2	5
	1%	3% bd	-	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
NET: Reduced intake of animal products	124	20	32	23	13	16	21	51	36	37
	12%	18%	20%	14%	7%		8%	19%	10%	9%
		def	def					bc		



		Diet									Awareness of 'new	Likeliness of trying 'new category meat'			
	Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian		Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan	NET: Heard of	Heard of and understands	Heard of, but doesn't understand	Not heard of	NET: Likely	NET: Unlikely
Significance Level: 95%		а	b		С	*d	*e	*f	*g	а	b	С	d	а	b
Unweighted Total	1037	901	126		65	18	24	11	8	471	205	266	516	355	527
Total	1034 100%	900 100%	124 100%		63 100%	18 100%	24 100%	11 100%	7 100%	474 100%	207 100%	267 100%	509 100%	358 100%	521 100%
I eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	900 87%	900 100% bc	-		-		- -	-	-	396 83%	167 81%	228 86%	459 90% ab	300 84%	459 88%
Flexitarian or reducetarian (I do not eat meat or fish for at least half of my meals)	63 6%	-	63 51% a		63 100% ab		- -	-	-	35 7%	16 8%	19 7%	29 6%	27 8%	31 6%
Dairy-free (I do not eat dairy)	18 2%	- -	18 15% ac		-	18 100%	-	-	-	10 2%	6 3%	4 2%	6 1%	6 2%	8 2%
Pescatarian (I do not eat fish)	24 2%	-	24 20% ac		-	-	24 100%	-	-	15 3%	10 5% d	5 2%	10 2%	13 4%	10 2%
Vegetarian (I do not eat meat or fish)	11 1%	-	11 9% ac		-	-	-	11 100%	-	10 2% d	7 3% d	3 1%	1 *	6 2%	5 1%
Vegan (I do not eat meat, fish, diary or other animal products)	7 1%	-	7 6% ac		-		- -	-	7 100%	7 2% d	1 1%	6 2% d	-	1 *	6 1%
Other	10 1%	-	-		-	-		-	-	2	1	1	5 1%	5 1%	2
NET: Reduced intake of animal products	124 12%		124 100% a		63 100% a	18 100%	24 100%	11 100%	7 100%	77 16% d	39 19% d	38 14% d	45 9%	53 15%	60 12%

